

IEEE-SA Standards Board
Industry Connections Committee (ICCom) Minutes
23 July 2012, 11:00a-12:00p (USA Eastern)
Teleconference

1. Call to order; Roll call (11:04 AM)

Members Present: John Kulick (ICCom Chair), Howard Wolfman, Yatin Trivedi, Yu Yuan, David Law, Alex Gelman, Oleg Logvinov, Wael Diab

Staff: Jim Wendorf, Sam Sciacca

2. Approval of agenda as amended (added 8.1, Termination of Ethernet Wireline Bandwidth Group). Motion to approve (Howard), second (Alex), approved (no objections to unanimous consent).

3. Approval of previous minutes (08 June 2012). Motion to approve (Alex) second (Yatin). No objections with Howard and Wael abstaining.

4. ICAID “Higher Speed Ethernet Consensus” submittal

Motion to approve (Howard), second (Alex)

Discussion:

Jim reviewed form and changes made. The form was approved by 802 (Sponsor) last week during 802 meeting in San Diego.

Alex: What is the deliverable?

David: Package will be delivered on web page, consisting of records, meeting minutes, presentations.

Wael: Suggested that ICCom request E-mail approval from SASB rather than wait for August F2F meeting.

Roll Call Vote:

Alex – yea, Yatin – yea, Howard – yea, Oleg – yea, Yu – yea, Wael – abstain, David – recuse. Motion passes.

John indicated that he will follow convention used by other SASB committees (RevCom, NesCom, AudCom, etc.) that the chair does not vote except to break a tie (at chair’s discretion).

5. ICAID Form

ICAID is now combined in a single form (individual or entity). 3 areas of question/concern remain.

1 – Estimated Timeframe – Should there be a maximum? Should it be tight or loose?

2 - Funding – Should we indicate the effort may need approval of the BOG or committee of the BOG?

3 – Sponsoring Committee – Should we stick with definition of Sponsor from 5.2.2 of SASB Bylaws, or allow broader definition?

Comments on item 1, Timeframe:

Timeframe of the effort shouldn't be indefinite. Since effort does not automatically cancel upon completion (as a PAR), we could end up with "zombie" IC activities after work completes. Alex proposed 2 years, after which the group either asks for an extension or it disappears. Oleg indicated should be maximum, with mandatory revision after 2 years if activity continues. Yatin: If 2 years is automatic, why ask the question?

Resolution: change estimated timeframe to 2 year timeframe. Ad Hoc will work off-line to reword.

Comments on 2, Funding:

Oleg: How much detail needs to go into instructions? ICom Operations Manual or sponsor Ops manual will cover this. No changes to ICAID form needed.

Lengthy discussion on issues regarding funding/funding requirements. Numerous comments on the three possibilities of funding:

1. Operate on their own, funding determined by the group itself
2. Rolled up to a Sponsor and the sponsor funding rules apply
3. Funded directly by SA

Questions/comments regarding the need to file L50 or L50S forms, depending on the mechanism of funding. Does an effort under ICom need to file L-50S? Not necessarily. With a Sponsor, it is handled through the Sponsor. Without a Sponsor, need to check requirements with Karen Kenney, and then decide what to put on ICAID form and what to put in the ICom Ops Manual.

Does a group need to secure necessary funding prior to submitting ICAID?

Wrap up: No decision made...remanded to Ad Hoc for further work.

Comments on 3, Sponsoring Committee: Insufficient time to discuss and reach a decision.

Should we begin using the new (draft) ICAID form, in the absence of a final resolution to the above questions? Sam indicated that two groups are waiting for approval of the new ICAID form before submitting their proposals. One will operate under a sponsor. The other may not initially have a sponsor. Sam will work with both groups to submit ICAIDs using the latest draft form, but the form will not be posted for general use until further work is completed by the Ad Hoc.

6. Termination of "Ethernet Wireline Bandwidth Needs" activity

Move to approve request to terminate (Alex), second (Howard).

Question by Alex: What was produced?

David: A "packaged" final report, posted on website. A press release will be issued after SASB approval of the new Higher Speed Ethernet Consensus activity.

Roll Call Vote (Note: Due to overrun of meeting time, Oleg and Yatin needed to drop off meeting and were not available to vote. Quorum check indicated that quorum was still maintained.)

Wael – yea, Alex – yea, David – yea, Howard – yea, Yu – yea. Motion passes. A recommendation to terminate this activity will be placed on the consent agenda of the next SASB meeting.

Due to time overrun, John postponed the remainder of the Agenda until the August meeting, during the SASB meeting series.

7. Next Meetings

Next meeting will be August 28th F2F in Piscataway.

8. Adjournment (12:18 PM)