

1  
2 Draft Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks-  
3 Emergency Services for Internet Protocol (IP) Based  
4 Citizen-to-Authority Communications  
5 Sponsor  
6 LAN/MAN Committee  
7 of the  
8 IEEE Computer Society  
9 Approved <XX MONTH 20XX>  
10 IEEE-SA Standards Board

11  
12 This is the initial public draft proposed for IEEE 802.23. It defines the  
13 additional elements generally needed to meet the regulatory requirements for  
14 interconnected VoIP calls originating on an IEEE 802 network when making a call to  
15 Emergency Services via a special access code for that purpose (e.g. 112/911).  
16 Draft D0.9 is prepared by the IEEE 802.23 for initial consideration and review  
17 before formal Working Group Ballot. This draft expires 6 months after the date of  
18 publication or when the next version is published, whichever comes first.

19  
20 Copyright © 2011 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.  
21 Three Park Avenue  
22 New York, New York 10016-5997, USA  
23 All rights reserved.

24  
25  
26 This document is an unapproved draft of a proposed IEEE Standard. As such, this  
27 document is subject to change. USE AT YOUR OWN RISK! Because this is an unapproved  
28 draft, this document must not be utilized for any conformance/compliance purposes.  
29 Permission is hereby granted for IEEE Standards Committee participants to reproduce  
30 this document for purposes of international standardization consideration. Prior to  
31 adoption of this document, in whole or in part, by another standards development  
32 organization, permission must first be obtained from the IEEE Standards Activities  
33 Department (stds.ipr@ieee.org). Other entities seeking permission to reproduce this  
34 document, in whole or in part, must also obtain permission from the IEEE Standards  
35 Activities Department.

36 IEEE Standards Activities Department  
37 445 Hoes Lane  
38 Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA  
39

1

2

3 <Editor's Note to be removed when action is complete: INSERT REMAINDER OF FRONT  
4 MATTER HERE>

5

6 Contents

7 <Editor's Note to be removed when action is complete: After draft body is complete,  
8 select this text and click Insert Special->Add (Table of) Contents>

9

10 Draft Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks- Emergency Services for  
11 Internet Protocol (IP) Based Citizen-to-Authority Communications

12

13 IMPORTANT NOTICE: This standard is not intended to ensure safety, security, health,  
14 or environmental protection. Implementers of the standard are responsible for  
15 determining appropriate safety, security, environmental, and health practices or  
16 regulatory requirements.

17

18 This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal  
19 disclaimers.

20

21 These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document  
22 and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and  
23 Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents." They can also be obtained on request from  
24 IEEE or viewed at <http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html>.

25

1

## 2 1. Overview

## 3 1.1 Scope

4 This standard defines a media independent framework within IEEE 802 to provide  
5 consistent access and data that facilitate compliance to applicable civil authority  
6 requirements for communications systems that include IEEE 802 networks. This  
7 includes a data link layer interface for a consistent view of IEEE 802 networks by  
8 IP (Internet Protocol) based Citizen-to-Authority emergency services capabilities  
9 from the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Emergency Context Resolution with  
10 Internet Technologies (ECRIT). This standard specifies a Layer 2 entity and  
11 associated behaviors with a uniform structure of management information for  
12 transferring data required by an emergency services request.

13

## 14 1.2 Purpose

15 The purpose of this standard is to support compliance to civil authority  
16 requirements complementary to IETF ECRIT specifications for Citizen-to-Authority  
17 emergency services functionality. This standard intends to encompass voice, data  
18 and multi-media requests across IEEE 802 using a new Layer 2 entity and associated  
19 behaviors and provide a uniform Structure of Management Information (SMI) for  
20 transferring required data for emergency services requests.  
21 (Editor's Note to be removed when action is complete: The purpose as stated here  
22 (i.e. on the PAR) will not be included in the standard. Working group to determine  
23 purpose text to be inserted in the draft.)

24

## 25 2. Normative references

26 The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this  
27 document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is  
28 cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated  
29 references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest  
30 edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda)  
31 applies.

32 - NENA i3, Detailed Functional and Interface Specification for the NENA i3  
33 Solution - Stage 3, Sept. 30, 2010.

34 - RFC 3693, GeoPriv Requirements

35 - draft-ietf-ecrit-phonebcp-13 ECRIT Req'ts

36 - (US) FCC 05-116

37 - IEEE 802.11u draft 13.0

38 - IEEE Std 802.16n - 200n

39 - ietf-draft-rosen-ecrit-additional-data

40 - ietf-draft-schulzrinne-ecrit-psap-callback

41 - ietf-draft-schulzrinne-ecrit-unauthenticated-access

42 - draft-ietf-geopriv-held-measurements

43 (Editor's note to be removed when action is complete: The list is not necessarily  
44 complete at this point in terms of items and each item has to be expanded to a full  
45 reference in line with the style guide.)

46

## 47 3. Definitions

48 For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The  
49 IEEE Standards Dictionary: Glossary of Terms & Definitions should be consulted for  
50 terms not defined in this clause.

51 Authorized service: The voice (or other ES applicable) service where the EUT has  
52 access to all network services (L1/L2, and higher level IP services) needed to  
53 support an ES call.

1 Emergency Services: Network communication services needed to support an emergency  
2 call between a EUT and a PSAP. These services may include, but are not be limited  
3 to, normal network services needed to support an ordinary VoIP call, location  
4 services, recognition and differentiation of an emergency call from an ordinary  
5 call.

6 End User Terminal: The host device to the IP application (e.g. VoIP) which places  
7 the ES call.

8 ESInet: An IP network/internetnetwork that is managed for the use of emergency  
9 services communications, and can be commonly shared by participating public safety  
10 agencies.

11 IEEE 802 network, 802 network: A local area (LAN) or other (e.g. MAN, WAN) packet  
12 switched network consisting of one or more interconnected subnetworks each using a  
13 MAC protocol specified in an IEEE 802 standard.

14 Internet Protocol: The principal communications protocol used for relaying  
15 datagrams (packets) across an internetwork (i.e. network of networks) using the  
16 Internet Protocol Suite. Responsible for routing packets across network boundaries  
17 (i.e. routers), it is the primary protocol that establishes the Internet. For the  
18 purposes of this standard, the term is limited to Internet Protocol version 4  
19 (Ipv4, IETF RFC 791) and/or Internet Protocol version 6 (Ipv6, IETF RFC 2460)

20 Layer 1/Layer 2: Within Layer 1 and/or Layer 2 relative to the ISO 7 Layer model as  
21 adapted by IEEE Std 802.

22 Local Area Network: See IEEE 802 network.

23 Ordinary citizen: A user acting in his or her regular capacity, i.e. not acting as  
24 official or an agent of any governing authority.

25 Unauthorized service: Voice (or other ES applicable) service where the EUT does not  
26 have access to network services (L1/L2, and higher level IP services) needed to  
27 support an ES call. This may involve lack of security access to the L1/L2 network  
28 or lack of access for whatever reason (other than bandwidth) to an interconnected  
29 voice service at the higher layers.

30

31 4. Abbreviations and acronyms

32 ANI: Automatic Number Identification

33 ANQP: Access Network Query Protocol

34 ECRIT: Emergency Context Resolution with Internet Technologies

35 ES: Emergency Services

36 ESInet: Emergency Services IP Network

37 EUT: End User Terminal

38 GAS: Generic Advertisement Service

39 GEOPRIV: Geographic Location and Privacy

40 GPS: Global Positioning System

41 IETF: Internet Engineering Task Force

42 IP: Internet Protocol(s)

43 L1/L2: Layer 1/Layer 2

44 LAN: Local Area Network

45 LBS: Location Based Services

46 LLDP: Link Layer Discovery Protocol (Ref: IEEE Std 802.1AB)

47 LS: Location Server

48 MIB: Management Information Base

49 PSAP: Public Safety Answering Point

50 PSTN: Public Switched Telephone Network

51 RFC: Request For Comment (Ref: IETF)

52 SIP: Session Initiation Protocol

53 SMI: Structure of Management Information

1 STA: Station  
2 VLAN: Virtual LAN  
3 VOIP: Voice Over IP  
4 WPAN: Wireless Personal Area Network (Ref: IEEE Std 802.15)

5  
6 5. General description

7 Citizen-to-Authority emergency calls (e.g. "dialing" 112, 911 and most other  
8 country equivalents) are required to provide location information upon initiation.  
9 While other sources of location information may be available to the EUT (e.g. GPS),  
10 it is desirable to provide location from the adjacent network infrastructure  
11 (especially fixed infrastructure). This information is expected to be supplied via  
12 the management plane of the EUT. The EUT will transmit this data within an IP  
13 transaction across the IEEE 802 network to Layer 3 interfaces that providing IP  
14 services that are encountered away from the EUT. The data transaction exits the 802  
15 scope and domain at the Layer 3 interface. In addition, an IP services Location  
16 Server (LS) attached to the IEEE 802 network needs to be able to provide location  
17 information for elements in the IEEE 802 network to the upper layers (security  
18 considerations).

19  
20 For normal (i.e. non-emergency) call, a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) system  
21 operates transparently and independently with respect to the Layer 1/Layer 2  
22 (L1/L2) services. This transparency does not satisfy the requirements on  
23 originating VoIP calls imposed in the case of Citizen-to-Authority calls of an  
24 emergency nature (112/E911 calls). This standard is intended to provide L1/L2  
25 information to upper layer implementations of VoIP and supporting services,  
26 including those as specified by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). The  
27 primary interfaces for defining these requirements will be the Emergency Context  
28 Resolution with Internet Technologies (ECRIT) working group and Geographic Location  
29 and Privacy (GEOPRIV) working group.

30  
31 The IEEE 802 family of standards may need to supply additional facilities and  
32 information to the upper layers in order to support ES requirements.  
33 The mechanisms specified may be highly dependent on whether an EUT has a previously  
34 established relationship and/or connection with both the IEEE 802 network and any  
35 higher layer VoIP service. For the purposes of this standard the requirements will  
36 be divided into two major cases, those required to support "authorized service"  
37 (i.e. the EUT has an already established service relationship) and those required  
38 to support "unauthorized service".

39  
40 5.1 Overview of Emergency Services

41 For discussion and specification purposes, the overall scope of Emergency Services  
42 and Emergency Services standards are generally divided into four sub-areas. These  
43 are:

- 44 - Citizen-to-Authority calls
- 45 - Authority-to-Citizen alerts
- 46 - Authority-to-Authority communications
- 47 - Citizen-to-Citizen communications

48 The scope of this standard is limited to Citizen-to-Authority calls, that is calls  
49 or communications that a ordinary citizen makes to contact and communicate with the  
50 emergency services dispatch center that serves the present location of the citizen.  
51 Such a dispatch center is known as a PSAP (Public Safety Answering Point), a call  
52 processing and dispatch center that is responsible for answering calls to police,  
53 firefighting, ambulance and other appropriate services.

1  
2 5.2 Citizen-to-Authority Emergency Services in the legacy PSTN environment.  
3 A uniform system for Citizen-to-Authority emergency calls for the public switched  
4 telephone network (PSTN) for the USA started in the late 1960s in what was largely  
5 a single vendor environment for an entirely wireline network. It was based on a  
6 uniform number for accessing Emergency Services (i.e. 911 for North America) and  
7 informing the PSAP of the calling number (ANI, Automatic Number Identification).  
8 Location information was obtained by doing a reverse directory look-up of the  
9 calling phone number in the customer database owned by the local phone company. In  
10 general, at that time there was a fairly good match between the service area of a  
11 PSAP and each area of administration of the phone company. Similar systems were  
12 developed in many other countries.

13  
14 The underlying design assumptions of the legacy system described above deteriorated  
15 badly over time, influenced by:

- 16 - Deregulation and breakup of telephone provider exclusive franchises
- 17 - The introduction and widespread deployment of cellular telephones
- 18 - The conversion of voice services from circuit switched to packet switched  
19 technology
- 20 - The rise of VoIP (Voice over Internet Protocol)
- 21 - The migration of voice from single purpose dedicated devices (i.e.  
22 telephones) to software based devices (e.g. PCs, laptops, smart-phones)
- 23 - The desire to add non-voice communications to the ES calling system (e.g.  
24 text and images)
- 25 - Broad area centralization/consolidation of PSAP service areas.

26  
27 Deregulation and the rise of cellular telephony migrated from an environment of a  
28 single communications vendor environment with fixed customer locations to an  
29 environment that encompasses multiple vendors, a high percentage of mobile users  
30 and no correlation between phone number and location.

31  
32 One core challenge of this transition was moving the source of and the  
33 responsibility for location information from a single database in the core of the  
34 PSTN to distributed information residing somewhere in the network close to the  
35 calling device. This major architectural change involves an addition to the  
36 capabilities of new networks, capabilities that are not inherent to their  
37 architecture and involves the addition of significant additional hardware and  
38 software.

39  
40 5.3 Citizen-to-Authority Emergency Services in an 802/IP environment  
41 In a multi-vendor packet switched (e.g. IEEE 802) environment there is no  
42 centralized registration of the location of the Physical Layer (L1) address nor are  
43 there any restrictions against the devices associated with L1 addresses being moved  
44 arbitrarily. While cellular networks tend to have a fairly high degree of vertical  
45 integration, that is not the general case for IEEE 802 and IP networks. For  
46 example, while the lowest level IP device in the core network may be well-known and  
47 located, there may be an attached pure L1/L2 802 network that is (at least  
48 partially) ad hoc in its configuration, heterogeneous in its nature and physically  
49 large (multiple kilometers) in diameter. Such a network may have multiple owners  
50 with equipment from many vendors. It is therefore essential that standards be in  
51 place to convey location information to the EUT as well as to support of any  
52 communication path tracing functions. Additionally, there may well be regulatory  
53 requirements to support unauthorized access to the L1/L2 network in an emergency

1 call scenario. This standard supports an optional capability in support of this,  
2 should it be required.

3  
4 5.3.1 End User Terminal (EUT) upper layers in an emergency call situation  
5 The specific details of the EUT upper layers in an emergency call situation are  
6 beyond the scope of this standard.

7  
8 5.3.1.1 EUT upper layer location function  
9 It is the responsibility of the EUT upper layers to provide location information  
10 when initiating an emergency call (Ref: ECRIT [Editor's note: specify to a finer  
11 level, i.e. RFC, chapter and verse]). The source (e.g., GPS location information  
12 or via Layer 2 from the next node on the IEEE 802 network) the EUT upper layers  
13 choose as the source of the location information to satisfy this requirement is  
14 outside the scope of this standard. It is a conformance requirement of this  
15 standard for the attached network device to make relevant location information  
16 available to the EUT.

17  
18 5.3.1.2 upper layer EUT unauthorized access function  
19 An EUT that does not have a service relationship with the network provider may  
20 request authorization for limited use via the network's authorization mechanism.  
21 The responsibility for limiting such use to ES is a policy decision of the 802  
22 network operator(s). Such a policy may be accomplished by restricting the access to  
23 a L2 VLAN that is dedicated and restricted to ES use or it may be accomplished by  
24 upper layer policy restrictions that only grant limited use to the MAC address  
25 associated with the EUT.

26  
27 5.3.2 EUT L1/L2 in an emergency call situation  
28 In order for a EUT to retrieve location information from the IEEE 802 network per  
29 this standard, the EUT must support a Layer 2 protocol for this transfer. Details  
30 for each transfer mechanism are detailed below.

31  
32 5.3.2.1 Location information transfer from IEEE 802.1 based devices.  
33 IEEE Std 802.1AB specifies a generalized Layer 2 single hop protocol known as LLDP  
34 (Link Layer Discovery Protocol) that is suitable for conveying location  
35 information. Currently there does not exist a definition for the Location MIB  
36 (Management Information Base) or definitions for the TLVs needed to convey the  
37 specific location information.

38  
39 LLDP is a powerful generalized protocol for this sort of information and is  
40 designed to operate in a manner that is independent of the particular access method  
41 used. It is to be used for EUTs attaching to the network via a link based on IEEE  
42 Std 802.3. Other IEEE 802 access methods should also use LLDP.

43  
44 5.3.2.2 Location information transfer from IEEE 802.11 based devices.  
45 IEEE Std 802.11-2007 Amendment 9 (802.11u) [Editor's note: Std 802.11REV is  
46 currently in Sponsor Ballot. This revision includes the roll-up of 802.11  
47 amendments k, r, y, w, n, p, z, v, and u] has a separate protocol to provide  
48 location information to a EUT from an IEEE 802.11 access point in a pre-associated  
49 state within the 802.11 generic advertisement service (GAS) known as ANQP (Access  
50 Network Query Protocol) to provide hotspot and network information to a STA in a  
51 pre-associated state supports determining and conveying location information as  
52 either a Geospatial Location element or a Civic Location element.

53

1 5.3.2.3 Location information transfer from IEEE 802.15 based devices.

2 It is believed that the nature of currently standardized IEEE 802.15 WPAN products  
3 is such that they provide links to peripheral devices from an EUT rather than  
4 attachment of an EUT to an IP connected L2 network. Therefore, there is no special  
5 need for differentiated ES services on WPAN links. If such a need emerges for  
6 future IEEE 802.15 based products then LLDP should be used.

7

8 5.3.2.4 Location information transfer from IEEE 802.16 based devices.

9 IEEE Std 802.16 has support for both measuring and conveying location information  
10 within its Location Based Services (LBS) function.

11

12 5.3.3 IEEE 802 Backhaul infrastructure in an emergency call situation.

13 Each IEEE 802 network relay device (IEEE 802.3 Repeaters and IEEE 802.1 MAC Relay  
14 devices excepted) should carry standardized location information locally in their  
15 management information base (MIB) and support the IEEE 802.1 Linktrace protocol. A  
16 Location Server (LS) connected to the IEEE 802 Layer 2 network should thus be able  
17 to compute and maintain a network map of the IEEE 802 Layer 2 network. With the  
18 network map and the location information retrieved (SNMP presumed) from the MIB of  
19 each node, the location server is equipped to respond to queries as to the best  
20 estimate (i.e. nearest network node with a location MIB) of the calling location of  
21 any EUT that has not provided location information or has not provided credible  
22 location information. This facility provides the means for a successive de-  
23 approximation of the calling location. The LS mapping and trace services are  
24 accessible to the PSAP via upper layer services and protocols. Specification of  
25 those services and protocols is outside the scope of this standard.

26

27 5.3.4 IEEE 802 Backhaul interfaces to Layer 3 Services and gateway to the Internet.

28 It is the responsibility of the Layer 3 devices that provide upper layer network  
29 services and traffic gateway functions to enforce whatever service restrictions are  
30 placed on EUTs that have attached to the IEEE 802 network for emergency only  
31 service.

32

33 6 Detailed Specification

34

35 6.1 Location

36 This location requirement is specified in NENA i3 (858 et seq). Location in NG9-1-1  
37 is represented by validated content in the PIDF-LO2 (RFC4119, updated by RFC5139  
38 and RFC5491) with field use for the United States as documented in the NENA Civic  
39 Location Exchange Format [111]. Fields in the PIDF-LO must be used as defined; no  
40 local variation is permitted. A service (PIDFLOtoMSAG) is provided in this document  
41 for translating PIDF-LO to a NENA standard MSAG representation for backwards  
42 compatibility. All geodetic data in i3 uses WGS84 as the datum.

43

44 6.2 Unauthorized Access

45 For those instances where it is required to provide a restricted service path  
46 across an IEEE 802 L1/L2 network to provide L1/L2 access for a user that does not  
47 have authorized access to the L1/L2 network, this standard provides such a path.  
48 This path is designated as an ES VLAN. Its purpose is to provide a virtual LAN  
49 whose traffic may be limited to that which is required for ES. The ES VLAN may be  
50 functionally identical or may even be shared with other restricted access usage  
51 such as that by a user when seeking authorization for normal usage (i.e. Logon)

52

53 The ES VLAN provides connectivity between an unauthorized EUT and the Layer 3



1 services connected to the IEEE 802 network. Each Layer 3 device providing service  
2 is responsible for the enforcement of the the policies necessary to limit service  
3 to only ES. In the simplest conceptual model, a core services gateway port is the  
4 equivalent to an RJ-45 on that device that is the plug-in point for an emergency  
5 "red-phone". The ES VLAN acts as an "virtual extension cord" the the far edge of  
6 the network for that restricted port.

7  
8 Mechanisms other than an ES VLAN or other L1/L2 restrictions may be used by upper  
9 layer services to limit access by unauthorized users.

10  
11 For this standard, the designation of a particular VLAN for ES is a matter of  
12 network administration. Whether it is appropriate to make the provision of a  
13 specific VLAN, whether within the existing VLAN standards or by definition of a new  
14 standard for a dedicated ES VLAN is a matter for further study (ffs).

### 15 6.3 Priority Recommendations

16 Not all IEEE 802 access methods support priorities. Where priorities are supported,  
17 it is recommended that ES be allocated the highest priority beneath Network  
18 Management.  
19

### 20 7. Security Issues

21 This specification assumes that ES VoIP communications have the same level of  
22 security protection as afforded to normal VOIP calls and that security is  
23 sufficient to meet ES requirements.  
24

25  
26 Location Management objects defined in the MIB module or in other data structures  
27 may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Appropriate  
28 network management security measures should be taken. Such measures should  
29 encompass the LS.

30  
31 Informative Annex Information to be supplied at a later date.