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**1. Comment**

Comment #16 in 21-11-0149-01-bcst-802-21b-sb-comments.xls proposed three changes to Figure 17. Frist proposed change is to delete second cluster of flows. Second one is to add “{\*\*} Remote Command Transport (INDICATION FRAME)” directly below “Remote Command Transport (REQUEST FRAME)”. Third one is to put a brace and add a mark, {\*\*\*}, to “Remote Command Transport (RESPONSE FRAME)” and “MIH\_Command.confirm”. Following figure shows the proposed changes to Figure 17 with red.

However, the proposed figure still includes “MIH\_Command.response” which does not occur at receiving “Remote Command Transport (INDICATION FRAME)”. Moreover, if some mark is used to indicate above the exception case, the figure will have lots of marks and thus it will be more illegible than existing figure.







**(\*\*\*)**

**2. Proposal**

Comment #16 in 802.21b SB comments resolution(21-11-0149-01-bcst-802-21b-sb-comments.xls) should be rejected so that Figure 17 have no changes.