Annex F Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma

(normative)

F.1 Introduction

To evaluate conformance of a particular implementation, it is necessary to have a statement of which capabilities and options have been implemented for a given IEEE 802 standard. Such a statement is called an Implementation Conformance Statement (ICS)

F.2 Scope

This annex provides the ICS proforma for the IEEE Std 802.21 in compliance with the relevant requirements, and in accordance with the relevant guidence, given in ITU-T Recommendation X.296.

EDITIOR'S INSTRUCTION NOTE: Shall add X.290 and X.296 to Clause 2 (Normative References)

ITU-T Recommendation X.290 (1995), OSI conformance testing methodology and framework for protocol Recommendations for ITU-T applications - General concepts.

ITU-T Recommendation X.296 (1995), OSI conformance testing methodology and framework for protocol Recommendations for ITU-T applications - Implementation conformance statements.

EDITOR's INSTRUCTION NOTE: Definition clause as per X.296 shall include the terms (PICS proforma and PICS and static conformance review). However according to IEEE 802 we only include definitions that are not part of IEEE Standard Dictionary. PICS is already included, PICS Proforma and static conformance review are not. Static conformance review added since the definition of static conformance

PICS Proforma - a normative document to express in compact form the static conformance requirements of a specification. As such, it serves as a reference to the static conformance review.

Static conformance requirement - One of the requirements that specify the limitations on the combinations of implemented capabilities permitted in a real open system which is claimed to conform to the relevant specification(s).

Static conformance review - A review of the extent to which the static conformance requirements are claimed to be supported by the system under test, by comparing the answers in the implementation conformance statement(s) and the system conformance statement with the static conformance requirements expressed in the relevant specifications.

F.3 Conformance

If it is claimed to conform to IEEE Std 802.21, the actual PICS Proforma to be filled in by a supplier shall be technically equivalent to the text of the PICS Proforma in this annex, and shall preserve the number/naming and ordering of the PICS Proforma items.

A PICS which conforms to this IEEE Std 802.21 shall be a conforming PICS proforma completed in accordance with the instructions for completion given in Clause F.4.

EDITOR'S INSTRUCTION NOTE: Need to add a footnote on the first page using the following text: "Copyright release for PICS proformas: Users of this IEEE Std 802.21 may freely reproduce this PICS proforma so that it can be used for its intended purpose and may further publish the completed PICS."

EDITOR'S INSTRUCTION NOTE: "Unless otherwise specified" needs to be added to the main IEEE copyright.

F.4 Instructions

F.4.1 Purpose and Structure

The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to IEEE Std 802.21, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma.

The PICS proforma expresses in compact form the static conformance requirements of this standard. It serves as a reference to the static conformance review. ITU-T Recommendation X.296, clause 6.7, provides examples of uses and users of proformas.

A completed PICS proforma is the PICS for the implementation in question. The PICS is a statement of which capabilities and options of the protocol have been implemented.

This PICS proforma has the following structure. Within this, the instructions clause, are the purpose and scope; symbols, abreviations, and terms; and explicit instructions for completing the implementation conformance statement. After the instructions are the subclauses for identifying the implementation, the protocol, and corrigenda (if any). The final subclause contains the questionaire in tabular form. Within this final subclause a separate table is used to cover these categorizies: global statement of conformance, roles, major capabilities, protocol data units (PDUs), PDU parameters, and timers.

The structure of the individual tables varies. Except for the tables for the identification of the protocol and the identification of any corrigenda, at a minimum all tables have columns for item number, item description, status, and support. For most the status columns includes both a status and a predicate. Some tables have a column for a mnemonic, which makes for easier cross-referencing within the PICS proforma. Most tables contain a reference column. A few tables (e.g., PDU parameters and timers) contain a column for entering supported value(s).

F.4.2 Symbols, abbreviations, and terms

M mandatoryO optional

O.<n> optional, but support of at least one of the group of options labeled by the same numeral <n> is

required

pred: conditional symbol, including predicate identification (mnemonic)

N/A not applicable

GBLx mnemonic for global statement of conformance, where x is an integer

MCx mnemonic for major capabilities, where x is an integer

PDUx mnemonic for protocol data unit, where x is an integer

RLx mnemonic for role, where x is an integer

F.4.3 Explicit instructions

The blank spaces in the identification of the implementation part is to be completed with the information necessary to identify fully both the implementation and supplier, as well as the name of a person to contact if there are any queries concerning the contents of the PICS

For the identification of the protocol, indicate in the support column "Yes", if this is the protocol being supported.

For the identification of corrigenda to the protocol, indicate if any corrigenda have been applied by entering the corrigenda information in the space provided. If none are applicable, then leave it blank.

The main part of the PICS proforma is a fixed questionnaire in tabular form, divided into subclauses, each containing a number of individual items. Answers to the questionnaire items are to be provided in the column labelled support, either by simply marking an answer to indicate a restricted choice (i.e., Yes or No) or by entering a value or a set or a range of values in the supported range column. (Note that there are some items where two or more choices from a set of possible answers may apply. All relevant choices are to be marked in these cases.)

Each item is identified by an item number, which is given in the first column. The second column (labelled item description) contains the question to be answered. The remaining columns may be labeled: references, status, support, allowed value(s), supported value(s), or mnemonic. The reference column contains the reference or references to the appropriate static conformance requirements or other clauses in the IEEE Std 802.21. The status column contains the status value (mandatory, optional, not applicable, or conditional (see F.4.6)) of the item. The answer to the item is to be entered in the support column by either entering Yes or No in the space provided or, if present, mark the appropriate tick box beside the appropriate answer. For items that contain a supported value(s) column, in addition to answering the support column, enter the value or values supported for the item in the space provided.

A supplier may also provide further information, categorized as either Additional Information or Exception Information. When present, each kind of further information is to be provided in a further subclause of items labeled A < I > or X < I >, respectively, for cross-referencing purposes, where < I > is any unambiguous identification for the item (e.g., simply a numeral). There are no other restrictions on its format or presentation.

A completed PICS proforma, including any Additional Information and Exception Information, is the PICS for the implementation in question.

NOTE—Where an implementation is capable of being configured in more than one way, a single PICS may be able to describe all such configurations. However, the supplier has the choice of providing more than one PICS, each covering some subset of the implementation's capabilities, if this makes for easier and clearer presentation of the information.

F.4.4 Additional information

Items of Additional Information allow a supplier to provide further information intended to assist in the interpretation of the PICS. It is not intended or expected that a large quantity of information will be supplied, and a PICS can be considered complete without any such information. Examples of such Additional Information might be an outline of the ways in which an (single) implementation can be set up to operate in a variety of environments and configurations, or information about aspects of the implementation that are outside the scope of this standard but have a bearing upon the answers to some items.

References to items of Additional Information may be entered next to any answer in the questionnaire, and may be included in items of Exception Information.

F.4.5 Exception information

It may happen occasionally that a supplier will wish to answer an item with mandatory status (after any conditions have been applied) in a way that conflicts with the indicated requirement. No preprinted answer will be found in the Support column for this. Instead, the supplier shall write the missing answer into the Support column, together with an X<1> reference to an item of Exception Information, and shall provide the appropriate rationale in the Exception Information item itself.

An implementation for which an Exception Information item is required in this way does not conform to this standard.

NOTE— A possible reason for the situation described above is that a defect in this standard has been reported, a correction for which is expected to change the requirement not met by the implementation.

F.4.6 Conditional status

The PICS proforma contains a number of conditional items. These are items for which both the applicability of the item itself, and its status if it does apply, mandatory or optional, are dependent upon whether or not certain other items are supported.

In this PICS proforma conditional items are represented through the use of nesting item numbering and by using individual conditional items as indicated in the status column.

If the value of the predicate is true, the conditional item is applicable, and its status is given by S and the support column is to be completed in the usual way. Otherwise, the conditional item is not relevant and the N/A answer is to be marked.

A predicate is an mnemonic for an item in the PICS proforma. The value of the predicate is true if the item is marked as supported, and is false otherwise.

Each item referenced in a predicate is indicated by an asterisk in the item number column.

F.5 Identification of the implementation

In the space provided in the following subclauses provide all information that will uniquely identify both the supplier (or client of the test laboratory) and the implementation and the system on which it resides. Also provide a person as a point of contact for any queries concerning the contents of the PICS.

F.5.1 Implementation and the system

F.5.2 Supplier or client of the test laboratory

| | ı |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| 1 | ı |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 2 | |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 12 | |
| 11 | |
| 14 | ١ |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | ١ |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | ١ |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 23 | |
| 26 | |
| 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 27 | |
| 3/ | ١ |
| 38 | |
| <i>3</i> 9 | ١ |
| 40 | ١ |
| 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 | |
| 42 | ١ |
| 43 | |
| 44 | ١ |
| 45 | |
| 46 | ١ |
| 47 | ١ |
| 48 | ١ |
| 4 0 | |
| 49 50 | ١ |
| JU 51 | ١ |
| 51 | ١ |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | ١ |
| 55 | ١ |
| 56 | ١ |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| | í |

| 1 | Name: |
|---|---------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Address: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | F.5.3 Contact |
| 0 | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | Name: |
| 3 | |
| | |
| 4 | Address: |

F.6 Identification of the protocol

| Item number | Identification of protocol specification | Support |
|----------------|--|---------|
| F.7.1 | IEEE Std 802.21 (2009) | |
| | | |

F.7 Identification of corrigenda to the protocol

| Identification of corrigenda implemented | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Specification Corrigenda implemented | | | | |
| IEEE Std 802.21 (2009) | | | | |
| | | | | |

F.8 PICS proforma tables

F.8.1 Global statement of conformance

| Item number | Item description | Status | Support | Mnemonic |
|----------------|---|--------|----------------|----------|
| F.9.1 | Have all mandatory capabilities been implemented? | M | Yes [] No [] | GBL1 |

Answering "No" to this question indicates non-conformance to the IEEE Std 802.21. Non-supported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the implementation conformance statement, with an explanation of why the implementation is non-conforming.

F.8.2 Roles

| Item number | Item description | References | Status | Support | Mnemonic |
|----------------|--|------------|--------|----------------|----------|
| F.9.2.1 | Is MIHF supported in a mobile node? | 5.4 | O.1 | Yes [] No [] | RL1 |
| F.9.2.2 | Is MIHF supported in a network entity? | 5.4 | O.1 | Yes [] No [] | RL2 |

F.8.3 Major capabilities

| Item number | Item description | References | Status | Support | Mnemonic |
|----------------|--|---------------------|---------|---------------------|----------|
| *F.9.3.1 | Is the Event Service (ES) supported? | 6.3 | O.2 | Yes [] No [] | MC1 |
| *F.9.3.2 | Is the Command Service (CS) supported? | 6.4 | O.2 | Yes [] No [] | MC2 |
| *F.9.3.3 | Is the Information Service (IS) supported? | 6.5 | O.2 | Yes [] No [] | MC3 |
| F.9.3.3.1 | Is the TLV query method supported? | 6.5.6.1, 6.5.6.2 | MC3:O.3 | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | MC3.1 |
| F.9.3.3.2 | Is the RDF query method supported? | 6.5.6.1, 6.5.6.3 | MC3:O.3 | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | MC3.2 |
| *F.9.3.4 | Is Capability Discovery supported? | 6.2.3 | M | Yes [] No [] | MC4 |
| F.9.3.4.1 | Is Unsolicited Capability Discovery supported? | 8.2.3.3.3 | О | Yes [] No [] | MC4.1 |
| F.9.3.4.2 | Is Solicited Capability Discovery supported? | 8.2.3.3.4 | M | Yes [] No [] | MC4.2 |
| *F.9.3.5 | Is the Registration Service supported? | 6.2.4 | О | Yes [] No [] | MC5 |
| F.9.3.6 | Is Mobile Initiated Handover supported? | 6.4.3.2.3 | O.4 | Yes [] No [] | MC6 |
| F.9.3.7 | Is Network Initiated Handover supported? | 6.4.3.2.4 | O.4 | Yes [] No [] | MC7 |
| F.9.3.8 | Is MIH Acknowledgement protocol support? | 8.2.1 | 0 | Yes [] No [] | MC8 |

F.8.4 PDUs

| | | | | 1 | |
|----------------|--|----------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|
| Item number | Item description | References | Status | Support | Mnemonic |
| F.9.4.1 | MIH_Link_Detected indication? | 8.6.2.1 | MC1:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU1 |
| F.9.4.2 | MIH_Link_Up indication? | 8.6.2.2 | MC1:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU2 |
| F.9.4.3 | MIH_Link_Down indication? | 8.6.2.3 | MC1:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU3 |
| F.9.4.4 | MIH_Link_Going_Down indication? | 8.6.2.5 | MC1:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU4 |
| F.9.4.5 | MIH_Link_Parameters_Repo rt indication? | 8.6.2.4 | MC1:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU5 |
| F.9.4.6 | MIH_Link_Handover_Immin ent indication? | 8.6.2.6 | MC1:O | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU6 |
| F.9.4.7 | MIH_Link_Handover_Compl ete indication? | 8.6.2.7 | MC1:O | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU7 |
| F.9.4.8 | MIH_Link_Get_Parameters request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.1 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU8 |
| F.9.4.9 | MIH_Link_Get_Parameters response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.2 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU9 |
| F.9.4.10 | MIH_Link_Configure_Thres holds request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.3 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU10 |
| F.9.4.11 | MIH_Link_Configure_Thres holds response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.4 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU11 |
| F.9.4.12 | MIH_Link_Action request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.5 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU12 |
| F.9.4.13 | MIH_Link_Action response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.6 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU13 |
| F.9.4.14 | MIH_Net_HO_Candidate_Q uery request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.7 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU14 |
| F.9.4.15 | MIH_Net_HO_Candidate_Q uery response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.8 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU15 |
| F.9.4.16 | MIH_MN_HO_Candidate_Q uery request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.9 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU16 |
| F.9.4.17 | MIH_MN_HO_Candidate_Q uery response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.10 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU17 |
| F.9.4.18 | MIH_N2N_HO_Query_Reso urces request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.11 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU18 |
| F.9.4.19 | MIH_N2N_HO_Query_Reso urces response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.12 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU19 |
| F.9.4.20 | MIH_Net_HO_Commit request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.13 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU20 |
| F.9.4.21 | MIH_Net_HO_Commit response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.14 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU21 |
| F.9.4.22 | MIH_N2N_HO_Commit request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.15 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU22 |

| F.9.4.23 | MIH_N2N_HO_Commit response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.16 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU23 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| F.9.4.24 | MIH_MN_HO_Complete request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.17 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU24 |
| F.9.4.25 | MIH_MN_HO_Complete response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.18 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU25 |
| F.9.4.26 | MIH_N2N_HO_Complete request? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.19 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU26 |
| F.9.4.27 | MIH_N2N_HO_Complete response? | 5.3.3.1, 8.6.3.20 | MC2:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU27 |
| F.9.4.28 | MIH_Get_Information request? | 8.6.4.1 | MC3:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU28 |
| *F.9.4.29 | MIH_Get_Information response? | 8.6.4.2 | MC3:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU29 |
| F.9.4.30 | MIH_Capability_Discover request? | 8.6.1.1 | MC4:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU30 |
| F.9.4.31 | MIH_Capability_Discover response? | 8.6.1.2 | MC4:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU31 |
| F.9.4.32 | MIH_Register request? | 8.6.1.3 | MC5:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU32 |
| F.9.4.33 | MIH_Register response? | 8.6.1.4 | MC5:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU33 |
| F.9.4.34 | MIH_DeRegister request? | 8.6.1.5 | MC5:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU34 |
| F.9.4.35 | MIH_DeRegister response? | 8.6.1.6 | MC5:M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | PDU35 |
| F.9.4.36 | MIH_Event_Subscribe request? | 8.6.1.7 | M | Yes [] No [] | PDU36 |
| F.9.4.37 | MIH_Event_Subscribe response? | 8.6.1.8 | M | Yes [] No [] | PDU37 |
| F.9.4.38 | MIH_Event_Unsubscribe request? | 8.6.1.9 | M | Yes [] No [] | PDU38 |
| F.9.4.39 | MIH_Event_Unsubscribe response? | 8.6.1.10 | M | Yes [] No [] | PDU39 |

F.8.5 PDU parameters

| Item number | Item description | References | Status | Support | Supported Value |
|----------------|---|------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| F.9.5.1 | Maximum supported MIH_Get_Information response message size (in octets)? | 8.6.4.2 | PDU29: M | Yes [] No [] N/A [] | |

F.8.6 Timers

| Item number | Item description | References | Status | Support | Allowed values (s) | Supported values (s) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| F.9.6.1 | TransactionLifeTime Timer | 8.2.2.5 | M | Yes [] No [] | | |
| F.9.6.2 | RetransmissionInterval Timer | 8.2.2.8.2 | M | Yes [] No [] | | |

F.8.7 Negotiation capabilities

There are no dynamic negotiations permitted in this protocol.

EDITOR'S INSTRUCTION NOTE: This clause to be deleted.

F.8.8 Protocol error handling

This protocol only specifies one method of handling errors on receipt of non-supported PDUs or parameters.

EDITOR'S INSTRUCTION NOTE: This clause to be deleted.