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Abstract

This document contains minutes from TG1 teleconference on December 12, 2012.

**Notice:** This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.19. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

# Agenda review and approval

The following agenda was approved for the meeting:

1. Agenda review and approval
2. Attendance
3. The IEEE patent policy
4. Follow-up on topics discussed but not closed in San Antonio
	1. Mobility information
	2. Modified message and data type definitions
	3. Frequency range notation
	4. Other topics…

# Attendance

Tsuyoshi Shimomura (Fujitsu), Jari Junell (Nokia), Stanislav Filin (NICT), Mika Kasslin (Nokia)

# The IEEE patent policy

The TG1 chair Mika Kasslin reminded the participants on the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and shared the related material in the online meeting. He issued call for potentially essential patents. Nobody in the call responded to the call.

# Follow-up on topics discussed but not closed in San Antonio

## Mobility information

No contribution was presented and the topic was not discussed in the call.

## Modified message and data type definitions

Jari Junell of Nokia noted that there is a contribution 19-12/0228r0 in mentor about radio environment information. The contribution was not discussed in the call but all the members are encouraged to review the contribution before the next TG teleconference.

## Frequency range notation

Tsuyoshi Shimomura of Fujitsu gave a presentation on contribution 19-12/0226r0. The proposal was discussed and it was noted that some more time is needed to study the details of the contribution. Follow-up discussion on the proposal and on the topic itself in general is encouraged through the email refelector.

## Other topics

No other topics were discussed.