IEEE P802.19
Wireless Coexistence

Comment Resolution on the Object of Authentication of Coexistence System Entities				
Date: 2012-07-18				
Author(s):				
Name	**Company**	**Address**	**Phone**	**Email**
Jinho Kim	LGE	LG R&D Complex 533, Hogye-1dong, Dongan-Gu, Anyang-Shi, Kyungki-Do, 431-749, Korea	+82-31-450-1867	boiler4.kim@lge.com
Jinyoung Chun	LGE	LG R&D Complex 533, Hogye-1dong, Dongan-Gu, Anyang-Shi, Kyungki-Do, 431-749, Korea	+82-31-450-1856	jiny.chun@lge.com
Suhwook Kim	LGE	LG R&D Complex 533, Hogye-1dong, Dongan-Gu, Anyang-Shi, Kyungki-Do, 431-749, Korea		
Wookbong Lee	LGE			
Jinsam Kwak	LGE			

Abstract

This document provides normative text related to the LB CID#66.

CID	Clause	Subclause	Page	Line	Type	Comment	Suggested Remedy	Response
66		5.2.1				What is the technical meaning or purpose of "WSO authentication/deauthentication" ? is it an authentication of a device or a user..?	need clarification and add some further descriptions in the section.	

[Note- Underlined blue text is new text as below.**]**

From the last Atlanta F2F meeting..
 Question 1: Is it an authentication of a device or a user?
➜   Answer: it's an authentication of a device..

Open Discussion
Here are some further basic questions..

Basic Question 1: Why do we need an authentication procedure?
➜   Answer 1: I think, it's because to evaluate a kind of "validity" prior to serve coexistence services.
     Are there any other opinion?

Basic Question 2: What do you think about the "validity" of whether the device can be served the coexistence services at the given time?
➜   Option 1: it is something regarding H/W or S/W capability of the device.
➜   Option 2: it is something a kind of 19.1 compatibility of the device.
➜   Option 3: it is something about a right upon the user who operates the device at the given time.
     Are there any other options in mind?

I would like to hear your opinions regarding the three options for definition of the "validity".