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Abstract

This document is a submission to IEEE 802.19 TG1 proposing resolution to comments to clause 4.3.2

**Notice:** This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.19. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

# Comment #1

# In section 5.3, the parameter "NetworkGeometryClass" in "AvailableChannelsResponce" and "AvailableChannelsAnnoucement" is considered as mandatory parameters, so this parameter "NetworkGeometryClass" in"CoexistenceReportElement" must be not "OPTIONAL" but mandatory in supporting co-channel sharing mechanism (Section 9.4.4).

# Proposed resolution for Comment #1

*It is proposed to remove "OPTIONAL" in this sentence (line 9, page 35) as shown below:*

CoexistenceReportElement ::= SEQUENCE {

…

networkGeometryClass NetworkGeometryClass OPTIONAL

}

# Comment #2

In section 5.3, the parameter "aggrIntCntrParamsAggrIntCntrParams" in "CoexistenceSetInformationResponce", "CoexistenceReportAnnouncement" and "CoexistenceReportResponce" is considered as mandatory parameters, so this parameter "aggrIntCntrParamsAggrIntCntrParams" in "AvailableChannelElement" must be not "OPTIONAL" but mandatory in supporting output power level control mechanism (Section 9.4.6).

# Proposed resolution for Comment #2

*It is proposed to remove "OPTIONAL" in this sentence (line 29, page 35) as shown below:*

AvailableChannelElement ::= SEQUENCE {

…

aggrIntCntrParamsAggrIntCntrParams OPTIONAL

}

# Comment #3

In section 5.3, the parameter "NetworkTechnology" in "AvailableChannelsResponce" and "AvailableChannelsAnnoucement" is considered as mandatory parameters, so this parameter "NetworkTechnology" in "ReconfigurationRequestElement" must be not "OPTIONAL" but mandatory in supporting co-channel sharing mechanism (Section 9.4.4).

# Proposed resolution for Comment #3

*It is proposed to remove "OPTIONAL" in this sentence (line 7, page 38) as shown below:*

ReconfigurationRequestElement ::= SEQUENCE {

…

networkTechnology NetworkTechnology OPTIONAL

}