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Abstract

This document constitutes the minutes of the IEEE 802.18 Technical Advisory Group ISUS Ad-Hoc for 25 July.

In these minutes

**C:** means question from participant.

**Chair:** means statement by the chair as chair.
Highlight means action point.

Chair: Amelia Andersdotter (Comcast)

Secretary: Amelia Andersdotter (Comcast)
Attendance: Stuart Kerry (OK-Brit, Self)

IEEE SA Program Manager: Jodi Hassz (IEEE SA)

* IEEE 802.18, RR-TAG website: <https://www.ieee802.org/18/>

These are the minutes of the IEEE 802 RR-TAG ISUS Ad-Hoc teleconference on Monday, 1 August 2022 at 11:00 AM ET.

1. Chair calls the meeting to order at 15:02 ET.

Agenda slide deck [18/22-0079r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/22/18-22-0079-00-0000-rr-tag-agenda-28-july-2022.pptx)

1. Chair presents administrative items
	1. RR-TAG Policies & Procedures [ [802 LMSC WG P&P](https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/21/ec-21-0207-23-0PNP-ieee-802-lmsc-working-group-policies-and-procedures.pdf)]
	2. IEEE 802 meeting and participant’s guidelines and requirements [[link](http://www.ieee802.org/devdocs.shtml)]
	3. IEEE policies and procedures [[link](https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/opman/)]
	4. The IEEE SA Individual method participant behavior slide set was presented
	5. Reminder that IMAT is **not** being used for attendance
	6. Online meeting procedures reminder

Chair asks group if there are any questions with IEEE policies. No response, no comments on WebEx Chat window.

1. Chair presents the agenda (slide #9).

**C:** There has been one submission with suggestions for an update to the text as is published on the isus mentor.
**Chair:** I would prefer to keep the agenda focussed on our target audience and the purpose of the document for today, and we can keep actual work on the text for next week? Does anyone have objections to this? In my head it will be easier to work through the document if we can clarify this first.
Chair: So I don't think we need to be very formal and motion the agenda here. I'll just ask if there is any objection to following the agenda as it is cited in 18-22-0078r0?

No objections.
2. Main discussion: Target audience and purpose for this document

Document 18-22-0064r1 (“Teleconference minutes 2 June 2022”), para. 6, contains a preliminary discussion in RR-TAG on the document. It was observed at that time that the target audience of this document was not clear, that the use of the document since its approval was also not clear and that maintaining a document like this might be a lot of work.

**C:** Is it possible to have a high-level overview of the process for this document?
**C:** After consultation with a range of stakeholders inside the IEEE and IEEE-SA, this document will be passed to the IEEE-SA and IEEE Boards and will become the official position from the IEEE. It's a strong statement when it's accepted by the highest level of decision-makers inside our institution.
**C:** Does that mean that the target audience for this document is internal to the IEEE and IEEE-SA? Would we be working on these documents to inform the Boards of these associations what we are working on, for instance?
**C:** Not necessarily, the document is also published on the IEEE website so it becomes the position of the IEEE on unlicensed spectrum usage, for instance.
**C:** To me it's still not obvious what the use of the document is.
**C:** Currently the document contains a lot of market data that is woefully out of date.
**C:** I don't really see why we would have to update the statement very often, to be honest.
**C:** It expires every three years so at least once per three years there would have to be a revision process.
**C:** I think that there is a value in having a document that is searchable by the general public, or that the general public can find when they want to know about activities that the IEEE and IEEE-SA are doing in the field of unlicensed spectrum.
**C:** Does that mean you would like for a future IEEE Spectrum Policy Statement to be more high-level and accessible to a general audience? The main text of this statement will have to be different if we're thinking about it as a way of informing a general public about the activities of our committee in IEEE or IEEE more generally, or if we're trying to disseminate information upwards in the organisation about activities in our committee.
**C:** I agree with a previous speaker that having a generalised statement targetting the public from the IEEE would be useful. A member of the public will not google us specifically, but they might google IEEE and unlicensed spectrum. It would be useful if we could be found then.
**C:** I would have to rethink some of the things I wanted to propose as additions to this document in light of this conversation, I think.
**Chair:** I would like to attempt a summary of this conversation: we feel that there would be a value in having a spectrum statement from the IEEE that could represent technical work that we are doing on technologies used in unlicensed spectrum, and that such a statement might have to be less detailed in market data and references to specific technologies than is the case in the current document? If that is the case, we may want to have a more clean-slate approach in our drafting of an update, since the new text would have to be significantly changed?
**C:** That sounds about fair.
**Chair:** In this case I would like to ask participants here to spend the time before the next meeting to reflect on what kind of paragraphs and wordings should go into a clean-slate statement that is intended to be understood by a general, non-technical audience that tries to search on the internet for IEEE and unlicensed spectrum.
3. **Any other business**

Chair apologized for connectivity problems.

No one raises other issues.

1. **Final administrative items**

14 participants and 11 voters (including the chair) [Report by Stuart Kerry].

* 1. **Next ISUS teleconference call scheduled for: 1 August 2022, 15h00 ET.**
		+ 1. Call in info: <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/16/18-16-0038-24-0000-teleconference-call-in-info.pptx>
			2. IEEE 802 Wireless interim is 12-16 September in Waikoloa.
			3. Currently, weekly teleconferences are scheduled until 22 September 2022.
			4. All late changes/cancellations will be sent out to the 802.18 list server.
			5. Overall IEEE 802 schedule: <https://ieee802.org/16/cal-temp.html> or only 802.18: [IEEE 802.18 TAG Calendar](https://calendar.google.com/calendar/embed?src=c2gedttabtbj4bps23j4847004@group.calendar.google.com&ctz=America%2FNew_York)
	2. Adjourn:
* Any objection to Adjourn? None heard.
* Adjourn at 11:57 ET

**ATTENDANCE (ISUS Weekly Adhoc Meeting Attendance Names and Affiliations):**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Voting Attendees:** |  |  | **25-Jul** |
| 1 | **Andersdotter** | Amelia | Comcast | **x** |
| 2 | **Au** | Edward (Kwok Shum) | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd | **x** |
| 3 | **Auluck** | Vijay | Self | **x** |
| 4 | **Fang** | Yonggang | MediaTek | **x** |
| 5 | **Kennedy** | Richard | Huawei Paris | **x** |
| 6 | **Kerry** | Stuart | OK‐Brit, Self | **x** |
| 7 | **Kürner** | Thomas | TU Braunschweig | **x** |
| 8 | **Petrick** | Al | Skyworks Solutions Inc. | **x** |
| 9 | **Rolfe** | Benjamin | Blind Creek Associates | **x** |
| 10 | **Scott** | Andy | NCTA – The Internet & Television Association | **x** |
| 11 | **Yaghoobi** | Hassan | Intel Corporation | **x** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Non-Voting Attendees:** |  | **25-Jul** |
| 1 | **Hernandez** | Marc | Yokosuka Research Park-Institute for International Collaboration, National Institute of Information & Communications Technology (YRP-IAI NICT) | **x** |
| 2 | **Mulberry** | Karen | IEEE SA Public Affairs Team | **x** |
| 3 | **Smith** | Luther | CableLabs | **x** |