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***Comment Indices #1360 in 15-24-0371-13-04ab-consolidated-comments\_draft\_1.0***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Sub-Clause** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1360 | Pooria Pakrooh | 10.38.9.3.11 | 85 | 3 | Why number of RSF/RIF MAC param? it is part of the MMS PHY packet. Clarify whether these are Phy or MAC parameters. | As in the comment |

**Discussion:** NB higher channel map field should point to channels in UNII-5.

**Resolution: Accepted**

***Comment Indices #1362 in 15-24-0371-13-04ab-consolidated-comments\_draft\_1.0***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Sub-Clause** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1362 | Pooria Pakrooh | 10.38.9.3.17 | 88 | 16 | This sequence mapping can be applied to control sequence since it is HPRF, but SYNC/SFD sequence for the MMRS packet is better to be 127 for RSF=127 to keep PRF consistent.. | As in the comment |

**Discussion:** In pre-ballot draft C,

**Resolution: Revised**

**Notes to the Editor:**