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| **Name** | **Index#** | **Pg** | **Sub-Clause** | **Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Disposition** |
| Billy Verso | 1198 | 79 | 10.38.9.2.1  | 11 | This is talking about resolving RPA, and discarding frames. This needs to be part of the general receive frame processing.  | Add text about compact frame address filtering / receive frame processing into a revised (reviewed) subclause 6.2.2 Reception and rejection, including editorial marks to apply the necessary changes so that MAC can work with Compact Frames and other frames pre-existing and newly added by 4ab. | RejectThe cited subclause is specific to Private address and seems to be the appropriate subclause for the cited sentence. |
| Billy Verso | 1201 | 80 | 10.38.9.2.3 | 5 | Are all outgoing secure compact frames going to responders, maybe sometimes they are sent to "initiators" or just to other devices with different roles. Better to use the term "destination" than responder. | Make this paragraph more generic, use the terms "source" and "destination" rather than "initiator" and "responder". | Revise |
| Billy Verso | 1202 | 80 | 10.38.9.2.3 | 9 | The term "marked as resolved" is not explained and does not appear in the referenced clause 10.38.9.2.1, although this clause is talking about RPA being resolved, and it does talk about the RPA being marked as unresolved. | Use the same terms for the same thing, better still if clause 6 is filtering and discarding, then instead of "marked as resolved" instead say "passes the first level frame filtering of 6.6.2". | Revise |
| Billy Verso | 1203 | 80 | 10.38.9.2.3 | 12 | This says that the mapping of the extended address to the IRK is not defined in this standard, but I think it has to be, or at least the PIB should include a structure with IRK and associated RPA and extended addresses, (it can be left to the upper layer to populate this but it needs to exist). Can these be added to the secCompactFrameKeyDescriptor or is a separate structure needed? | Add to secCompactFrameKeyDescriptor or create an appropriate descriptor to link IRK, RPA, extended address, and whatever security key information the MAC needs populated in order secure Compact frames in TX and process them appropriately in RX. | RejectSince 10.38.9.2.1 (Private addresses) states that the generation and mutual exchange of IRKs is out of scope of this standard, the mapping between IRKs and extended addresses is also out of scope.  |

**Proposed text changes on P802.15.4ab™/D01:**

**10.38.9.2.3 Extended Address**

***Modify the subclause as follows (Track changes ON)***

…

For an outgoing secure Compact frame that is sent to a single destination device, the extended address of the responder is used to identify the security key. For an outgoing secure Compact frame that is sent to more

than one destination device, the security key is identified based on the extended address of the originator of the group key.

For an incoming secure Compact frame whose RPA is marked as resolved (as described in 10.38.9.2.1), the

corresponding security key can be identified based on the extended address that is mapped to the IRK used

to resolve the RPA of the frame.