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***Comment Indices in 15-24-0371-00-04ab-consolidated-comments-draft-1-0:***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Index#** | **Pg** | **Sub-Clause** | **Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Disposition** |
| Billy Verso | 1039 | 27 | 9.2.12 | 8 | "This procedure does not apply to Compact frames that are not listed above.", should perhaps state more clearly that other compact frames cannot be secured, since neither procedures of 9.2.12 nor 9.2.2 apply. | Add at the end of the line, ", i.e., other Compact frames can not be secured." | Accept |
| Billy Verso | 1042 | 28 | 9.2.13 | 13 | "This procedure does not apply to Compact frames that are not listed above.", should perhaps state more clearly that other compact frames cannot be secured, since neither procedures of 9.2.12 nor 9.2.2 apply. | Add at the end of the line, ", i.e., other Compact frames can not be secured." | Accept |
|  | | | | | | | |
| Rojan Chitrakar | 104 | 27 | 9.2.12 | 29 | relative ranging block should be relative block as per 10.32.3.5 Hyper block mode. | replace relative ranging block with relative block | Accept |
| Rojan Chitrakar | 106 | 29 | 9.2.13 | 19 | relative ranging block should be relative block as per 10.32.3.5 Hyper block mode. | replace relative ranging block with relative block | Accept |
| Rojan Chitrakar | 107 | 30 | 9.3.2.4 | 11 | relative ranging block should be relative block as per 10.32.3.5 Hyper block mode. | replace relative ranging block with relative block | Accept |
|  | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | |
| Alex Krebs | 1383 | 30 | 9.3.2.4 | 6 | Typo in Bits | Change 24 to 32 | Accept |
|  | | | | | | | |
| Tero Kivinen | 382 | 52 | 10.32.9.10 | 1 | The section 10.38.9.3.20 defines round index as 8-bit field and the security restricts it to 8-bit field. Here the round index is 14 bit field. | Change Round Index to 8-bit field. |  |
| Tero Kivinen | 381 | 52 | 10.32.9.11 | 17 | The section 10.38.9.3.20 defines round index as 8-bit field and the security restricts it to 8-bit field. Here the round index is 14 bit field. | Change Round Index to 8-bit field. |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **Disposition:** Reject  **Disposition Reason:** The two cited fields are from the Scheduling IE and ERR IE respectively, both of which are carried in legacy 802.15.4 frames and not Compact frame and hence are not subjected to the 8-bit limitation which only apply to Compact frames. | | | | | | | |