IEEE P802.15
Wireless Specialty Networks

|  |
| --- |
| IEEE P802.15.7aTG7a CRG Telco minutes from March to May 2024 |
| Date: 2024-05-08 |
| Author: |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | Email |
| Sang-Kyu Lim | ETRI |  |  | sklim@etri.re.kr |
| Yeong Min Jang | Kookmin University |  |  | yjang@kookmin.ac.kr |
| Herfandi | Kookmin University |  |  |  |
| Ones Sanjerico Sitanggang | Kookmin University |  |  |  |

Abstract

# This document contains the TG7a Optical Camera Communications CRG Telco minutes from March to May 2024.

**Wednesday, March 27, 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:00 AM (EST)**

**Attendance:**

* Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University)
* Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI)
* Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University)
* Ones Sanjerico Sitanggang (Kookmin University)
* Herfandi (Kookmin University)
* Muhammad Adnan (Kookmin University)

**Minutes:**

1. The Chair, Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University), called the meeting to order. Herfandi (Kookmin University) recorded the minutes.
2. The Chair announced the patent and the copyright policy. There was no response.
3. The Chair reported briefly the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r10) of the Initial SA Ballot for D6 draft and the new D7 redline draft. He also mentioned about SA Ballot comment resolution guidelines, comment resolution process and the draft update as follows:
* Use a spreadsheet application (e.g Excel) to edit the file, completing the columns for “Disposition Status” and “Disposition Details” for all comments.
* The “Disposition Status” column must contain one of the following values:
* Accepted
* Revised
* Rejected
* Disposition status is “*Accepted*”

Means: The CRG agrees exactly with comment and change proposed by the commenter.

* Prerequisite: The changes proposed in the comment contain sufficient detail so that voters can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter and the editor can make the change.
* Changes to the draft standard must be made verbatim as per the balloter’s comment.
* The disposition detail column should be left blank.
* Disposition status is “*Revised*”

Means: CRG agrees in principle with the comment and/or proposed change, and one or more of the following:

* The CRG disagrees with all or part of the specific details in the proposed change in the comment,
* The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter, or
* The changes made by the CRG contain additions or modifications to what was proposed by the commenter
* The disposition details column should contain sufficient detail so that balloters can understand the specific changes determined by the CRG and the technical editor can make the change
* Disposition status is “*Rejected*”

The disposition detail field should explain why the comment is being rejected using one or more of these reasons:

* An explanation of why the CRG disagrees with the comment,
* Statement that the comment is out of scope, and the rationale,
* Statement that the proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter
* Statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment, along with the reason
* Statement that the CRG has previously considered the comment (or a substantively similar comment), along with identification (by reference or copy) of the original comment and its disposition detail and status
* Statement of why the CRG considers that the attachment does not meet the criteria indicated by the myProject system; or cannot be addressed as a single issue; or does not relate to a specific line, paragraph, figure, or equation in the balloted draft
1. The Technical Editor uploaded the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r10) to the mentor by Sang-Kyu Lim’s request.
2. The CRG discussed how to review the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r10) and the new redline D7 draft. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) suggested that the comment resolution details including disposition status and disposition detail for all of the comments and the corresponding redline part should be double-checked.
3. The CRG discussed the agenda for today teleconference as follows:

- Review and discussion on the comment resolution details in doc. 15-24-0072/r10

- Double-check the new redline D7 draft for each comment

1. The Technical Editor opened the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r10), in which all of the comments have been aggregated, to start to review and discuss the comment resolution detail and the corresponding redline part from the first comment I-1.
2. 20 comments shown below were discussed, double-checked and fixed.
* I-1 to I-5 : Fixed and see the doc. 15-24-0072/r11 for its disposition detail.
* I-6 : Under discussion and Sang-Kyu Lim will respond to this comment next teleconference after his review.
* I-7 to I-21 : Fixed and see the doc. 15-24-0072/r11 for its disposition detail.
* I-22 : Under discussion and Sang-Kyu Lim will respond to this comment next teleconference after his review.
1. The CRG decided to upload the revised comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r11) to the mentor.
2. The CRG teleconference recess.

**Wednesday, April 10, 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:00 AM(EST)**

This meeting was cancelled via email reflector for CRG because April 10 was the official national holiday for the National Assembly elections in Korea..

**Wednesday, April 24, 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:30 AM (EST)**

**Attendance:**

* Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University)
* Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI)
* Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University)
* Ones Sanjerico Sitanggang (Kookmin University)
* Herfandi (Kookmin University)
* Muhammad Adnan (Kookmin University)
1. The Chair, Yeong Min Jang (Universitas Kookmin), called the meeting to order.
2. The IEEE 802.15.7a CRG Teleconference was chaired by Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University). Ones Sanjerico (Kookmin University) recorded the minutes.
3. The Chair announced the patent and copyright policy, but there was no response.
4. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had uploaded the contribution document (15-24-0209/r0) on April 22 to discuss the issues on the initial SA Ballot comment resolution for CIDs I-1 to I-50 (doc. 15-24-0072-11-007a) and IEEE P802.15.7a D7 redline draft. Thus, the main purpose of this teleconference is to resolve the comments for CIDs I-1 to I-50 clearly and to fix the comment resolutions for them.
5. Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University), TG7a Technical Editor, took over the host position for the discussion on the initial SA ballot comment resolution from the Chair.
6. The CRG discussed the process for reviewing the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r11) and the new D7 draft redline. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) provided guidance documents on how to update the document. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) requested that the details of comment resolutions, including status and disposition details for all comments, and redline sections, be thoroughly reviewed and explained in detail.
7. The CRG discussed today's teleconference agenda as follows:
* Review and discuss the details of comment resolution for CIDs I-1 to I-50 in document 15-24-0072/r11 and the discrepancy between the comment resolution and the current redline D7 draft.
* Double-check the new redline D7 draft for each comment.
1. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had the short presentation on the contribution document (15-24-0209/r0) showing the discussion issues on the initial SA Ballot comment resolution for CIDs I-1 to I-50 (doc. 15-24-0072-11-007a) and the current redline D7 draft. The group decided to discuss and double-check the issues while reviewing the comments and the corresponding parts in the redline D7 draft one by one.
2. The Technical Editor opened the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r11), where all comments had been aggregated, to initiate the review and discussion of comment resolution details and the corresponding redline sections from the I-1 to the I-50 as suggestions from doc. 15-24-0209/r0.
3. 50 comments were discussed, double-checked and fixed. Please, find the doc. 15-24-0072/r12.
4. The CRG decided to upload the revised comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r12) to the mentor after this teleconference.
5. The CRG teleconference recess.

**Wednesday, May 08, 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:15 AM(EST)**

**Attendance:**

* Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University)
* Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI)
* Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University)
1. The Chair, Yeong Min Jang (Universitas Kookmin), called the meeting to order.
2. The IEEE 802.15.7a CRG Teleconference was chaired by Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University). Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) recorded the minutes.
3. The Chair announced the patent and copyright policy, but there was no response.
4. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had uploaded the contribution document (15-24-0236/r0) on May 06 to discuss the issues on the initial SA Ballot comment resolution for CIDs I-51 to I-92 (doc. 15-24-0072-12-007a) and IEEE P802.15.7a D7 redline draft. Thus, the main purpose of this teleconference is to resolve the comments for CIDs I-51 to I-92 clearly and to fix the comment resolutions for them.
5. Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University), TG7a Technical Editor, took over the host position for the discussion on the initial SA ballot comment resolution from the Chair.
6. The CRG discussed today's teleconference agenda as follows:
* Review and discuss the details of comment resolution for CIDs I-51 to I-92 in document 15-24-0072/r12 and the discrepancy between the comment resolution and the current redline D7 draft.
* Double-check the new redline D7 draft for each comment.
1. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had the short presentation on the contribution document (15-24-0236/r0) showing the discussion issues on the initial SA Ballot comment resolution for CIDs I-51 to I-92 (doc. 15-24-0072-12-007a) and the current redline D7 draft. The group decided to discuss and double-check the issues while reviewing the comments and the corresponding parts in the redline D7 draft one by one.
2. The Technical Editor opened the comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r12), where all comments had been aggregated, to initiate the review and discussion of comment resolution details and the corresponding redline sections from the I-51 to the I-92 as suggestions from doc. 15-24-0236/r0.
3. 42 comments were discussed, double-checked and fixed. Please, find the doc. 15-24-0072/r13.
4. The CRG decided to upload the revised comment resolution document (15-24-0072/r13) to the mentor after this teleconference.
5. The CRG teleconference adjourned.