**IEEE P802.15**

**Wireless Personal Area Networks**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project | IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs) |
| Title | IEEE 802.15.4z HRP comment resolutions |
| Date Submitted | 01/13/2020 |
| Source | Aditya Vinod Padaki (Samsung), Mingyu Lee (Samsung) |
| Re: |  |
| Abstract | This contribution proposes updated text for the baseline draft P802.15.4z-D1 |
| Purpose | Provision of the text to facilitate its incorporation into the draft text of the IEEE 802.15.4z standard currently under development in TG4z. |
| Notice | This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.15 Working Group. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the “Source(s)” field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein. |
| Release |  |
| Patent Policy | The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:  <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6> and  <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3>.  Further information is located at <http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html> and  <http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat>. |

*This document addresses the resolutions to the comments from the SA Ballot for IEEE 802.15.4z*

*CIDs: i-216, i-62, i-64, i-65, i-238, i-239, i-70, i-213.*

**CID i-216**

Resolution: Reject

Resolution Detail:

The CRG disagrees with the comment: This sub-clause provides a capability that enhances ranging techniques by providing a standardized information exchanged supporting a widely used ranging application. The contents of the IE are important for transactions and maintaining a standard way to communicating the contents enabled and specified through this scheme between ERDEVs. The contents of this IE are critically important as STS generation will be dependent on the contents specified in this IE based on the APDUs. So, this should be specified in 15.4z in this subclause. The feature is optional, but when used, the group believes defining how it is done enables doing so in an interoperable manner.

**CID i-62**

Resolution: Revise

*Change the sentence on Page 74, Line 17 as:*

The next higher layer may use the Ranging or Ancillary Message Number field of the RAICT IE to keep track of multiple messages during multiple message transfers.

*Change on Page 96, Figure 17 as:*

Change the name of the field “Ranging/Ancillary Message Sequence Number” to “Ranging or Ancillary Message Number”

*Change on Page 96 Line 15, Line 16, Line 18 as:*

Where “Ranging/Ancillary Message Sequence Number” occurs, change to “Ranging or Ancillary Message Number”

**CID i-64, i-65**

*Change on Page 74 Line 19 as:*

If the initiator is not the controller, the RAICT IE can be used to request to the controller, using the field To controller with RCR, a number of slots as specified by Frames Remaining field to be scheduled for the next exchange.

*Change on Page 96 Line 25*

Request the controller to schedule the number of slots as specified in number of data frames (or ranging initiation messages) remaining.

*Change on Page 96 Line 20*

The Frames Remaining field conveys to the responder the number of frames remaining to complete the present ranging ancillary data message exchange, or, conveys a request for this number of slots for the next exchange when the To Controller with RCR field is 1.

**CID i-238**

*Change Figure 73 to the following*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bits: 1** | **15** | **Octets: 2/4** | **2/4** | **1** | **Variable** |
| Beacon Interval Length | RBS Duration | Beacon Interval | First RCM Slot | RM Table Length | RM Table |

**CID i-239**

*Change Page 98 Line 12 to the following:*

The Beacon Interval Length field when zero indicates that the Beacon Interval field length and the First RCM Slot field length is two octets, or when one that the Beacon Interval field length and the First RCM Slot field length is four octets.

**CID i-70**

*Add the following sentence on Page 14, Line 22 after the sentence “… ranging beacon.” :*

The ranging beacon occupies RBS number zero.

*Change Line 16 on Page 98 as:*

The First RCM Slot field conveys the ranging management period slot index where the first RCM is to be transmitted (i.e. effectively defining the end of the ranging management period).

**CID i-213**

*Add sentence on Page 104 Line 28 as follows:*

Typically, a new call of MLME-RX-ENABLE.request is not issued until the duration for the current list has elapsed, however, when a new call is issued, it overrides and cancels all the previous calls of MLME-RX-ENABLE.request and disables the receiver if enabled at the time the new call is issued.