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* 1. **Type of Ballot:** Individual

# Expected Date of submission of draft to the IEEE-SA for Initial Sponsor Ballot: 03/2021

* 1. **Projected Completion Date for Submittal to RevCom:** 11/2021

# Approximate number of people expected to be actively involved in the development of this project: 25

* 1. **Scope:** This revision changes the 802.15.9 from a Recommended Practice to a Standard. Additionally it defines security key management extensions to address session key generation (both 128 and 256 bit key lengths), creation and/or transport of broadcast/multicast keys, and security algorithm agility. New KMPs may be added as part of this Standard. This standard is backwards compatible with 802.15.9 Recommended Practice.

# Is the completion of this standard dependent upon the completion of another standard: No

* 1. **Purpose:** This standard describes support for transporting KMP datagrams to support the security functionality present in IEEE Std 802.15.4. Significant in support of KMP transport is the definition of a general purpose multiplexed (MPX) data service supporting fragmentation, re-assembly, and protocol dispatch for payloads unable to fit in a single MAC frame.
	2. **Need for the Project:** The IEEE 802.15.9 Recommended Practice has been useful for the current user community, but converting it to a standard will improve the consistency of how it is used, facilitate compliancy verification/certification, expand the community of users, and facilitate its reference and use in other Standards such as 802.15.12 draft standard for an intelligent upper layer interface (ULI) for IEEE Std 802.15.4. In addition, the IEEE 802.15.4y draft amendment for Security Next Generation is adding support for 256-bit key lengths and the ability to select other Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data (AEAD) ciphers. For this to be accomplished, supporting capability needs to be added to IEEE Std 802.15.9. Further, current implementers of IEEE Std 802.15.9 have created their own specifications on how key material should be used to create session keys, since these are not currently covered in IEEE Std 802.15.9, and the Recommended Practice does not include some of the KMPs emerging in the Internet of Things (IoT) market, for example (Datagram) Transport Layer Security (D)TLS 1.3 or Ephemeral Diffie-Hellman Over Concise Binary Object Representing Objects Signing and Encryption (EDHOC). This deficiency is yet another driver pushing adopting Alliances to create their own specifications. This is counter to the goal of achieving broad scale interoperability. This standard addresses that deficiency.
	3. **Stakeholders for the Standard:** The stakeholders include silicon vendors, manufacturers and users of telecom, medical, environmental, energy, and consumer electronics equipment and manufacturers and users of equipment involving the use of wireless sensor and control networks.
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