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# CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT (CSD)

Based on IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manuals approved 15 November 2013

Last edited 20 January 2014

**Amendment to IEEE 802.15.4:**

**Standard for Low Data Rate Wireless Networks**

# IEEE 802 criteria for standards development (CSD)

The CSD documents an agreement between the WG and the Sponsor that provides a description of the project and the Sponsor's requirements more detailed than required in the PAR. The CSD consists of the project process requirements, 1.1, and the 5C requirements, 1.2.

## Project process requirements

### Managed objects

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

1. The definitions will be part of this project.
2. The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
3. The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed. No managed objects are intended to be created since the LLC will not change the MAC or PHY, but if a managed object is created it will be part of this project.

### Coexistence

A WG proposing a wireless project shall demonstrate coexistence through the preparation of a Coexistence Assurance (CA) document unless it is not applicable.

1. Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13? (yes/no) No
2. If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable. This project defines/standardizes an LLC with no changes to the MAC or PHY, therefore CA documents are not necessary.

## 5C requirements

### Broad market potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

1. Broad sets of applicability.

The IEEE 802.15.4 standard was originally developed to service the needs of wireless sensor networks, now known as the Internet of Things (IoT). The total available market is enormous. For IEEE 802.15.4, well over a billion devices are installed today and over a million units ship daily, a number which is rapidly growing.

This standard is aimed at helping IEEE 802.15.4 increase its leadership position in IoT marketplace by adapting it to numerous higher level protocols, integrating other DLL protocol extensions, and generally making it easier to use to use IEEE 802.15.4 devices.

1. Multiple vendors and numerous users.

There are many silicon and system vendors already producing devices and systems based on IEEE 802.15.4 for use in IoT applications which includes things like consumer electronics, mobile devices, building automation, medical applications, SmartGrid, industrial control and many more and therefore has a very large end user community.

### Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Sponsor.

1. Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q? No

b) If the answer to a) is no, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG. The proposed standard provides services to an existing standard for which it has been previously determined that compliance with the above IEEE 802 standards is not possible.

* + 1. Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

The IEEE 802.15.4 standard was developed specifically to optimally address the needs of IoT networks and is broadly used in that application. It remains unique in that regard. This standard serves to help increase the competitive edge of this standard.

### Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

1. Demonstrated system feasibility.

There are numerous proprietary protocol stacks that provide some of the services that this standard will provide, this project will integrate many of those functionalities along with new functionalities into the standard.

1. Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.

See a)

### Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

1. Balanced costs (infrastructure versus attached stations).

Implementing the LLC will be a firmware implementation on today’s faster and already cheaper devices. It should be possible to create a workable solution with little to no hardware cost impact.

1. Known cost factors.

See a)

1. Consideration of installation costs.

See a)

1. Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).

See a)

1. Other areas, as appropriate.