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**Comments #** **R16, R18, R37, R41, R42, R75, R80, R104, R113, R161**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Page | Sub-clause | Line # | Comment | Proposed Change |
| R16 | 3 | 3.1 | 44 | Is a mesh root restricted to enabling access to just one entity? | Explain why, or modify definition |
| R18 | 3 | 3.1 | 50 | mesh tree providing connectivity | connectivity to what? |
| R37 | 10 | 4.3 | 38 | "A mesh tree is organized into a hierarchical mesh tree" | Circular characterization <unnecessary for a tree> |
| R41 | 10 | 4.3 | 52 | is allowed by the mesh root | Explain motivation for the restriction |
| R42 | 10 | 4.3 | 52 | is allowed by the mesh root | Allow device to decide for itself |
| R75 | 21 | 5.2.1 | 35 | Section cross-reference needed for discussion | section 5.4.1.4 |
| R80 | 23 | 5.2.1 | 51 | 'N' conflicts with rows 9 and 10 of table 3 | Pick new variable for one of the 'N's |
| R104 | 34 | 5.3.3 | 14 | What reasons are NOT good reasons for recovery? | Give some examples, or reword sentence. |
| R113 | 39 | 5.4.1.4 | 15 | "E2E Retry Limit are set by the device forwarding the aggregated frame" | This makes the individual payloads NOT E2E. |
| R161 | 53 | 6.2.1.1 | 25 | "backhaul" is undefined | Create definition (or re-word) |

**Resolution: AiP**

**CIDs R16, R18:** Definition for mesh root should allow for connectivity to one or more services.

* ***Replace definition of mesh root as follows:***

“Device with the depth 0 in a L2R mesh providing access to a service. It may act as a gateway connecting to one or more external services.”

**CID R37**: Statement is redundant and amounts to a circular definition.

* ***Modify first sentence on line 37 of page 10 as follows:***

A mesh ~~tree~~ is organized into a hierarch~~ical~~y ~~mesh tree~~.

**CIDs R41, R42:** The mesh root does not need to control the use of brother routing.

* ***Delete the following phrase from last sentence on line 52 of page 1:***

“if brother routing is allowed by the mesh root.”

**CID R80:** The use of variable name 'N' conflicts with rows 9 and 10 of table 3.

* ***Modify contents of the first cell on line 35 of page 23 as follows:***

Number of metrics ~~N~~M

**CID R104:** There is no need to illustrate the reasons for data recovery; to do so could be erroneously interpreted as listing all such reasons.

* ***Delete the following phrase from last sentence on line 52 of page 1:***

“due to reasons such as an empty NT or power outage”.

**CID R113:** The mechanism for frame aggregation causes the retry limit to no longer be end-to-end. This suggests renaming the limit to simply be a “Retry Limit”

* ***Replace “E2E Retry Limit” by “Retry Limit” throughout the document.***

**CID R161**: The term backhaul should be defined (if used at all).

* ***Insert the following definition for backhaul*** ***in section 3.1:***

The portion of the network connecting one PAN to another, or to an external network (or Internet)