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**Five Criteria**

**1. BROAD MARKET POTENTIAL**

**a) Broad sets of applicability**

*There is a need for increased wireless data rates to service aggregated data streams in switched point-to-point applications in data center, cellular wireless backhaul/fronthaul and intra-device communcation.*

**b) Multiple vendors and numerous users**

*The membership of IEEE 802.15 has shown interest in communications capabilities of this type. Members include international wireless industry leaders, academic researchers, semiconductor manufacturers, communication equipment manufacturers, system integrators and end users.*

**2. COMPATIBILITY**

**IEEE 802 LMSC defines a family of standards. All standards should be in conformance : IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1D, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG. In order to demonstrate compatibility with this criterion, the Five Criteria statement must answer the following questions.**

1. **Does the PAR mandate that the standard shall comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1D and IEEE Std 802.1Q?**
2. **If not, how will the WG ensure that the resulting draft standard is compliant, or if not, receives appropriate review from the IEEE 802.1 WG?**

*<to be filled after a joint meeting with 802.1>*

**3. DISTINCT IDENTITY**

**a) Substantially different from other IEEE 802 standards.**

*There are currently no IEEE 802 wireless standards servicing 100 Gbps switched point-to-point applications.*

**b) One unique solution per problem (not two solutions to a problem).**

*The proposed amendment to IEEE 802.15.3 will provide a unique solution for servicing 100 Gbps switched point-to-point wireless data distribution.*

**c) Easy for the document reader to select the relevant specification.**

*The proposed amendment for IEEE 802.15.3 will be clearly identified as an amendment for specifying 100 Gbps switched point-to-point wireless.*

**4. TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY**

1. **Demonstrated system feasibility**

*There is technology available today that demonstrates the feasibility of 100 Gbps at wavelengths shorter than millimeter wave.*

**b) Proven technology, reasonable testing**

*Many examples of 25-100 Gbps data rate technology have been published in the literature and demonstrated in laboratories worldwide.*

**c) Confidence in reliability**

*Confidence in reliability has been consistently demonstrated in currently deployed non IEEE based solutions.*

**Coexistence of 802 wireless standards specifying devices for unlicensed operation**

*An appropriate coexistence assurance document will be created.*

**5. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY**

**a) Known cost factors, reliable data**

*IEEE 802.15.3 devices implementing the 100 Gbps amendment will make use of technology emerging to support the corresponding wired technology. The incremental cost for implementation is expected to be reasonable.*

**b) Reasonable cost for performance**

*Performance and costs associated with the 100 Gbps amendment, in regards to the intended enterprise market, are believed to be reasonable.*

**c) Consideration of installation costs**

*One of the IEEE 802.15.3 100 Gbps amendment objectives includes low cost installation with minimal or no operator intervention.*