

Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)

Submission Title: [Comment resolutions for LB #59]

Date Submitted: [November 10 , 2010]

Source: [Daniel Popa, Hartman Van Wyk]

Company [Itron, Inc]

Address [France]

E-Mail: [{daniel.popa, hartman.vanwyk}@itron.com]

Re: []

Abstract: []

Purpose: [This document provides resolutions to comments of LB #59]

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.

Outline

- Resolution of the following Radio Specification comments
 - CID 460, 465

CID 460

- Comment:
 - Frequency tolerance is too tight to be a general specification for all bands.
- Commenter request resolution
 - Change from $70\%f_{dev} < |f| < 130\%f_{dev}$ to $55\%f_{dev} < |f| < 145\%f_{dev}$.
- Resolution: AP
- Response:
 - The values for frequency tolerance have been discussed during many occasions and technical (face to face and call) meetings. Most of the TG4g experts have been involved in these discussions. Values in the draft are the results of these discussions. No change required.

CID 465

- Comment:
 - The ACR numbers for the MR-FSK PHY are too stringent.
- Commenter request resolution
 - Use 0 dB for the adjacent channel and 10 dB for the alternate.
- Resolution: AP
- Response:
 - The values for adjacent channel rejection have been discussed during many occasions and technical (face to face and call) meetings. TG4g experts have been involved in these discussions. Values in the draft are the results of these discussions. No change required.