

# **IEEE P802.15 Wireless Personal Area Networks**

Contributors of the CA document are sorted by alphabetical order of the last name: Afshin Amini Phil Beecher James P.K. "Trainwreck" Gilb Hiroshi Harada Fumihide Kojima Clinton Powell Benjamin A. Rolfe Chin-Sean Sum Khurram Waheed

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Bibliography

[B1] IEEE Std. 802.15.1<sup>TM</sup> – 2005, IEEE Standard for Information Technology – Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.1: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs).

[B2] IEEE Std. 802.15.2<sup>TM</sup> – 2003, IEEE Recommended Practice for Information Technology – Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.2: Coexistence of Wireless Personal Area Networks with Other Wireless Devices Operating in Unlicensed Frequency Bands.

[B3] IEEE Std. 802.15.3<sup>TM</sup> – 2003, IEEE Standard for Information Technology – Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.3: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for High Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs).

[B4] IEEE Std. 802.15.4<sup>TM</sup> – 2006, IEEE Standard for Information Technology – Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.4: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs).

[B5] IEEE Std. 802.11<sup>TM</sup> – 2007, IEEE Standard for Information Technology –

Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications.

[B6] IEEE Std. 802.15.4g /D1 – 2010, IEEE Draft Standard for Information Technology – Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.4: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs) – Amendment 4: Physical Layer Specifications for Low Data Rate Wireless Smart Metering Utility Networks.

[B7] IEEE Std.  $802.11n^{TM}$ , IEEE Standard for Information Technology -Telecommunications and Information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific requirements - Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications - Amendment 5: Enhancements for Higher Throughput.

#### 1.2. Acronyms





# 2. Overview

# 2.1. Overview of IEEE 802.15.4g

The IEEE 802.15 Task Group 4g defines PHY amendment and related MAC extensions based on 802.15.4 for wireless Smart Utility Networks (SUN). The objective of the standard is to provide a global standard that facilitates very large scale process control applications such as the utility smart-grid network capable of supporting large, geographically diverse networks with minimal infrastructure, with potentially millions of fixed endpoints.

An 802.15.4g network contains one centralized coordinator. The coordinator starts and manages the network to facilitate communications among network devices. A network consists of one coordinator and at least one network device. In the 802.15.4g, there are two types of devices, the FFD and the RFD. The FFD contains the complete set of MAC services and is capable of acting as either a coordinator or a network device. The RFD contains reduced set of MAC services and is only capable as a network device. For medium accessing, the devices employ CSMA/CA to avoid wasteful collisions. Alternatively, TDMA may also be employed for guaranteed transmissions.

This standard specifies a total of three PHYs, namely the MR-FSK, MR-OFDM and MR-O-QPSK. All the PHYs are specified to address different system demands and market segments. In order to avoid mutual interference caused by multiple PHYs operating in the same location, an MPM scheme is defined to coordinate among the potentially coexisting PHYs. Each PHY is specified to allocate a fraction of regulated spectrum bands out of the complete list shown in the following sub-clause.

2.2. Regulatory Information

The allocated frequency bands for the 802.15.4g are given as below:

- (a) 2400-2483.5 MHz (Worldwide)
- (b) 902-928 MHz (United States)
- (c) 863-870 MHz (Europe)
- (d) 950-958 MHz (Japan)
- (e) 779-787 MHz (China)
- (f) 1427-1518 MHz (United States, Canada)
- (g) 450-470 MHz (United States)
- (h) 896-901 MHz (United States)
- (i) 901-902 MHz (United States)
- (j) 928-960 MHz (United States)
- (k) 470-510 MHz (China)
- (l) 917-923.5 MHz (Korea)

Out of the list, bands (a)-(e) and (k) are occupied by more than one 802.15.4g PHY, while bands (f)-(j) and (l) are only occupied by a single PHY. The details are listed in the [Table 1](#page-5-0).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

	<b>IEEE 802.15.4g PHYs</b>				
<b>Frequency Band</b>	<b>MR-FSK</b>	MR-O-QPSK	MR-OFDM		
2400-2483.5 MHz (Worldwide)	X	X	X		
902-928 MHz (United States)	X	X	X		
863-870 MHz (Europe)	X	X	X		
950-958 MHz (Japan)	X	X	X		
779-787 MHz (China)		X	X		
1427-1518 MHz (United States, Canada)	X				
450-470 MHz (United States)	X				
896-901 MHz (United States)	X				
901-902 MHz (United States)	X				
928-960 MHz (United States)	X				
470-510 MHz (China)	X	X	X		
917-923.5 MHz (Korea)			X		

**Table 1 Regulatory Domains for Respective PHYs Specified in 802.15.4g**

#### 2.3. Overview of Coexistence Mechanism in 802.15.4 and 802.15.4g

The importance of coexistence mechanism in the SUN is two-fold. Internally, the SUN specified three alternative PHYs and these PHYs shall be able to coexist with each other if operating co-locatedly in the same frequency band. Externally, the SUN has to share multiple frequency bands with dissimilar 802 systems.

The following sub-clauses describe the coexistence mechanism specified in the 802.15.4 and 802.15.4g, that facilitates both homogeneous (among different SUN PHYs) and heterogeneous (across other 802 systems) coexistence.

# 2.3.1. MPM scheme

The MPM scheme is a newly defined mechanism in the 802.15.4g. The motivation of defining the MPM is the specification of multiple alternative SUN PHYs potentially operating in the same frequency bands. The sole objective of MPM is to facilitate CCI avoidance when more than one PHY are occupying the same channel. The description of MPM can be found in sub-clause 5.2b [B6].

To facilitate the MPM operation, a pre-defined common PHY mode known as the CSM, a new frame known as the coex-beacon, and several corresponding MAC functions are specified. Coordinators of all three PHYs that operate at duty cycle greater than 1% shall be able to transmit and receive the CSM. The basic operation of the MPM is to require the coordinators to scan for the coex-beacon in CSM. Upon receiving a coex-beacon, the incoming coordinator realizes that there is another network occupying the channel, and may take several measures to avoid CCI, such as trying another channel or achieving synchronization with the current network. On the other hand, while operating in a certain channel, a coordinator is also required to send out coex-beacon in CSM to alert possible incoming coordinators.

#### 2.3.2. Common Signaling Mode (CSM)

The CSM is a pre-defined common PHY mode that has to be supported by all the specified PHYs in 802.15.4g. CSM is used to aid coexistence among the alternative SUN PHYs. The role of the CSM is coexistence is primarily two-fold: (a) to facilitate the MPM mechanism that targets interference avoidance among networks with different PHYs, and (b) to enable a more efficient detection scheme (*e.g.* scanning, CCA, and *etc.*) between networks with different PHY designs. The PHY layer specification of the CSM is given in 6.1a [B6].

#### 2.3.3. Channel Scan

A channel scan is an act of a receiver to detect any signal present in the channel. The channel scan is the basic means for systems to coexist: enabling detection between networks. There are different types of channel scan that give different levels of accuracy and require different levels of radio resources. In the 802.15.4g, the specified channel scan types are ED channel scan, active channel scan, passive channel scan and enhanced CMS channel scan. The following sub-clauses provide the details of the available scan types in the 802.15.4 and 802.15.4g. The ED scan, active channel scan and passive channel scan are specified in 802.15.4, while the enhanced CMS channel scan is newly specified in 802.15.4g.

#### 2.3.3.1. ED Channel Scan

The ED channel scan allows a device to obtain a measure of the peak energy of the RF signal on the channel it is operating. The ED scan could be used by a prospective PAN coordinator to select a channel on which to operate prior to starting a new PAN. Upon detecting an existing PAN in a specific channel, incoming PAN coordinator may avoid colliding with the existing network by switching to another channel, thus enabling coexistence. The details of ED channel scan are given in 7.5.2.1.1 [B4].

#### 2.3.3.2. Enhanced CSM Channel Scan

The enhanced CSM channel scan is newly defined in 802.15.4g, where three alternative PHYs are specified. A common signaling format, namely the CSM, is a PHY mode that has to be supported by all coordinators. Besides the coordinators, all devices may also support the CSM. The enhanced CSM channel scan allows a device to perform the specific sequence detection of the CSM, which is significantly more accurate as compared to energy detection. In cases where a device, the same goes to any device in the other non-SUN systems, is capable of receiving the CSM, the enhanced CSM channel scan can be performed for a more efficient coexistence.

#### 2.3.3.3. Active Channel Scan

An active scan allows a device to locate any coordinator transmitting beacon frames within its radio SOI. This could be used by a prospective PAN coordinator to select a PAN identifier prior to starting a new PAN, or it could be used by a device prior to association. In a logical channel, the device first sends a beacon request command to the possibly existing coordinator. If the coordinator exists, and is operating in a non-beacon-enabled mode, it will send the beacon in the using the CSMA protocol. If the coordinator is operating in a beacon-enabled mode, it will send the beacon in the next scheduled beacon interval. Besides the intended SUN devices, other non-SUN devices may also employ the active channel scan and ED scan in order to detect and avoid possible scenarios of interference. Additionally, if the CSM is supported, CSM scan can be performed for increased detection probability. The details of active channel scan are given in 7.5.2.1.2 [B4].

#### 2.3.3.4. Passive Channel Scan

A passive scan, like an active scan, allows a device to locate any coordinator transmitting beacon frames within its radio SOI. One major difference in the passive channel scan is that the beacon request command is not transmitted by the devices. This scan is used to search for coordinators in the radio SOI, participating in the beacon-enabled mode. An existing coordinator, will send periodical beacons and incoming devices will be performing passive scan to receive the beacon. In a similar way, other non-SUN devices may also employ the passive channel scan and ED scan in order to detect and avoid possible scenarios of interference. Additionally, if the CSM is supported, CSM scan can be performed for increased detection probability. The details of passive channel scan are given in 7.5.2.1.3 [B4].

#### 2.3.4. Clear Channel Assessment

For the non-beacon-enabled network and CAP in the beacon-enabled network, the CSMA/CA mechanism is specified for handling multiple channel access. In the CSMA/CA mechanism, before transmissions of frames, CCA has to be performed to determine the vacancy of the channel. At least one of the following three CCA methods has to be performed in the CCA: ED over a certain threshold, detection of an 802.15.4g signal (*e.g.* the CSM), or a combination of these methods. Non-SUN devices may participate in the CSMA/CA protocol in a SUN system if it supports any of the CCA methods, so to avoid CCI with co-locating devices. The details of CCA are given in 6.9.9 [B4].

#### 2.3.5. LQI and ED

The LQI measurement is a characterization of the strength and/or quality of a received frame. The measurement may be one of the receiver ED, the SNR estimation, or a combination of both. An example of conducting an LQI evaluation is by using the ED and SNR measurements. Low ED and low SNR values indicate that the receive signal is weak, possibly due to a bad channel or obstruction. High ED and low SNR values indicate that interference in the channel is present. High ED and high SNR naturally mean that the channel is in good condition. By using the LQI-ED-duet, the factors causing a degraded performance can be determined, or at least estimated, with which, responsive actions can be taken to rectify the situation. The details on ED and LQI are given in 6.9.7 and 6.9.8 [B4].

# 2.3.6. Channel Switching

Channel switching can be performed by a coordinator to avoid a channel with degraded quality due to interference or other factors. Upon determining that the channel quality is degraded (*e.g.* through LQI measurement), a coordinator may cease current transmissions, perform channel scan to find another channel with better quality to be switched to. The capability of channel switching equips the SUN to be able to coexist with other system, even in cases where the signal characteristics of the co-located network cannot be recognized.

# 2.3.7. Neighbor Network Capability

Neighbor network capability is a scheme facilitating coexistence and interoperability among multiple PHYs in the SUN, as well as between the SUN and other dissimilar systems.

In the beacon-enabled network, GTS can be allocated by the coordinator to a particular device to perform guaranteed transmission within the CFP employing the TDMA protocol. Similarly, a device belonging to a dissimilar system that supports the GTS allocation and management protocol can request and obtain GTS in the CFP to perform local communications. In this manner, the dissimilar system is able to form a neighbor network that could achieve synchronization with the existing SUN. The GTS allocation and management protocol is detailed in 7.5.7 [B4].

Besides the CFP, inactive portion is also specified in a superframe for the purpose of power saving. The timing information of the active and inactive boundaries is given in the beacon frame. A dissimilar system can take advantage to occupy the inactive portions of the superframe for local communications. The condition for achieving this level of synchronization is the ability to receive and decode the information contained in the SUN beacon frame. The details of the active and inactive portions are given in 7.5.1.1 [B4].

# 2.3.8. Duty Cycle

Duty cycle is known as the proportion of the signal duration to the regular interval or period of time. A part of devices specified in 802.15.4g SUN, primarily the battery-powered devices operate in a very low duty cycle. While typical network device may operate at duty cycle as low as below 1%, the coordinators may operate at duty cycle of around 10%, as described in E5.4 [B4]. These low duty cycle devices only transmit energy into the air in a short duration in a long interval, and are less likely to cause interference to other co-located networks.

2.3.9. SFD Detection

The SFD is a field indicating the end of the SHR and the start of the frame data. The function of SFD is to determine the timing boundary from which point the receiver extracts the data in the frame. In 802.15.4g, besides timing establishment, SFD is also designed to facilitate the devices to distinguish the standard specification to which the incoming signal is belonging. The SFD detection is employed for differentiating 802.15.4g frame from the 802.15.4d frame.

#### 3. Dissimilar Systems Sharing the Same Frequency Bands with 802.15.4g

This clause presents an overview on other 802 systems which occupy the same frequency bands that are also specified for the 802.15.4g. The following sub-clauses present co-locating dissimilar systems with reference to respective frequency bands. The frequency bands of interest are the 2400-2483.5 MHz band, the 902-928 MHz band, the 863-870 MHz band, the 950-958 MHz band, the 779-787 MHz band and the 400-430 MHz band.

Each frequency band is discussed referring to a table listing all the coexisting systems from other standard specifications. The contents of the tables (in this and the next sub-clause) are formatted as below:

- (a) Standard specification: the name of the 802 system with which 802.15.4g system is coexisting
- (b) PHY specification: the PHY design of the above 802 system specification
- (c) Receiver bandwidth: the receiver bandwidth of the above 802 system specification
- (d) Transmit power: the transmit power of the above 802 system specification
- (e) Receiver sensitivity: the receiver sensitivity of the above 802 system specification.
- (f) Involved 802.15.4g system: the particular PHY in 802.15.4g that is coexisting

with the above 802 system specification

Note: The data rate modes including receiver bandwidth, transmit power and receiver sensitivity listed in the columns of the following tables are only a part of the complete list from the respective standard specifications. These data rate modes are chosen for the purpose of coexistence analysis in this CA document.

# 3.1. Coexisting Systems in 2400-2483.5 MHz Band (Worldwide)

[Table 2](#page-11-0) shows the list of other 802 systems that are sharing the 2400-2483.5 MHz band with the MR-FSK, MR-O-QPSK and MR-OFDM PHYs in 802.15.4g.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>

3.2. Coexisting Systems in 902-928 MHz Band (United States)

[Table 3](#page-12-0) shows the list of other 802 systems that are sharing the 902-928 MHz band with the MR-FSK, MR-O-QPSK and MR-OFDM PHYs in 802.15.4g.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>

# 3.3. Coexisting Systems in 863-870 MHz Band (Europe)

[Table 4](#page-12-1) shows the list of other 802 systems that are sharing the 863-870 MHz band with the MR-FSK, MR-O-QPSK and MR-OFDM PHYs in 802.15.4g.



<span id="page-12-1"></span>

# 3.4. Coexisting Systems in 950-958 MHz Band (Japan)

[Table 5](#page-13-0) shows the list of other 802 systems that are sharing the 950-958 MHz band with the MR-FSK PHY in 802.15.4g.

# **Table 5: Dissimilar Systems Coexisting with 802.15.4g Systems within the 950-958 MHz Band**

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

# 3.5. Coexisting Systems in 779-787 MHz Band (China)

[Table 6](#page-13-1) shows the list of other 802 systems that are sharing the 779-787 MHz band with the MR-O-QPSK and MR-OFDM PHYs in 802.15.4g.

# **Table 6: Dissimilar Systems Coexisting with 802.15.4g Systems within the 779-787 MHz Band**

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

- 4. Coexistence Scenario and Analysis
- 4.1. PHY Modes in the 802.15.4g System

#### 4.1.1. Parameters for 802.15.4g PHY Modes

[Table 7](#page-14-0) shows the PHY modes chosen from each of the MR-FSK, MR-OFDM and MR-O-QPSK PHYs and their corresponding parameters.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

	THE TEXT THREE THE CHARGE OF OVERLYING THE PRODUCT							
<b>System</b>	PHY Spec.	<b>Receiver</b>	<b>Transmit</b>	<b>Receiver</b>	<b>PHY Mode</b>			
		<b>Bandwidth</b>	<b>Power</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>				
		(kHz)	(dBm)	(dBm)				
	MR-FSK	200	$\overline{0}$	$-90$	50kbps FSK			
	MR-OFDM	200	$\overline{0}$	$-100$	200kbps QPSK CC $R_{FEC}$ =1/2			
802.15.4g								
		2000	$\overline{0}$		500kbps			
	MR-O-QPSK			$-90$	O-QPSK			
					CC $R_{FFC}$ =1/2			
					$(8,4)$ DSSS			

**Table 7: Major Parameters of 802.15.4g PHY Modes** 

#### 4.1.2. BER/FER Calculations for 802.15.4g PHY modes

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the 802.15.4g PHY modes in [Table 7](#page-14-0) are provided. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. SINR (i.e.  $E_c/N_0$ ) can be expressed as:

$$
E_c/N_0 = E_b/N_0 + 10 \log(L_m) + 10 \log(R_{FEC}) - 10 \log(L_s)
$$
 (1)

where,



 $E_b/N_0$  is the bit energy for over noise power spectral density

*L<sub>m</sub>* is the modulation level

*R<sub>FEC</sub>* is the FEC coding rate

*Ls* is the spreading factor

The Matlab source codes for the BER/FER calculations are given in Annex A. The Q function is defined in C.3.6.6 [B2].

FER for the 802.15.4g PHY modes can be calculated from the corresponding BER through the relationship:

$$
FER = 1 - (1 - BER)^L \tag{2}
$$

where,



The BER and FER of 802.15.4g PHY modes are given in [Figure 1](#page-15-0).



<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Figure 1 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802.15.4g PHY Modes** 

#### 4.2. Interference Modeling

#### 4.2.1. Interference Characteristics

The effect of the interfering signal on the desired signal is assumed to be averaged to the bandwidth of the victim system.

#### 4.2.2. Receiver-based Interference Model

As illustrated in [Figure 2](#page-17-0), victim receiver  $Rxv$  (with receive power  $P_{Rv}$  and antenna gain *GRv*) receives the desired signal from the victim transmitter *Txv* (with transmit power  $P_{T_v}$  and antenna gain  $G_{T_v}$ ) located at distance  $d_D$ , while an interferer transmitter *Txi* (with transmit power  $P_{T_i}$  and antenna gain  $G_{T_i}$ ) is located at distance  $d_U$ .

The ratio between the desired and undesired power present at the victim receiver will be used as the DUR *i.e.* SIR of the victim system.

At  $Rxy$ , the power received from  $Txv$ , known as  $P_{Rv}$  (in dB scale) is given as:

$$
P_{Rv}=P_{Tv}+G_{Tv}+G_{Rv}-L_p(d_D)
$$

On the other hand, the power received from *Txi*, known as  $P_{Rv}$ <sup>'</sup> (in dB scale) is given as:

$$
P_{Rv} = P_{Ti} + G_{Ti} + G_{Rv} - L_p(d_U)
$$

Here, all antennas are assumed to be omni-directional, thus angle  $\theta$  can be neglected. Therefore, the ratio between the desired signal power and the interference power is given as:

$$
SIR = P_{Rv} / P_{Rv}
$$



**Figure 2 Illustration for the Receiver-based Interference Model** 

#### <span id="page-17-1"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>4.2.3. Path Loss Model

The path loss model used in this document is the outdoor large-zone systems. The typical urban model is employed. The path loss can be expressed as:

$$
L_p = 69.55 + 26.16 \log_{10} f_c + (44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10} h_b) \log_{10} d - 13.82 \log_{10} h_b - a(h_m)
$$

where,



and  $a(h_m)$  is the correction factor for the device antenna height given by:

$$
a(h_m) = 3.2 [\log_{10} 11.75 \ h_m]^2 - 11.97
$$

#### 4.3. 2400-2483.5 MHz Band Coexistence Performance

This sub-clause presents the coexistence performance of the systems coexisting in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band. An involving system is set as the victim while all other systems are set as the interferer, in order to understand the impact of the generated interference. All systems including the 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band are set as the victim in a round-robin manner.

# 4.3.1. Parameters for Coexistence Quantification

The following sub-clauses present the parameters involved in quantification of coexistence analysis among the participating systems.

4.3.1.1. PHY Modes from Each Standard and Related Parameters

[Table 8](#page-18-0) shows the parameters for the PHY modes in each standard that is coexisting within the 2400-2583.5 MHz band.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

<b>System</b>	<b>PHY</b>	<b>Receiver</b>	<b>Transmit</b>	<b>Receiver</b>	<b>PHY Mode</b>
	Spec.	<b>Bandwidth</b>	<b>Power</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	
		(MHz)	(dBm)	(dBm)	
802.11b	<b>DSSS</b>	22	14	$-76$	CCK 11Mbps
802.11g	<b>OFDM</b>	22	14	$-88$	<b>BPSK 6Mbps</b>
					CC $R_{FEC}=1/2$
802.11n	<b>OFDM</b>	22	14	$-83$	QPSK 18Mbps
					CC $R_{FEC}=3/4$
802.15.1	<b>FHSS</b>	1	$\overline{0}$	$-70$	<b>GFSK 1Mbps</b>
802.15.3	<b>SC</b>	15	8	$-75$	<b>DQPSK 22Mbps</b>
802.15.4	<b>DSSS</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-85$	O-QPSK 250kbps

**Table 8: Major Parameters of Systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz Band** 

# 4.3.1.2. BER/FER for PHY Modes in Respective 802 Standards

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the all the 802 standards within the 2400-2583.5 MHz band are presented. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. The SINR and FER can be derived using (1) and (2) respectively.

Here,



*L* is 1024 octets for 802.11b DSSS CCK 11Mbps



BER for the 802.11b DSSS CCK 11Mbps, 802.15.1 FHSS 1Mbps, 802.15.3 SC DQPSK 22Mbps and 802.15.4 O-QPSK 250kbps are given in E.4.1.8 [B4].

BER calculations for the 802.11g OFDM 6Mbps and 802.11n OFDM 18Mbps are given in Matlab source codes in Annex A.

The Q function is defined in C.3.6.6 [B4].

The BER and FER curves are given in [Figure 3.](#page-19-0)



<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Figure 3 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802 Systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz Band** 

#### 4.3.2. Coexistence Simulation Results

# 4.3.2.1. 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps Mode as Victim Receiver

[Figure 4](#page-20-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g FSK victim receiver corresponding to the distance between the victim receiver to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 4.](#page-20-0)



<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Figure 4 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.15.4g FSK Victim Receiver** 

4.3.2.2. 802.15.4g OFDM 200kbps Mode as Victim Receiver

[Figure 5](#page-21-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g OFDM QPSK victim receiver corresponding to the distance between the victim receiver to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 5.](#page-21-0)



<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Figure 5 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.15.4g OFDM Victim Receiver** 

4.3.2.3. 802.15.4g O-QPSK 500kbps Mode as Victim Receiver

[Figure 6](#page-22-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g DSSS O-QPSK victim receiver corresponding to the distance between the victim receiver to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 6](#page-22-0).



<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Figure 6 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.15.4g O-QPSK Victim Receiver** 

4.3.2.4. 802.11 PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 7](#page-23-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.11b/g/n victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers.



<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Figure 7 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.11 Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4g display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

#### 4.3.2.5. 802.15 PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 8](#page-24-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.15 (including 802.15.1, 802.15.3 and 802.15.4) victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 8](#page-24-0).



<span id="page-24-0"></span>**Figure 8 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.15 Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4g display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

# 4.4. 902-928 MHz Band Coexistence Performance

This sub-clause presents the coexistence performance of the systems coexisting in the 902-928 MHz band. An involving system is set as the victim while all other systems are set as the interferer, in order to understand the impact of the generated interference. All systems including the 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems in the 902-928 MHz band are set as the victim in a round-robin manner.

# 4.4.1. Parameters for Coexistence Quantification

The following sub-clauses present the parameters involved in quantification of coexistence analysis among the participating systems.

4.4.1.1. PHY Modes from Each Standard and Related Parameters

[Table 9](#page-25-0) shows the parameters for the PHY modes in each standard that is coexisting within the 902-928 MHz band.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

<b>System</b>	<b>PHY</b> Spec.	<b>Receiver</b> <b>Bandwidth</b> (MHz)	<b>Transmit</b> <b>Power</b> (dBm)	<b>Receiver</b> <b>Sensitivity</b> (dBm)	<b>PHY Mode</b>	
	<b>DSSS</b> <b>BPSK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-92$	<b>BPSK 40kbps</b>	
802.15.4	<b>DSSS</b> O-QPSK	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-85$	O-QPSK 250kbps	
	<b>PSSS</b> <b>ASK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-85$	ASK 250kbps	
802.15.4c	<b>DSSS</b> <b>BPSK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-92$	<b>BPSK 40kbps</b>	
802.11*	Currently in progress, specification not available					

**Table 9: Major Parameters of Systems in the 902-928 MHz Band** 

4.4.1.2. BER/FER for PHY Modes in Respective 802 Standards

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the all the 802 standards within the 902-928 MHz band are presented. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. The SINR and FER can be derived using (1) and (2) respectively.

Here,



BER calculation for 802.15.4 DSSS BPSK 40kbps is given in E.5.5.1.1 [B4], with the modification of bit rate  $R_b$  from 20kbps to 40kbps.

BER calculation for 802.15.4 DSSS O-QPSK 250kbps is given in E.5.5.2.1 [B4]. BER calculation for 802.15.4 PSSS ASK 250kbps is given in E.5.5.3.1 [B4].

The BER and FER curves are given in [Figure 9](#page-27-0) 



**Figure 9 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802 Systems in the 902-928 MHz Band** 

<span id="page-27-0"></span>4.4.2. Coexistence Simulation Results

4.4.2.1. 802.15.4g PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

Figure 10 shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps, OFDM 200 kbps and O-QPSK 500kbps victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 10.](#page-28-0)



<span id="page-28-0"></span>**Figure 10 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4g Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4 PHY modes in [Table 9](#page-25-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

4.4.2.2. 802.15.4 PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 11](#page-29-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.15.4 (three different PHY modes) victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 11.](#page-29-0)



<span id="page-29-0"></span>**Figure 11 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4 Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4g PHY modes in [Table 7](#page-14-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

#### 4.5. 863-870 MHz Band Coexistence Performance

This sub-clause presents the coexistence performance of the systems coexisting in the 863-870 MHz band. An involving system is set as the victim while all other systems are set as the interferer, in order to understand the impact of the generated interference. All systems including the 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems in the 863-870 MHz band are set as the victim in a round-robin manner.

#### 4.5.1. Parameters for Coexistence Quantification

The following sub-clauses present the parameters involved in quantification of coexistence analysis among the participating systems.

#### 4.5.1.1. PHY Modes from Each Standard and Related Parameters

[Table 10](#page-30-0) shows the parameters for the PHY modes in each standard that is coexisting

within the 863-870 MHz band.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>

<b>System</b>	<b>PHY</b> Spec.	<b>Receiver</b> <b>Bandwidth</b> (MHz)	<b>Transmit</b> <b>Power</b> (dBm)	Receiver <b>Sensitivity</b> (dBm)	<b>PHY Mode</b>
	<b>DSSS</b> <b>BPSK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-92$	<b>BPSK 20kbps</b>
802.15.4	<b>DSSS</b> O-QPSK	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-85$	O-QPSK 250kbps
	<b>PSSS</b> <b>ASK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-85$	ASK 250kbps
802.15.4c	<b>DSSS</b> <b>BPSK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-92$	<b>BPSK 20kbps</b>

**Table 10 : Major Parameters of Systems in the 863-870 MHz Band** 

# 4.5.1.2. BER/FER for PHY Modes in Respective 802 Standards

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the all the 802 standards within the 863-870 MHz band are presented. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. The SINR and FER can be derived using (1) and (2) respectively. Note that the 802.15.4c DSSS BPSK has similar specifications with that in the 802.15.4 DSSS BPSK.

Here,



BER calculation for 802.15.4 DSSS BPSK 20kbps is given in E.5.5.1.1 [B4]. BER calculation for 802.15.4 DSSS O-QPSK 250kbps is given in E.5.5.2.1 [B4]. BER calculation for 802.15.4 PSSS ASK 250kbps is given in E.5.5.3.1 [B4].

The BER and FER curves are given in [Figure 12.](#page-31-0)



<span id="page-31-0"></span>**Figure 12 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802 Systems in the 863-870 MHz Band** 

#### 4.5.2. Coexistence Simulation Results

#### 4.5.2.1. 802.15.4g PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

[Figure 13](#page-32-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps, OFDM 200 kbps and O-QPSK 500kbps victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 13.](#page-32-0)



<span id="page-32-0"></span>**Figure 13 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4g Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4 PHY modes in [Table 10](#page-30-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

4.5.2.2. 802.15.4 PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 14](#page-33-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.15.4 (three different PHY modes) victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 14](#page-33-0).



<span id="page-33-0"></span>**Figure 14 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4 Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4g PHY modes in [Table 7](#page-14-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

# 4.6. 950-958 MHz Band Coexistence Performance

This sub-clause presents the coexistence performance of the systems coexisting in the 950-958 MHz band. An involving system is set as the victim while all other systems are set as the interferer, in order to understand the impact of the generated interference. All systems including the 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems in the 950-958 MHz band are set as the victim in a round-robin manner.

# 4.6.1. Parameters for Coexistence Quantification

The following sub-clauses present the parameters involved in quantification of coexistence analysis among the participating systems.

# 4.6.1.1. PHY Modes from Each Standard and Related Parameters

[Table 11](#page-34-0) shows the parameters for the PHY modes in each standard that is coexisting within the 950-958 MHz band.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>

<b>System</b>	<b>PHY</b> Spec.	Receiver <b>Bandwidth</b> (MHz)	<b>Transmit</b> <b>Power</b> (dBm)	Receiver <b>Sensitivity</b> (dBm)	<b>PHY Mode</b>
	<b>GFSK</b>	0.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-85$	GFSK 100kbps
802.15.4d	<b>DSSS</b> <b>BPSK</b>	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$-92$	<b>BPSK 20kbps</b>

**Table 11 : Major Parameters of Systems in the 950-958 MHz Band** 

# 4.6.1.2. BER/FER for PHY Modes in Respective 802 Standards

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the all the 802 standards within the 950-958 MHz band are presented. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. The SINR and FER can be derived using (1) and (2) respectively.

Here,

- *L* is 250 octets for 802.15.4d DSSS GFSK 100kbps
- *L* is 22 octets for 802.15.4d DSSS BPSK 20kbps

BER calculation for 802.15.4d DSSS GFSK 100kbps is Annex A. BER calculation for 802.15.4d DSSS BPSK 20kbps is given in E.5.5.1.1 [B4].

The BER and FER curves are given in [Figure 15.](#page-35-0)



<span id="page-35-0"></span>**Figure 15 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802 Systems in the 950-958 MHz Band** 

### 4.6.2. Coexistence Simulation Results

#### 4.6.2.1. 802.15.4g PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

[Figure 16](#page-36-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps, OFDM 200 kbps and O-QPSK 500kbps victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 16.](#page-36-0)



<span id="page-36-0"></span>**Figure 16 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4g Victim Receivers.** 

4.6.2.2. 802.15.4d PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 17](#page-37-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.15.4d (two different PHY modes) victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 17](#page-37-0).



<span id="page-37-0"></span>**Figure 17 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4d Victim Receivers. All 802.15.4g PHY modes in [Table 11](#page-34-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

# 4.7. 779-787 MHz Band Coexistence Performance

This sub-clause presents the coexistence performance of the systems coexisting in the 779-787 MHz band. An involving system is set as the victim while all other systems are set as the interferer, in order to understand the impact of the generated interference. All systems including the 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems in the 779-787 MHz band are set as the victim in a round-robin manner.

# 4.7.1. Parameters for Coexistence Quantification

The following sub-clauses present the parameters involved in quantification of coexistence analysis among the participating systems.

# 4.7.1.1. PHY Modes from Each Standard and Related Parameters

[Table 12](#page-38-0) shows the parameters for the PHY modes in each standard that is coexisting within the 779-787 MHz band.

<span id="page-38-0"></span>

<b>System</b>	<b>PHY</b> Spec.	Receiver <b>Bandwidth</b> (MHz)	<b>Transmit</b> <b>Power</b> (dBm)	<b>Receiver</b> <b>Sensitivity</b> (dBm)	<b>PHY Mode</b>
802.15.4c	<b>DSSS</b> <b>D-QPSK</b>	$\mathcal{D}$	0	$-85$	O-QPSK 250kbps

**Table 12 : Major Parameters of Systems in the 779-787 MHz Band** 

# 4.7.1.2. BER/FER for PHY Modes in Respective 802 Standards

In this sub-clause, the BER/FER performance corresponding to SINR for the all the 802 standards within the 779-787 MHz band are presented. The parameter SINR is defined as the ratio between the energy in each chip to the noise power spectral density in each chip. The SINR and FER can be derived using (1) and (2) respectively.

Here,

*L* is 22 octets for 802.15.4c DSSS O-QPSK 250kbps

BER calculation for 802.15.4c O-QPSK 250kbps are given in E.4.1.8 [B4].

The BER and FER curves are given in [Figure 18.](#page-39-0)



<span id="page-39-0"></span>**Figure 18 BER and FER vs. SINR for 802 Systems in the 779-787 MHz Band** 

#### 4.7.2. Coexistence Simulation Results

#### 4.7.2.1. 802.15.4g PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

[Figure 19](#page-40-0) shows the relationship between the FER performance of the 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps, OFDM 200 kbps and O-QPSK 500kbps victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the interferer. The list of interferers is given in [Figure 19.](#page-40-0)



<span id="page-40-0"></span>**Figure 19 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to all 802.15.4g Victim Receivers.** 

4.7.2.2. 802.15.4c PHY Modes as Victim Receivers

This sub-clause presents the results setting other 802 systems as the victim and 802.15.4g as the interferer. [Figure 20](#page-41-0) shows the relationship between the FER performances of the 802.15.4c (one PHY mode) victim receivers corresponding to the distance between the victim receivers to the 802.15.4g interferers. The list of interferers is given in the figure.



<span id="page-41-0"></span>**Figure 20 Victim FER vs. Distance between Interferer to 802.15.4c Victim Receiver. All 802.15.4g PHY modes in [Table 12](#page-38-0) display nearly similar characteristics as interferers.** 

# 5. Detailed Coexistence Analysis and Interference Avoidance/Mitigation Techniques

### 5.1. Channel Alignment

The channel alignment among 802.15.4g systems and other 802 systems are summarized in [Table 13](#page-42-0), [Table 14](#page-44-0), [Table 15](#page-45-0), [Table 16](#page-45-1) and [Table 17](#page-47-0) for respective bands within which multiple systems are coexisting. By knowing the locations of center frequencies and system bandwidth for different systems, it is possible to identify and occupy channels with the least likelihood to interfere or be interfered by other coexisting systems. The tables show the center frequencies for respective systems, while the system bandwidth can be obtained from [Table 7](#page-14-0), [Table 8](#page-18-0), [Table 9](#page-25-0), [Table 10,](#page-30-0) [Table](#page-34-0)  [11](#page-34-0) and [Table 12](#page-38-0).

#### 5.1.1. 2400-2483.5 MHz (Worldwide)

<span id="page-42-0"></span>

	802.15.4g			802.11b	802.11g	802.11n	802.15.1	802.15.3	802.15.4
MR-FSK	MR-FSK	MR-	MR-	<b>DSSS</b>	<b>OFDM</b>	<b>OFDM</b>	<b>FHSS</b>	${\bf SC}$	<b>DSSS</b>
(200kHz)	(400kHz)	O-QPSK	<b>OFDM</b>	CCK	<b>BPSK</b>	<b>QPSK</b>	${\bf GFSK}$	D-QPSK	$O-QPSK$
2400.1									
	2400.2		2400.2						
2400.3									
2400.5									
	2400.6		2400.6						
2400.7									
2400.9									
$\cdots$	2401		2401						
$\cdots$	$\cdots$		$\ldots$						
$\cdots$	$\cdots$		$\cdots$				2402		
$\cdots$	$\cdots$		$\cdots$						
	2403		2403				2403		
$\cdots$	$\cdots$		$\cdots$						
$\cdots$	$\cdots$		$\cdots$				2404		

Table 13 **Channel Alignment for Systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz Band** 

# April 2011 IEEE 802.15-10-0668-05-004g



# 5.1.2. 902-928 MHz (United States)

<span id="page-44-0"></span>



# 5.1.3. 863-870 MHz (Europe)

<span id="page-45-0"></span>

# Table 15 **Channel Alignment for Systems in the 863-870 MHz Band**

# 5.1.4. 950-958 MHz (Japan)



<span id="page-45-1"></span>

# April 2011 IEEE 802.15-10-0668-05-004g



### 5.1.5. 779-787 MHz (China)

<span id="page-47-0"></span>

802.15.4g		802.15.4c		
MR-	$\bf{MR}$	$\mathbf{O}\text{-}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{K}$	${\bf MPSK}$	
$O-QPSK$	${\bf OFDM}$	<b>DSSS</b>	<b>DSSS</b>	
	779.4			
	779.8			
780	$\cdots$	780	780	
	$\cdots$			
782	$\cdots$	782	782	
	$\cdots$			
784	$\cdots$	784	784	
	$\cdots$			
786	$\cdots$	786	786	
	$\cdots$			
	786.6			

Table 17 **Channel Alignment for Systems in the 779-787 MHz Band** 

#### 5.2. Coexistence with Transmit Power Control

The specifications of IEEE draft standard 802.15.4g addresses low data rate, wireless, smart metering utility networks with a key attribute of low power consumption. An effective control of transmit power not only reduces the power consumed for transmit operation by a SUN device but it also helps with coexistence of a SUN device with other devices sharing the same spectrum in conjuction with other key SUN device attributes such as an inherent low duty cycle of operation, possible minimization of air time by communicating only when a coordinated handshake has occurred.

Each SUN device can reduce the amount of interference it generates for the other coexisting devices by keeping its transmitted output power at the minimum level needed to achieve reliable communication. A SUN device can implement a simple mechanism of controlling its transmitted power using a measurement of the received coex-beacon power. The plot in [Figure 21](#page-48-0) shows using Hata's Model (see section [4.2.3](#page-17-1)) the path loss

and the received coex-beacon strength as a function of the distance between the coex beacon transmitting coordinator and a receiving SUN device.



**Figure 21 Coex-beacon signal power as a function of the path loss due to inter-device distance** 

<span id="page-48-0"></span>The SUN device receiving the coex-beacon can make a measurement of the received coex-beacon signal strength, *e.g.*, using a mechanism such as received signal strength indictor (RSSI), which can also be used by the automatic gain control mechanism for the receiver chain, to estimate the strength of the incident coex-beacon signal say  $P_{beacon~rx}$ . The SUN device can then perform a simple calculation to determine the TX power that it should use to communicate with the coordinator transmitting the coex-beacon as follows:

Let





Then,

$$
P_{tx} = \max(\min(P_{tx, max}, (P_{becon} - P_{becon\_rx}) + RX\_min\_sensitivity + RX\_SNRmin), Ptx\_min)
$$

If the TX power is implemented with a gain step size of  $P_{tx,step}$ , the quantized TX power can be computed as:

$$
P_{tx, quant} = round(P_{tx}/P_{tx,step})^* P_{tx,step}
$$

An example calculation for the Transmitter power control for a distance of up to 250m around the co-ordinator using assumptions of  $P_{tx,max} = 30$ dBm,  $P_{tx,min} = -30$ dBm,  $P_{tx,step} = 6dB$ ,  $P_{beacon} = 30dB$ m,  $RX\_min\_sensitivity = -90dB$ m,  $RX\_SNRmin = 4dB$  is shown below:



<span id="page-49-0"></span>**Figure 22 1W TX power control using coex-beacon signal power measurement** 

-



**Figure 23 1mW TX power control using coex-beacon signal power measurement** 

<span id="page-50-1"></span>It is evident using [Figure 22](#page-49-0) and [Figure 23](#page-50-1) that using the proposed scheme, the TX transmits at less than full power for 76% of the time. The power consumption in an efficient implementation of the TX heavily depends on the transmitted output power. Use of a power control mechanism, such as described can result in potentially a saving of 62% of average power consumed<sup>[1](#page-50-0)</sup> in the TX operation by SUN devices assuming a uniformly distributed mesh of SUN devices around a centrally located coordinator.

For the frequency bands in which the TX output power is limited to  $100\mu$ W (or  $P_{tx,max}$  = 20 dBm), assuming that the minimum TX controllable power  $P_{tx,min} = -22$ dBm, implementing a power control strategy as described above results in use of less than max transmit power for 42% of the time. Correspondingly, this results in a 16.4% saving in the power consumed by the transmit operation.

<span id="page-50-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The power savings have been computed using a TX power consumption profile of the form  $+\beta$ .

 $e^{\gamma (P_{tx}-P_{tx,max})}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the power consumption of TX at  $P_{tx,min}$ ,  $\beta$  is the power consumption difference between  $P_{tx,max}$  and  $P_{tx,min}$  and  $\gamma$  is an implementation dependant power scaling constant.

# 5.3. Coexistence with Low Duty Cycle

Duty cycle is known as the proportion of the signal duration to the regular interval or period of time. A part of devices specified in 802.15.4g SUN, primarily the battery-powered devices, operate in a very low duty cycle. While typical network device may operate at duty cycle as low as below 1%, the coordinators may operate at duty cycle of around 10%, as described in E5.4 [B4]. These low duty cycle devices only transmit energy into the air for a short duration in a long interval, and are less likely to cause interference to other co-located networks. In fact, a combination of low transmission duty cycle, low transmit power and use of appropriate spacing for CCA greatly reduces the average probability of blocking/interference by a SUN device to its neighbors.

Figure 24 below shows the data throughput for a SUN device, which is being duty cycled. The plots assume a minimal communication overhead of 15% only. Accounting for the typical data throughput overhead due to coding, framing, redundancy and MAC; a more practical 60% overhead case is shown in [Figure 25](#page-52-0). The power consumption in a communication device is proportional to its active time. The data suggests that for a required set of data symbols to be transmitted, it is more power efficient if a higher data rate (if possible with comparable power consumption) is chosen and the active duty cycle of the SUN communication device is lowered.



**Figure 24 SUN device throughput rate operating at a low duty cycle with a 15% throughput overhead for various PHY data rates.** 

<span id="page-52-0"></span>

**Figure 25 SUN device throughput rate operating at a low duty cycle with a 60% throughput overhead for various PHY data rates.** 

### 5.4 Performance of CSM

MPM is an interference avoidance mechanism equipped with a common signaling known as CSM. CSM is a PHY mode employed for different PHYs within the 802.15.4g to negotiate among each other prior to starting respective networks. While the MPM protocols and the PHY specification of CSM are clearly outlined, the receiver design is implementation-dependent.

In this section, the performance of CSM is evaluated. The system model is constructed by an FSK transmitter, the 900MHz propagation channel (typically a two-path Rayleigh fading channel) and two different types of receivers, the correlator and the energy detector. In actual implementations, receiver design may choose either one to capture the CSM in order to detect the presence of an already existing system in the channel.

Figure 26 shows the performance for both the BER for the correlator and the mis-detection probability  $P_{md}$  for the energy detector. The results of the performance determine the likelihood of detecting presence/absence of the CSM, thus the effective ness of coexistence. It is observed that the correlator has an approximately 25dB improvement as compared to energy detector at FER=0.01, *i.e.* the required error criterion.



**Figure 26 Performance comparison between CSM correlator and energy detector. Horizontal line across BER=5\*10-5 is the equivalent BER for FER=0.01, as required in 802.15.4g.** 

#### 6. Discussions and Conclusion

This document has presented the overview of coexistence analysis for the IEEE 802.15.4g and other IEEE 802 systems. Firstly, the document listed all the involved homogeneous systems within the 802.15.4g and heterogeneous systems across other 802 systems. Secondly, an overview of all the available coexistence mechanisms applicable to 802.15.4g is given. Thirdly, analysis on performance due to coexisting/co-locating systems is presented considering no assistance from any coexistence mechanisms. Fourthly, mechanisms for the purpose of interference avoidance/mitigation for 802.15.4g are proposed and evaluated.

To comprehensively describe the findings of the coexistence evaluation, parameter  $d_{cri}$ is defined as the critical distance below where the interferer causes performance degradation greater than that required by respective standards. As an example, the required FER for 802.15.4g MR-FSK is 0.01,  $d_{cri}$  is the minimum distance between victim receiver and interferer transmitter that gives FER=0.01 along the MR-FSK FER curve. If the distance becomes smaller than  $d_{cri}$ , FER will be degraded beyond the required value of 0.01.

[Table 18](#page-54-0) summarizes the values of parameter  $d_{cri}$  for frequency bands with coexisting heterogeneous systems. It is observed that even without any coexistence mechanism, the 802.15.4g system are able to achieve the required FER given that an interferer is located as near as 12m away. Furthermore, with the employment of various coexistence mechanism listed in this document, a more harmonious radio environment can be achieved.

<b>Frequency Band</b>	$d_{cri}$
2400-2483.5 MHz (Worldwide)	$\sim$ 12-25m
902-928 MHz band (United States)	$~12-20m$
863-870 MHz band (Europe)	$\sim$ 12-20m
950-958 MHz band (Japan)	$~12 - 30m$
779-787 MHz band (China)	$\sim 20m$

<span id="page-54-0"></span>Table 18 Critical distance  $d_{cri}$  for 802.15.4g in different frequency bands. **Interferer: Other 802 systems. Victim: 802.15.4g systems.** 

# **Annex A**

# Matlab Program for Plotting BER/FER Curves for 802.15.4g PHY Modes





# Matlab Program for Plotting BER/FER Curves for Other 802.11/15 PHY Modes in the 2400-2483.5 MHz Band

% BER and FER calculation for 802 systems in the 2.4GHz band



%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Per-bit Energy Calculations %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% EbN0\_11g = SINR -  $10*log10(modlev\_11g) - 10*log10(Rfcc\_11g) + 10*log10(SF_11g);$ EbN0\_11n = SINR -  $10*log10(modlev_11n) - 10*log10(Rfcc_11n) + 10*log10(SF_11n);$ 

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% BER / FER Calculations %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% BER\_11b = (128/255) \* 24\*Qfunct(sqrt(4\*sinrp)) + 16\*Qfunct(sqrt(6\*sinrp)) + 174\*Qfunct(sqrt(8\*sinrp)) +  $16*Qfunct(sqrt(10*sinrp)) + 24*Qfunct(sqrt(12*sinrp)) + Qfunct(sqrt(16*sinrp));$ 

% BER for 802.11b CCK 11Mbps

# April 2011 IEEE 802.15-10-0668-05-004g



BER\_15\_4(sinr\_cnt) =  $(8/15) * (1/16) * BER_15_4$ \_temp;

#### end



Matlab Program for Plotting FER Curves of the 802.15.4g FSK PHY Mode in response to Interference Generated by Other 802 Systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz Band

\*This program is used to analyze systems other than the 802.15.4g FSK as the victim receiver by replacing the relevant parameters.

\*This program is also used to analyze frequency bands other than the 2400-2483.5 MHz band by replacing the relevant parameters.

% 802.15.4g FSK as the victim receiver

% Txv and Rxv - 802.15.4g FSK 50kbps

% Txi - 802.11b CCK 11Mbps and 802.11g 6Mbps

% Interferer and Victim Parameters IV\_Para.P\_Tv = 0;  $\%$  victim TX transmit power in dBm IV\_Para.P\_Ti = 14; % interferer TX transmit power in dBm IV\_Para.BW\_Rv = 200e3; % bandwidth for victim receiver in Hz IV\_Para.BW\_Ti = 22e6; % bandwidth for interferer in Hz IV\_Para.d\_D = 0.01; % victim transmitter to victim receiver distance in km IV\_Para.d\_U = [0.001:0.001:1]; % interferer transmitter to victim receiver distance in km IV\_Para.fc =  $2437$ ;  $\%$  center frequency in MHz

#### % Hata Path Loss Model Parameters



#### % Calculation of SINR

for  $x=1$ :length(IV Para.d D)

```
 for y=1:length(IV_Para.d_U)
```
 $DUR(x, y) = DUR$  calculator(IV\_Para,PL\_Para,y);

#### end

#### end

BER\_FSK50 = berawgn(DUR,'fsk',2,'coherent'); % BER for victim

FER\_FSK50 =  $1-(1-\text{BER}\_FSK50)$ .^L\_FSK50); % FER for victim

- 
- L\_FSK50 =  $250*8$ ;  $\frac{1}{250*8}$  % victim signal frame length
	-

#### Matlab Function Program for Calculating the DUR Corresponding to Path Loss

% Function to calculate DUR or SINR

function DUR = DUR\_calculator(IV\_Para,PL\_Para,y)

% for desired link  $G_Tv = 0$ ;  $\%$  victim transmitter antenna gain G\_Rv = 0;  $\%$  victim receiver antenna gain PL\_D = 69.55 + 26.16 \* log10(IV\_Para.fc) + (44.9-6.55 \* log10(PL\_Para.h\_ap)) \* log10(IV\_Para.d\_D) - 13.82 \* log10(PL\_Para.h\_dev) - PL\_Para.cf; % path loss for desired link in dB  $D = 10*log10$  (dB2lin(IV\_Para.P\_Tv) .\* dB2lin(G\_Tv) .\* dB2lin(G\_Rv)) - PL\_D; % victim receiver received power from victim transmitter % for undesired link  $G_T$  = 0;  $\%$  interferer transmitter antenna gain PL\_U =  $69.55 + 26.16 * log10 (IV_Para.fc) + (44.9-6.55 * log10 (PL_Para.h_int)) * log10 (IV_Para.d_U(y)) - 13.82 *$ log10(PL\_Para.h\_dev) - PL\_Para.cf; % path loss for undesired link in dB if (IV\_Para.BW\_Rv < IV\_Para.BW\_Ti)  $U = 10*log10$  (dB2lin(IV\_Para.P\_Ti) .\* dB2lin(G\_Ti) .\* dB2lin(G\_Rv) .\* (IV\_Para.BW\_Rv/IV\_Para.BW\_Ti) ) - PL\_U; % victim receiver received power from interferer transmitter % if victim bandwidth is smaller than interferer bandwidth elseif (IV\_Para.BW\_Rv  $>=$  IV\_Para.BW\_Ti)  $U = 10*log10$  (dB2lin(IV\_Para.P\_Ti) .\* dB2lin(G\_Ti) .\* dB2lin(G\_Rv)) - PL\_U; % victim receiver received power from interferer transmitter % if victim bandwidth is larger than interferer bandwidth end

 $DUR = D-U$ ;  $\% DUR$  or SINR