

Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)

Submission Title: [Comment resolution for cids 606,453,412]

Date Submitted: [September 2008]

Source: [T. Baykas¹, R. Funada¹, M.A Rahman¹, C.S Sum¹, J. Y. Wang¹, Z. Lan¹, C.W Pyo¹, F. Kojima¹, M. Umehira¹ H. Nakase², H. Harada¹, S. Kato¹]

Company [NICT¹, Tohoku University²]

Address []

Voice: [], E-Mail: []

Re: []

Abstract: [Comment resolution for cids 606,453,412]

Purpose: []

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.

Comment resolution for CIDs 606,453,412

CID	Comment	Suggested Remedy	Resolution
606	Why are four different preamble types required? I can understand long and medium and extra-short, but what about short? A larger number of preambles VASTLY increases implementation and verification complexity.	Please remove the short preamble format (making the current "medium" format the new "short" one). :)	Accept: Remove short preamble and all related text. Rename extra-short preamble as short preamble.
412	Can we simplify the number of options in this preamble? This is too difficult to implement.	Simplify this preamble.	Accept: Remove short preamble and all related text. Rename extra-short preamble as short preamble.
453	There are 4 options for preamble	Combine short and extra short preamble	Accept: Remove short preamble and all related text. Rename extra-short preamble as short preamble.