

**Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)**

**Submission Title:** [60GHz Applications and Propagation Characteristics]

**Date Submitted:** [8, September, 2008]

**Source:** [Katsuyoshi Sato, Hirokazu Sawada, Ryuhei Funada,  
Hiroshi Harada, Shuzo Kato, Hiroyuki Nakase, Masahiro Umehira]

**Company** [National Institute of Information and Communications Technology,  
Tohoku University, Ibaraki University]

**Address** [3-4, Hikarino-Oka, Yokosuka, Kanagawa, 239-0847, Japan]

**Voice:**[+81.46.847.5096], **FAX:** [+81.46.847.5079], **E-Mail:**[satox@nict.go.jp]

**Re:** []

**Abstract:** [This contribution describes 60GHz propagation measurement of several condition.]

**Purpose:** [Contribution to VHT/mmW TG3c joint meeting.]

**Notice:** This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

**Release:** The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.

# 60GHz Applications and Propagation Characteristics

Katsuyoshi Sato, Hirokazu Sawada, Ryuhei Funada, Hiroshi Harada,  
Shuzo Kato, Hiroyuki Nakase and Masahiro Umehira

National Institute of Information and  
Communication Technology (NICT), Japan

# Summary

- **TG3c has adopted CM2.3 channel model for NLOS residential environments – this was created by eliminating LOS component from LOS channel model, and, later the real measurements validated this as a good channel model for severe communications environments**
- **This CM2.3 is good for beacon signal transmission from PNC (Picone coordinator) with Omni antenna at both PNC and Device up to 10 m coverage (typical coverage of WPAN)**
- **NLOS environments could be defined in many other ways according to different applications such as beaconing, desktop environments, intra room communications, long transmission with very high antenna gain –which generates very few delay spread**

# Agenda

## ■ Introduction

### 1. CM2.3: A valid NLOS channel model

- i. Metal shadowing: Cabinet shadowing
- ii. PC shadowing

### 2. Various NLOS environments and measurements

- i. Common mode : up 10 m with Omni antenna - beaconing
- ii. Long transmission: Door penetration
- iii. PC Peripheral: Desktop penetration


### 3. High antenna gain applications

- i. Delay spread
- ii. Beam forming antenna

## Conclusion

# CM2.3: A valid NLOS channel model

## NLOS residential measurement



Shadowing object




Metal Cabinet

– 70cm X 60cm X 40cm

Floor plan of residential environment


## Example PDPs (Power delay profile) in NLOS residential environment (Beam width: Tx=30, Rx=30)




- Direct-path component remains in NLOS measurement
- TSV model can model NLOS residential channels

# Metal Blocked: Example of Delay profile

Both antenna faced to each other



## Metal Blocked: Example of Delay profile Both antenna faced to wall





# PC Blocking: Measurement environment

doc: IEEE-802.15-08-0651-00-003r

TX  
antenna



Antenna

- Conical horn antenna


- Beam width:  $30^\circ$  (16dBi)

- Polarization: V, H, C


- Antenna height: 1m



RX  
antenna



LoS environment




NLoS environment

Shadowing object: PC's

# LoS environment


doc.: IEEE 802.15-08-0651-00-003c



- Materials
- Wall, floor: Concrete
  - Window: Glass
  - Door: wood
  - Desk, White board, Refrigerator: Metal
  - TV, PC: Plastic + Metal
- Antenna
- Conical horn
  - Beam width:  $30^\circ$
  - Gain: 6dBi
  - Polarization: V, H, C
  - height: 1m
- Measurement condition
- Distance: 1, 2, 3m
  - Rotation every 10 degree

# PC Blocking: NLoS environment

Materials  
doc.: IEEE 802.15.38.0651.00-003c




- Wall, floor: Concrete
- Window: Glass
- Door: wood
- Desk, White board, Refrigerator: Metal
- TV, PC: Plastic + Metal

- Antenna
- Conical horn
  - Beam width:  $30^\circ$
  - Gain: 6dBi
  - Polarization: V,H,C
  - height: 1m

- Measurement condition
- Distance: 3m
  - Rotation every 10 degree

5880 mm  
6570 mm

# NLOS: Door - Measurement environment



Floor plan of NLOS residential environment

## Measurement conditions

Instrument	HP8510C VNA
Center frequency	62.5 GHz
Bandwidth	3 GHz
Time resolution	0.333 ns
Distance resolution	19.1 cm
# of frequency points	801
Frequency step	3.75MHz
Times of average	128 times

- Calibration performed with 1m reference separation
- Time resolution and distance resolution were determined by bandwidth

## Measurement conditions (cont')

- **Antenna:** Conical horn antenna
- **Polarization:** Vertical
- **Beam-width:** Tx:30 and Rx 30




Conical horn antenna  
Beam-width 30 deg

# NLOS: Penetration measurement ~ Office desktop ~

Penetration loss was measured to be more than 50 dB.

TX antenna : Omni(4dBi), RX antenna : 15 degree(22dBi)




# Penetration measurement


doc.: IEEE 802.15-08-0651-00-003c

~ Low wooden desk ~

Without desktop (Direct) : -42.2 dB



Position	Received Power[dB]	Loss [dB]
0	-52.7	-10.5
1	-59.7	-17.5
2	-58.7	-16.5
3	-61.1	-18.9
4	-66.2	-24.0
5	-65.9	-23.7
6	-60.5	-18.3
7	-64.9	-22.7
8	-77.0	-34.8




Penetration loss: 10dB




# NLOS: Penetration measurement

~ meeting desk ~





Without desktop (Direct) : -41.0 dB

Position	Received Power [dB]	loss[dB]
0	-65.19	-24.19
1	-66.9	-25.9
2	-71.4	-30.4
3	-76.0	-35
4	-81.8	-40.8
5	-67.4	-26.4
6	-68.7	-27.7
7	-63.5	-22.5
8	-76.4	-25.4
9	-75.9	-34.9
10	-65.3	-24.3
11	-80.3	-39.3
12	-74.9	-33.9



# RMS delay spread analysis of CM2.3

- 30 dB cut-off and no cut-off thresholds<sup>(\*)</sup> give no difference in RMS delay spread
- Continuous channel and discrete channels generated from the continuous give no difference in RMS delay spread
- CM2.3 ( $W_{Rx} = 30\text{deg}$  with -30 dB cut-off threshold) (same result as previous )
  - 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of CDF: **12.5 ns**, and 100<sup>th</sup> percentile of CDF: 30.8 ns
- $W_{Rx}$  of 15 deg with -30 dB cut-off threshold
  - 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of CDF: **7.7 ns**, and 100<sup>th</sup> percentile of CDF: 18.8 ns



**$D_{rms}$  CDF with 30 deg (Original CM2.3)**

**$D_{rms}$  CDF with 15 deg**

## High Antenna Gain applications

To transmit LONG distance such as 30 m, a 30 dBi antenna gain will be required.

In such case, the measured delay spread is very small (a couple of ns with 5 degree HPBW antenna)

Direct as well as reflective wave is good enough for communciations

TG3c specification includes beam forming to track the best and 2<sup>nd</sup> best beam for more reliable communciations

## Conclusion

**NLOS environments may be defined in various ways according to different applications.**

**CM2.3 channel model has been validate as a good channel model for beacon signal transmission to cover up to 10 m in NLOS environments with Omni antenna**

**Beam forming antenna will resolve delay spread issue a lot**