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Abstract

This document contains TGbk November 2024 plenary meeting minutes

## TGbk – November 12, 2024

* 1. Called to order by TGbk Chair, Jonathan Segev (Intel)
	2. Agenda Doc. **[IEEE 802.11-1638/r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/24/11-24-1638-01-00bk-tgbk-nov-meeting-agenda.pptx)**
	3. Review Patent Policy and logistics
		1. Chair reviewed policy guidelines for the members
		2. Suggested members to sign-in to Attendance server (IMAT) with the link shown on the slide
		3. Asked everyone to register.
		4. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE-SA Patent Policy, duty to inform, the guideline for IEEE WG meetings and logistics. Chair called for any potentially essential patents, no one stepped forward.
		5. Chair reminded audience of the other guidelines for IEEE meetings, antitrust and competition laws, provided link to patent-related information asked if any clarifications are requested, no one stepped forward.
		6. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE SA copyright policy.
		7. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE code of ethics and reviewed WG participation as an individual professional. – no clarification requested.
		8. Second attendance reminder
	4. Agenda for week (slide 23)
		1. Approval of previous meeting minutes and motion from telecon that met draft text threshold
		2. Review SA ballot results, process going forward and resulting plans for the week.
		3. Conduct comment assignment
		4. Conduct comment resolution for available submissions.
		5. Review progress made during the week
		6. Review program timelines
		7. Review and setup telecon plan
		8. Review submission pipeline
	5. Agenda for this slot (slide 25):
		1. Approval of previous meeting minutes (10min).
		2. Review SA ballot results, process going forward and resulting plans for the week.
		3. Conduct comment assignment.
		4. Conduct comment resolution for available submissions.
		5. Submission list:
			1. 11-24-1920-SA 1 Comment database (Roy Want)
			2. 11-24-1921- Comment Resolution Spatial Reuse (Christian Berger)
			3. 11-24-1929-SA1 editorial comment resolution (Roy Want)
			4. 11-24-1923-CR SA ballot (Christian Berger)
		6. Agenda approved.
	6. **Motion** (202411-01):

Move to approve document 11-24/1686r0 as TGbk meeting minutes for the 2024 September meeting week.Moved by: Dibakar Das

Seconded by: Roy Want

Results (Y/N/A): unanimous

* 1. Roy Want presented 11-24-1920r0
		1. Chair clarifies that we can run motions at any meeting since we are in expedited process
		2. No comments
	2. Christian Berger presented 11-24-1921r2
		1. Title: Comment Resolution Spatial Reuse
		2. C: Regarding whether to leave the text in clause 11 about SPATIAL\_REUSE parameter, prefer to keep this text since its talking about NDP behaviour.
		3. Some clarification that the revised text on CH\_BW parameter has same principle as the previous two bullets.
		4. C: Is this changing 11az text? Note there is another bit somewhere else that indicates complete BW for 320 MHz case.
		5. R: There is no separate text for 320 MHz . Need to take it offline.
		6. C: For I-3: change resolution box
		7. C: need to be careful that this added text can make some existing implementations as invalid. Can we do “may” ?
		8. C: “may” is wrong. “should” is better.
		9. C: can we make it “reserved”?
	3. Roy Want presented 11-24-1929r0
		1. Title: SA1 editorial comment resolution
		2. C: “Ranging Trigger frame for TB Ranging” -> “..Ranging Trigger frame” since its only used for TB Ranging.
		3. C: In the associated text, no need for the underlined text: and the following rules also apply to the transmission of a Ranging NDP Announcement frame”.
		4. Per discussion the CID I-14 is reclassified as technical.
		5. For CID I-25, group confirmed that its indeed for TB case only based on a comment
		6. C: For CID I-26, is it correct to use “I2R NDP” ? R: yes, because in MAC context we use this term
	4. Chair suggested to add an extra slot for Thursday PM1. But based on some conflicts, decided to keep current schedule.
	5. Christian Berger presented 11-24-1923r0
		1. Title: CR SA ballot
		2. C: “set to indicate” is not a language we normally used.
		3. Group discussed and fine with the new text.
	6. Meeting adjourned at 3:30PM PST.

## TGbk – November 13, 2024, PM2

* 1. Called to order by TGbk Chair, Jonathan Segev (Intel) at 4:00 PM PST.
	2. Agenda Doc. **[IEEE 802.11-1638/r2](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/24/11-24-1638-02-00bk-tgbk-nov-meeting-agenda.pptx)**
	3. Review Patent Policy and logistics
		1. Chair reviewed policy guidelines for the members
		2. Suggested members to sign-in to Attendance server (IMAT) with the link shown on the slide
		3. Asked everyone to register
		4. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE-SA Patent Policy, duty to inform, the guideline for IEEE WG meetings and logistics. Chair called for any potentially essential patents, no one stepped forward.
		5. Chair reminded audience of the other guidelines for IEEE meetings, antitrust and competition laws, provided link to patent-related information asked if any clarifications are requested, no one stepped forward.
		6. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE SA copyright policy.
		7. Chair reminded audience of the IEEE code of ethics and reviewed WG participation as an individual professional. – no clarification requested
		8. Second attendance reminder.
	4. Agenda:
		1. Comment assignment
		2. Comment resolution
			1. 11-24-1923 – CR SA Ballot (Christian Berger)
			2. 11-24-1935 – CR SA Ballot II (Christian Berger)
			3. 11-24-1921 - Comment Resolution Spatial Reuse (Christian Berger)
		3. Set telecon
	5. Roy Want performed comment assignment
	6. Christian Berger presented 11-24-1923
		1. Title: CR SA Ballot
		2. Motion 202411-02:

Move to adopt the resolutions depicted by document 11-24-1923r1 for CIDs I-4, I-11, I-12, I-21, I-22, I-23, I-24, I-28, (8 CID total), instruct the technical editor to incorporate it in the P802.11bk draft and grant the editor editorial license.

 Moved: Christian Berger

Seconded: Ali Raissinia

Result (Y/N/A): Motion passes (unanimous)

* 1. Motion 202411-03:
		1. Move to adopt the resolutions depicted by document 11-24-1929r1 for 49 editorial CIDs, instruct the technical editor to incorporate it in the P802.11bk draft and grant the editor editorial license.

Moved: Roy Want

Seconded: Ali Raissinia

Result (Y/N/A): Motion passes (unanimous)

* 1. Christian Berger presented 11-24-1935
		1. Title: CR SA Ballot II
		2. C: how would clients know that AP support 320 MHz before making IFTMR Request ?
		3. R: client wont know. It will attempt and see if negotiation succeeds.
		4. C: request to defer I-16
		5. C: we need to keep the text about More TF bit.
		6. R: from need to have normative text POV we already cover it elsewhere.
		7. C: re CID I-18, this note was supposed to be generic and cover HE PPDU cases too.
		8. R: this text is only for NTB case where the HE PPDU never carries STA\_ID.
		9. C: we never said RSID is same as STA\_ID in preamble. RSID is MAC concept, and we never differentiated this in PHY.
	2. Scheduled telecons
	3. Reviewed submission pipeline
	4. AoB
	5. Adjourned at 5:51 PM PST.