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##### This submission present proposed resolutions for 11az/11bd/11bb Roll-in related CIDs.

##### The proposed changes are based on REVme/D5.0.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – initial version

R1 – added more CIDs.

R2 – incorporated the discussion on 4/17 and 4/18.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7079 | 3077.00 | 12.7.1.3 | 11az work item - 11az instructs TGme editor to replace Figure 12-29. However this figure has been modified by two CIDs. Changes need to be identified instead of replacement. | as in comment. |  | SEC |

***Discussion:***

In the published 11az standard, it states:



The above figure is identical with the figure in 11ba standard:



Since 11ax is based on 11ba, the editing instruction in 11az was wrong.

The more important, after 11ba roll-in, this figure has been modified by CID 3744 and CID #4057. Now, this figure in D 5.0 is shown as below:



CID 3744: removed EAPOL-Key

CID 4057: Replace "(KCK)" with "(PTK-KCK)" and "(KEK)" with "(PTK-KEK)".

Therefore, TGme editor should not do any change for Figure 12-32, for 11az roll-in.

***Proposed Resolutions:***

Revised.

Remove the Editor Note at line 48 to 50 on page 3077.

No change to Figure 12-32 is needed.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7078 | 3068.00 | 12.6.17 | 11az work item - Changes in 12.6.19 of 11az cannot be incorporated in this section. Please review. | as in comment. |  | SEC |

***Discussion:***

In 11az standard, 12.6.19, it states:



However, I couldn’t find any relevant texts in D4.0.

Subclause 12.6.17 Protection of Robust Management frames (D 4.0) has been changed due to CID 2128 (Doc 21/1128r6, Mark R’s submission).

Help is needed.

Assigned to Mark R.

***Proposed Resolution:***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7059 | 4953.00 | 34 | During one of the later rounds of SA Ballot, the EBCS clause was moved from a sub-clause of 11 to clause 34 in response to a comment that requested that the EBCS clause be divided between clause 11 and 10. | The commenter will provide a submission to address the comment that restores the clause 11 content and possibly moves some content to clause 10. | REVISED (GEN: 2024-03-13 03:51:34Z) The EBCS specification includes both MAC and MAC management. Move Clause 34 to a sub-clause of clause 11. | GEN |

***Discussion:***

Motion to approve the resolution fails, see:



We can use the “no consensus” reject reason***.***

***Proposed resolution:***

***Rejected.***

The CRC reviewed the comment. The motion to approve revised changes (Move Clause 34 to a sub-clause of clause 11) failed on 3/14/2024 with the motion result of 6 Yes - 7 No - 4 abstain. The implementing revised changes does not have task group consensus.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7056 | 94 | . | The 11bc CID 5113 (Initial SA Ballot) suggests that clause 34 actually defines MAC sublayer behaviour, which it does. Therefore this clause should be moved to a sub clause of clause 10 and not stand alone. See 11-23-0486r0, "SAB Initial Ballot" tab to find CID 5113. | Move Clause 34 into Clause 10, as 10.71. |  | GEN |

***Discussion:***

CID 7056 is like CID 7059. It proposes to move clause 34 into clause 10 (instead of clause 11).

All debating in CID 7059 can be applied to CID 7056.

***Proposed resolution:***

Rejected.

The CRC reviewed the comment. This comment is same as CID 7059. The motion to approve the resolution for CID 7059 (Move Clause 34 to a sub-clause of clause 11) failed on 3/14/2024 with the motion result of 6 Yes - 7 No - 4 abstain. The implementing proposed changes does not have task group consensus.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7051 | 94 | . | The title of clause 34 should state "MAC specification" to align it with the title of the previous clauses. | Change "34. Enhanced broadcast services procedures(11bc)" to "34. Enhanced broadcast services procedures MAC specification (11bc)" |  | GEN |

***Discussion:***

Proposed change:

34. Enhanced broadcast services procedures MAC specification

I don’t think we need “procedure”, suggest the following changes:

34. Enhanced broadcast services ~~procedures~~ MAC specification

***Proposed Resolution:***

Revised.

At 4953.1, change the title of clause 34 to “Enhanced broadcast services MAC specification”.