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#####

##### This submission present proposed resolutions for the following 4 CIDs:

7138, 7139, 7140, 7141

##### The proposed changes are based on REVme D5.0.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – Initial version

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 7138 | 9.3.1.19 | 692 | 22 | RSTA and ISTA are defined in the clause 3.4, but they should also be defined on first use in each major clause. | Replace "RSTA or ISTA" With: "responding STA (RSTA) or initiating STA (ISTA)" |
| 7139 | 11.21.6.2 | 2697 | 45 | RSTA and ISTA are defined in the clause 3.4, but they should also be defined on first use in each major clause. | Replace "… an ISTA and an RSTA along with the associated scheduling..." With: "… an initiating STA (ISTA) and a responding STA (RSTA) along with the associated scheduling..." |
| 7140 | P.3 | 6125 | 56 | RSTA and ISTA are defined in the clause 3.4, but they should also be defined on first use in each major clause. | Replace "… between ISTA and RSTA." With: "… between an initiating STA (ISTA) and a responding STA (RSTA)." |
| 7141 | AD | 6200 | 10 | RSTA and ISTA are defined in the clause 3.4, but they should also be defined on first use in each major clause. | Replace "… the ISTA and RSTA ..." With: "… the initiating STA (ISTA) and responding STA (RSTA) ..." |

***Discussion:***

For your information about the related discussion in the EDITORS email reflector:

“The comment about capitalization is valid, but something for REVmf. Ask members that want change to comment in REVmf.”

***Proposed resolution for CIDs 7138, 7139, 7140, 7141:***

Rejected.

The IEEE SA Standards Style Manual tells us:

* Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears).

The CRC has interpreted this for 802.11, that the preferred ‘rule’ is that an acronym must be shown with expansion at first use in each definition (individually) in clause 3, and then again at first use beyond clause 3, globally (not per major clause).

* RSTA occurs in exactly one definition in clause 3 (Null-SAC-HE-LTF), and it is expanded on first use in that definition. The first usage after clause 3 is in 4.3.22.19, where it is also expanded. No problem found.
* ISTA also occurs in exactly one definition in clause 3 (Null-SAC-HE-LTF), and it is expanded on first use in that definition. The first usage after clause 3 is also in 4.3.22.19, where it is also expanded. No problem found.