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Abstract

This document aims to resolve the following ten LB281 comments: CIDs 4020, 4083, 4243, 4244, 4245, 4247, 4249, 4253, 4256, and 4257.

R0: Initial version

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 4243 | 9.4.2.320 | 72.37 | Chains should be used instead of antennas in Figure 9-1001bd. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" | Accepted |
| 4244 | 9.4.2.320 | 73.35 | Chains should be used instead of antennas. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" (two places) | Accepted |
| 4245 | 9.4.2.320 | 73.41 | Chains should be used instead of antennas. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" | Accepted |
| 4247 | 9.4.2.321 | 77.22 | Chains should be used instead of antennas in Figure 9-1001bi. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" | Accepted |
| 4249 | 9.4.2.321 | 79.01 | Chains should be used instead of antennas. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" (two places) | Accepted |
| 4256 | 11.55.1.4.1 | 143.37 | Chains should be used instead of antennas. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" | Accepted |
| 4257 | 11.55.1.4.1 | 143.38 | Chains should be used instead of antennas. | Replace "antennas" with "chains" | Accepted |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 4020 | 11.55.1.4.1 | 140.29 | It's better to talk about valid case first and then reserved case. So, suggest to move this paragraph after P140L48. | As in comment. | Revised.  Agree with the commentor.  See proposed change below in <DCN11-24/0169r0>. |
| 4083 | 11.55.1.4.1 | 140.35 | change the sentence as "is addressed to an associated non-AP STA", since the Comeback field is only applicable for an unassociated STA. | as in comment | Revised.  See proposed change below in <DCN11-24/0169r0>. |
| 4253 | 11.55.1.4.1 | 140.30 | The logic operation between the conditions should be "or" instead "and". | Replace "and if the frame is sent by an AP" with "or if the frame is sent by an AP" | Revised.  See proposed change below in <DCN11-24/0169r0>. |

**Discussion:**

The fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth paragraphs of clause 11.55.1.4.1 (General) of D3.0 do not appear to be consistent with the resolution in Contribution 11-23/1634r1, which passed TGbf motion. We propose a resolution consistent with the one in 11-23/1634r1.

*TGbf Editor: Please revise the fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth paragraphs of Clause 11.55.1.4.1 (General) of D3.0 as follows.*

The Comeback field of the Sensing Comeback Info field within the Sensing Measurement Request frame shall be set to 0 if the frame is sent by an AP, is addressed to a non-AP STA, and includes a Sensing Measurement Parameters element (see 9.4.2.320 (Sensing Measurement Parameters element)).

The Comeback field of the Sensing Comeback Info field within the Sensing Measurement Request frame shall be set to 1 if the frame is sent by an AP, is addressed to an unassociated non-AP STA (see 11.55.1.4.2 (Sensing measurement session for unassociated STAs)), and does not include a Sensing Measurement Parameters element (see 9.4.2.320 (Sensing Measurement Parameters element)).

NOTE—The Comeback field equal to 1 is only applicable for sensing measurement sessions with unassociated non-AP STAs (see 11.55.1.4.2 (Sensing measurement session for unassociated STAs)).

The Comeback field of the Sensing Comeback Info field within the Sensing Measurement Request frame shall be reserved if the frame is sent by a non-AP STA**.**

**SP:** Do you agree to the resolutions provided in the document 11-24/169r0for CIDs 4020, 4083, 4243, 4244, 4245, 4247, 4249, 4253, 4256, and 4257?