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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for 2 CIDs (19649, 19361,) in subclause 9.3.1.19 in P802.11be D4.0:
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**Revision History:**

R0: Initial version

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 19649 | 10.6.6.1 | 339.26 | Delete "VHT/HE" in "VHT/HE NDP Announcement frame". | As in comment | **Accept** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19361 | 9.3.1.19.1 | 145.48 | "the STA Info field is addressed" could be expressed better since the STA Info field contains an AID which is generally understood as an ID not an address. | Try "f the NDP Announcement frame is not a Ranging variant, the STA Info field is addressed to an associated STA whose AID is equal to the value in the AID11 subfield. If the NDP Announcement frame is a Ranging variant, the STA Info field \*identifies\* an unassociated STA or an associated STA whose RSID/AID is equal to the value in the RSID11/AID11 subfield" | **Reject** The term “addressed” has been used in this subclause many times and similarly in other subclauses where other forms of AIDs are used to identify the STAs/Users (e.g., Trigger frame). In general, there is no problem with the term “addressed” since it conveys the intended meaning with no confusion.  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |