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**This document proposes CR resolutions for some of the MISC category based on D2.0**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 3128 | 3.4 | 19.16 | To reflect the abbreviation properly, change "URNM-MFPR-X20" to "USNM-MFPR-X20". | As described in the comment. | Accept |
| 3144 | 3.4 | 19.16 | Change "URNM-MFPR-X20" to "USNM-MFPR-X20" | It was a typo as "R=Ranging" and "S=Sensing". | Accept |
| 3151 | 9.4.2.240 | 70.20 | Change "dot11RSTARequiresPMFActivated" to | dot11APRequiresPMFActivated | Accept |
| 3211 | 3.4 | 19.16 | Probably typo: "URNM-MFPR-X20" should be "USNM-MFPR-X20" | As in comment | Accept |
| 3212 | 11.55.1.2 | 135.55 | Probably typo: "URNM-MFPR-X20" should be "USNM-MFPR-X20" | As in comment | Accept |
| 3280 | 3.2 | 18.65 | The exemption applies only to 20Mhz. Why should we talk about bandwidth greater than 20 MHz? | Change to: if bandwidth 20 MHz is used. | Reject  The definition is for the frame protection requirement, which is for all BW except 20MHz, hence the use of the term ‘bandwidth greater than 20 MHz’. |
| 3281 | 3.4 | 19.17 | Misused abbreviation letter 'N', it should be 'S', since it's sensing but not ranging. | Globally change to: USNM-MFPR-X20 | Revise  Commenter intended to suggest replacing “R” (rather than “N”) with S hence 11bf editor make this change |
| 3372 | 3.4 | 19.16 | There are typo. URNM-MFPR-X20 should be USNM-MFPR-X20 | as commented | Accept |
| 3388 | 3.4 | 19.16 | "URNM-MFPR-X20" should be "USNM-MFPR-X20" here and elsewhere. | As suggested. | Accept |