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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following CIDs:

**110,152**

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbh D1.0 Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbh D1.0 Draft. (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbh Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbh Editor” are instructions to the TGbh editor to modify existing material in the TGbh draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbh editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbh Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 110 | The PICS shows both Device ID & IRM to be both CFCMA:O. Myinterpretation is that both protocol capabilities are optional.Perhaps this was not intentional. |  Clarify or fix | Rejected--Clarification: Both Device ID and IRM are optional. |
| 152 | Referring to PC34 in the Status for IUT configuration item is not appropriate. | Remove "PC34 AND" from the Status for CFCMA.Add a new row for PC34 to the table under B.4.4.1 and add Status "CFCMA:M" in the added row. | Rejected--PC34:O in the IUT configuration follows the writing style in 11az specification(in B.4.3 IUT configuration CFPASN Support for PASN 12.13 PC34:O), no further change on this part. |