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Abstract

This document contains the meeting minutes for the TGbe MAC ad hoc teleconferences held in July 2023.

Revisions:

* Rev0:
  + Adding the minutes of AM 2 MAC session held on July 10, 2023.
* Rev1:
  + Adding the minutes of AM 1 MAC session held on July 11, 2023.
* Rev2:
  + Adding the minutes of AM 2 MAC session held on July 11, 2023.
* Rev3:
  + Adding the minutes of PM 2 MAC session held on July 11, 2023.

**Monday,10 July 2023, 08:00AM –10:00AM CEST (TGbe MAC F2F session)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a mixed webex session and F2F session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 08:10am. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |
|  |  |  |  |

1. The Chair reminded that the agenda can be found in 11-23/0919r1. The Chair asked for the comments about the agenda. No response was received. The proposed agenda was approved.

* Technical Submissions:
  1. [**1114r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1114-00-00be-lb271-cr-for-misc-mac-cids.docx) **CR for MISC MAC CIDs Jason Y. Guo [3C]**

Discussion:

C: does the baseline has a bullet about discarding a PPDU that can’t be identified.

A: no.

C: why doesn’t the baseline sentence already cover this?

A: Will do offline discussion.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1114r0 for the following CIDs?

15284, 17952

No Objection

* 1. [**1134r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1134-00-00be-lb271-cr-for-mlsm-power-save-mode.docx) **CR for MLSM Power Save Mode Jason Y. Guo [1C]**

Discussion:

C: this includes a lot of text. Please defer the document.

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

SP defered

* 1. [**801r3**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0801-00-00be-lb271-9-4-2-316-qos-char-element-part-2.docx) **LB271-9.4.2.316 (QoS char element Part 2) Duncan Hu [19C]**

Discussion:

C: 18044. Please defer it.

A: ok.

C: 16693. Try to understand the reject reason mentioned that P2P devcei can include legacy STA.

A: the AP MLD doesn’t knwo the information of the peer STA of the associated non-AP MLD. The CID was defered.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/801r3 for the following CIDs?

17638, 18015, 17640, 17642, 17641, 18016, 17643, 16297, 16298, 17644, 17647, 17639

No Objection

* 1. [**825r**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0825-00-00be-lb-271-cr-for-35-3-7-1-3.docx)**1 CR for 35.3.7.1.3 Yongho Seok [22C]**

Discussion:

No time for discussion

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

Recessed at 10:00am

**Tuesday, 11 July 2023, 08:00AM –10:00AM CEST (TGbe MAC F2F session)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a mixed webex session and F2F session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 08:00am. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |
|  |  |  |  |

1. The Chair reminded that the agenda can be found in 11-23/0919r3. The Chair asked for the comments about the agenda. No response was received. The proposed agenda was approved.

* Technical Submissions:
  1. [825r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0825-00-00be-lb-271-cr-for-35-3-7-1-3.docx) CR for 35.3.7.1.3 Yongho Seok [22C SP**]**

Discussion:

C: 16338. TID-to-link mapping mode 3 was removed, do we still need to address the CIDs related to TID-to-link mapping mode 3?

A: will defer the document.

.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

SP deferred

* 1. [**1202r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1202-00-00be-cr-for-misc-cids.docx) **CR for Misc CIDs Dibakar Das [21C]**

Discussion:

C: 16293. Your assumption is that STA2 also needs to negotiate the R-TWT membership, right.

A: yes.

C: this may not be true.

The chair asked to defer the CID to save the time.

C: 18308. The comment is valid.

A: Changing the duration in CTS is not in line with the baseline spec.

C: is 18308 rejected or revised?

A: it is rejected.

C: 16734. You have the copy and paste error. The commenter asked to delete ”non-TB”. The reject reason is not right.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1202r1 for the following CIDs?

15444 17142 15489 18308 15940 16290 16409 16411 16458 16717 16729 17232 17978 16730 16731 17143 17899 17977

No Objection

* 1. [**1054r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1054-00-00be-lb271-cr-for-mobileapmlo.docx) **CIDs for MobileAPMLO Kaiying Lu [6C]**

Discussion:

C: 16685. Do we allow the configuration mentioned in the rejection reason?

A: the 2.4GHz radio is not under the same MLD.

C: please defer 16685 and 18212.

A: ok.

C: similar comment as the previous commenter. For 18334, you assume the simultaneous channel switch. Does it mean designation?

A: yes.

C: the two radios may not have the same capability.

A: Can defer the CID.

C: 16400. The special reuls may be needed.

A: will defer it.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1054r1 for the following CIDs?

16282, 16403

No Objection

* 1. [**965r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0965-00-00be-lb271-cr-for-clause-35-16-2.docx) **CR for Clause 35.16.2 Arik Klein [8C]**

Discussion:

C: clause 6. Anyway you need to change (MU)EDCA parameter, why do you delete it.

A: the TID-to-link mapping is also needed.

C: you use ”shall” in clause 9.

A: will change it.

C: you may remove the procedural text in subclause 9.

C: the clause 6 text is not in line with the new style of 11me.

C: question to part 1. It seems the current spec already provide the flexibility. We don’t need the new flexibility.

A: what do you mean the flexibility being provided already.

C: the different EDCA parameters can be defined for the different links.

C: EPCS and TID-to-link mapping are two different things. We shoudl nto combine them together.

C: agree with the previous two commenters

C: support the proposal.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

SP defered

* 1. [**800r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0800-00-00be-lb271-9-4-2-316-qos-char-element-part-3.docx) **LB271-9.4.2.316 (QoS char element Part 3) Duncan Ho [3C]**

Discussion:

C: in the last sentence, what is the meaning of meet?

A: it is used in multiple places.

C: here you have medium time and BW.

A: we have a note to clarify it.

C: change to the product of BW and medium time.

A: ok.

C: how to deal with the puncture mode?

A: will do offline discussion

C: is it allowed to have wider BW of P2P than the BSS BW?

A: no.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

No time for runing SP

**Recessed at 10:00am**

**Tuesday, 11 July 2023, 10:30AM –12:30PM CEST (TGbe MAC F2F session)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a mixed webex session and F2F session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 10:30am. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |
|  |  |  |  |

1. The Chair reminded that the agenda can be found in 11-23/0919r3. The Chair asked for the comments about the agenda. 1101, 1211 were added. The updated agenda was approved.

* Technical Submissions:
  1. **1101r3 ]**

Discussion:

C:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

**SP deferred**

* 1. **1211r1 ]**

Discussion:

C:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

15094, 15121, 15351, 16385, 16574, 16713, 17856

No Objection

* 1. [**353r2**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0353-01-00be-lb271-cr-for-p2p-and-rtwt.docx) **CR for P2P and rTWT Pascal Viger [5C]**

Discussion:

C: support the direction.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

16295, 16296,16299

15Y, 26N, 22A

* 1. [**730r2**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0730-02-00be-lb271-cr-for-35-3-19-2.docx) **CR for 35-3-19-2 Kaiying Lu [5C]**

Discussion:

C: don’t think the current text is clear. We should combine the AP MLD type.

A: the title of the subclause is NSTR mobile AP MLD. Will defer it.

C: the last CID 18294 question.

A: it was motioned already.

C: agree with the author for the first comment.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/730r3 for the following CIDs?

15888, 18293, 16966

No Objection

* 1. **765r5 CR for ML Reconfiguration Add Delete Link Binita Gupta [1C]**

Discussion:

C:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

* 1. **540r5 Po-Kai Huang**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/540r5 for the following CIDs?

15547, 15409, 16834, 16833

No Objection

* 1. **754r3**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/754r3 for the following CID?

15836

35Y, 15N, 26A

* 1. **357r2 CIDs on TWT Rubayet Shafin [??C]**

Discussion:

C: is acknoeledgement a different action frame?

A: yes.

C: it is sent in unicast frame, right?

A: no. However the acknowledgement frame is unicast frame.

C: it depends how often the link disablement happens. Not sure about the benefit.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ 357r3 for the following CIDs?

17852, 15480, 18205

* 1. [**813r4**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0813-02-00be-lb271-cr-for-35-3-7-1-7-part-iii.docx) **CR for 35.3.7.1.7 Part III Jason Y. Guo [8C]**

Discussion:

C: still concern the new added text. ”One TU before” complicate the implementation.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/813r4 for the following CIDs?

18146 17853 16013 18144 16506 18145 16210 17946

**26Y, 18N, 28A**

Recessed at 12:33pm

**Tuesday, 11 July 2023, 04:00PM –06:00PM CEST (TGbe MAC F2F session)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a mixed webex session and F2F session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 04:00pm. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |
|  |  |  |  |

1. The Chair reminded that the agenda can be found in 11-23/0919r5. The Chair asked for the comments about the agenda. Per the requesrts, 458, 1244, 765, 1060 were added, 604, 813 were deleted. The updated agenda was approved.

* Technical Submissions:
  1. [**609r12**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0609-02-00be-cr-for-scs-related-cids.docx) **CR for SCS related CIDs Dibakar Das [11C]**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/609r12 for the following CIDs?

15015, 16151 16072 16150 16152, 16292, 16074, 17796 16408 17775

No Objection

* 1. **1244r0**

Discussion:

C:16734, the removal of non-TB PPDU may introduce the future comments.

A: ok to reject the comment.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1244r0 for the following CIDs?

16734 15878

No Objection

* 1. **458r12**

Discussion:

C: the editor instructions in the table refer to R10.

A: will update to r13.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/458r13 for the following CIDs?

16141, 16570, 16571, 16143, 16144, 15848, 15841, 16066, 18255, 16669,15842, 16067, 16142, 16115, 17083, 15933, 16650, 15947

No Objection

* 1. **765r5**

Discussion:

C: some other issues exist.

A: please call out the other issues.

C: propose to use Basic ML element to carry the information. With this more than one link can be added.

A: in most cases, only one link will be added.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/765r5 for the following CIDs?

15613

32Y, 21N, 27A

* 1. **1124r1**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1124r1 for the following CIDs?

18206, 18228, 18225, 18226, 18209, 16337, 18210, 18211, 18224

C: did you run all the CIDs?

A: yes.

C: please run the CIDs being rejected

A: ok

**SP:** Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1124r1 for the following CIDs?

18224, 18210, 18211

No Objection

* 1. **1040r2**

Discussion:

C:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1040r2 for the following CIDs?

SP deferred

**The chair asked whether other contributions are ready since all the contributions in the agenda were finished. Based on the request, 1122, 1251, 736, xxxx were added to the agenda.**

* 1. **1122r2**

Discussion:

C: the paragraph with 15169 change has some changes with CID tag missing.

A: will add 15926.

C: please defer the document for checking

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

SP deferred

* 1. **1251r0**

Discussion:

C: 16388. 11-23/1136 resolve it with the different method. Please delete it from the SP.

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1251r0 for the following CIDs?

16309, 16340

No Objection

* 1. **736r0**

Discussion:

C: 18095. This is related to TID-to-link mapping mode 3. Please defer the CIDs.

A: can defer it.

C: have differnet opinion from the oprevious comment. We don’t have enough time to address the CIDs. We should run the SP.

A: can wait until the last 11be session.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

15700 15063 17635 15999

No Objection

* 1. **1162r0**

Discussion:

C: 16623. The referred document has maximal value 64TU. It is different from the reject reason.

A: we had the discussion, and 128TU is what the group agreed. We should not add more change. Instead we should stablize the spec in this stage.

C: 17633, the text in D3.2 has be changed.

A: will change the resoultion to ”revised” and refer to the CID.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1162r1 for the following CIDs?

15031, 15702, 17860, 17627, 16235, 17633

No Objection

* 1. **1125r2**

Discussion:

C: do you need the link identifier if there is no MLD?

A: multiple TDLS links may exist.

C: do you mean that one link notify another link’s broadcast TWT schedule? If the answer is yes, it seems this is not needed.

A: yes. Can defer it for offline discussion.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1125r2 for the following CIDs?

18218, 16296, 16461

SP deferred

* 1. **1188r0**

Discussion:

C: what is value 0 used?

A: value 0 is for link addition.

C: value 0 is for AP removal.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1188r0 for the following CIDs?

17315

No Objection

* 1. **1060r3**

Discussion:

None.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1060r3 for the following CIDs?

16118

No Objection

* 1. **1120r2**

Discussion:

None.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1120r2 for the following CID?

16286

No Objection

Recessed at 05:58pm

**Wendessday, 12 July 2023, 04:00PM –06:00PM CEST (TGbe MAC F2F session)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a mixed webex session and F2F session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 04:00pm. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |
|  |  |  |  |

1. The Chair reminded that the agenda can be found in 11-23/0919r8. The Chair asked for the comments about the agenda. Per the requesrts, 813, 383, 310, 1004730... were added, 693 was deleted. The updated agenda was approved.

* Technical Submissions:
  1. [**1136r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1136-01-00be-proposed-resolutions-to-lb271-cids-on-emlsr-and-p2p-co-ex.docx) **Prop. Res. to LB271 CIDs on EMLSR and P2P co-ex Qi Wang [2C SP]**

Discussion:

C: if it is put in EMLSR field shared by multiple links, the information of the link without in-device interference is lost.

A: the field is for the advice.

C: it is better to have on/off indication.

A: this field indicates the long-term activity.

C: have you looked at the other places for the indication?

A: intend to solve the in-device interference problem of EMLSR.

C: the first letter of each word in a field name should be capitialized.

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1136r2 for the following CIDs?

16337 and 16338

44Y, 27N,32A

* 1. [**398r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0398-01-00be-proposed-resolutions-to-11be-lb271-a-few-cids-on-mediumsyncrecovery.docx) **Prop. Res. to LB271 a few CIDs on MediumSyncRecovery Qi Wang [2C SP]**

Discussion:

C: same comment as last time. Changing the default value of Txed RTS from 1 to 5 needs some careful investigation.

A: an AP can change the value anyway. This is similar to power save. The default value should not be conservative.

C: the default value should be conservative.

A: how about half PPDU length as the default value.

C: same thought as RTS Tx.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/398r1 for the following CIDs?

16673, 16674

33Y, 41N, 33A

* 1. [**842r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0842-00-00be-lb271-cr-for-subclause-35-3-24-aligned-twt.docx) **cr-for-subclause-35-3-24-aligned TWT Ming Gan [5C]**

Discussion:

C: for alligned capability singaling, this is simple concept. TWT is per link feature. TWT in multiple links can be alligned. Don’t get the intention of setting the capability. Not sure we need the singaling for such simple concept.

A: this tries to avoid interference of legacy issue.

C: we already have alligned field for broadcast TWT.

A: this proposal is for individual TWT.

C: if a frame includes TWT elements for both individual and broadcast TWT, what happens?

A: the indication will be in different elements.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/842r1 for the following CIDs?

15708 16197 16198 17845 17954 17287 15711 17356

64Y, 16N, 26A

* 1. [**541r8**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0541-08-00be-cr-for-35-3-14.docx) **CR for 35.3.14 Po-Kai Huang [11C SP]**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/541r8 for the following CIDs?

17347, 18100, 17329, 18306, 18307, 15411, 15550, 15553, 15554, 16546, 18238,

No Objection

* 1. [**696r2**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0696-02-00be-lb271-cr-for-tdls.docx) **CR for TDLS Guogang Huang [??C SP]**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/ for the following CIDs?

15156

No Objection

* 1. [**692r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0692-01-00be-lb271-cr-on-eht-operation-element.docx) **CR on EHT Operation element Guogang Huang [?? SP]**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/692r1 for the following CIDs?

17301 17299 17300 17601

No Objection

* 1. [**296r13**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0296-13-00be-lb271-cids-assigned-to-abhi-part-1.docx) **CIDs assigned to Abhi - Part 1 Abhishek Patil [?? SP]**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/296r13 for the following CIDs?

18092

61Y, 22N, 20A

* 1. **813r5**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/813r5 for the following CIDs?

18146 17853 16013 18144 16506 18145 16210 17946

No Objection

* 1. **383r3**

Discussion:

C: Don’t upport the adding of such otional features to R-TWT at this stage.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/383r3 for the following CID?

16417

18Y, 42N, 18A

* 1. **310r4**

Discussion:

C: not comforatble with the change in the first paragraph. The information in the EML Operating Mode Notification is used after time out or after the responding the EML Operating Mode Notification is received.

A: this is introduction paragraph. The normative text part describe what you mentioned.

C: will defer those CIDs.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/310r5 for the following CIDs?

16936

No Objection

* 1. **1101r4**

Discussion:

None

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/1101r4 for the following CIDs?

16057, 16000

No Objection

* 1. **735r5**

Discussion:

C: for non priary link, we can use whether Beacon exists in the link to decide whether the link is primary or not.

C: I don’t see what wrong with the sentence,

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-23/735r5 for the following CIDs?

17850, 16963

34Y, 35N, 14A

Adjourned at 06:59pm