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**Abstract**

This document proposes the comment resolution for CIDs 1755 and 2185.

R0: initial version on June 29th, 2023.

# CID 1755

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Proposed resolution**  |
| 1755 | 11.55 | 167.08 | Integrate WLAN Sensing Procedure and SBP Procedure under WLAN sensing so that U-STA session setup can also be used with a device that is SBP Initiator | Create a general text where Session Setup is part where it would then apply to both 'procedures' with sections under it covering each specific text accordingly | **REVISED**. Agree with the commenter in principle. The group has agreed to remove the session setup and session termination from the draft. Please refer to DCN477r3 (<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0477-03-00bf-lb272-sensing-session.docx>). **No extra modifications are needed.**  |

# CID 2185

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Proposed resolution**  |
| 2185 | 9.6.36.6 | 165.37 | If SBP report is an Action No Ack frame, how does AP know if the SBP report is sent successfully or if the SBP report is received. | Change "Action No Ack" to "Action". | **RJECTED**.Please refer to the rejection reasons given in 23/1106r0 (<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1106-00-00bf-lb272-cr-for-ost-cid-part-2.docx>) for CID 2185. |

**Discussions:**

There are two rejection reasons:

1. The behavior in an availability window should be one or more repeated sequences of frame exchanges that are managed by the AP to satisfy the request from the application. The retransmission of the SBP report should be avoided in an availability window, so that the majority of time in the availability window can be spent on performing sensing measurements with multiple sensing responders, instead of retrying to obtain measurements from a single responder.
2. Even if the application gets odd measurements in an SBP report, it does not have much of an affect because the application will keep obtaining new measurement results in subsequent availability windows.

# SP:

Do you agree to the comment resolutions provided for CID 1755 and 2185 to be included in the latest 11bf Draft?

Y/N/A