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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following 8 CIDs received for TGbe LB271:

17184, 17185, 17186, 17187, 17188, 17189,17190, 17191

**Revisions:**

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

**TGbe editor: The baseline for this document is 11be D3.0. In the resolution, the page and line in D3.2 are also added as a note to the editor.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 17184 | 36.3.2.2.2 | 709.19 | "For a 20 MHz operating non-AP STA, the transmission and reception of 52+26-tone and 106+26-tone MRUs that are allowed in 36.3.2.6 (RU and MRU restrictions for 20 MHz operation) shall be supported.". Is this correct for 20 MHz only with limited capabilities? | Clarify and correct if needed. | **Rejected.**  Yes. Except the “does not support” 52+26-tone and 106+26-tone MRUs described in 36.3.2.6. The rest allowed 52+26-tone and 106+26-tone MRUs are mandatory supported for a 20 MHz operating non-AP STA, including 20MHz only STA. |
| 17185 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 709.32 | "The 484+242-tone MRU is allowed when a 20 MHz subchannel is punctured in a non-OFDMA 80 MHz EHT PPDU."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 20 MHz channel punctured and that it's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 484+242 MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |
| 17186 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 709.61 | """The 996+484-tone MRU is allowed when a 40 MHz subchannel is punctured in a non-OFDMA 160 MHz EHT PPDU."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 40 MHz channel punctured and that it's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 996+484 MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |
| 17187 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 710.30 | """The 996+484+242-tone MRU is allowed when a 20 MHz subchannel is punctured in a non-OFDMA 160 MHz EHT PPDU."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 20 MHz channel punctured. It's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 996+484+242 MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |
| 17188 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 711.38 | """The 2x996+484-tone MRU is allowed when either 996-tone RU1 or 996-tone RU4 in a non-OFDMA 320 MHz EHT PPDU is punctured and any one of the 40 MHz subchannels in the remaining 240 MHz is punctured."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 40 and 80 MHz channel punctured and that it's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 2x996+484 MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |
| 17189 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 711.49 | "if any one of the 2x996+484-tone MRU 1 to the 2x996+484-tone MRU 6 exists". "exists" is a confusing choice of words here. This is for SU, so only one MRU is present. | "Change ""exist"" to ""is used"".  Same change on P712L2" | **Accepted.** |
| 17190 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 712.39 | """The 3x996-tone MRU is allowed when an 80 MHz subchannel is punctured in a non-OFDMA 320 MHz EHT PPDU."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 80 MHz channel punctured and that it's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 3x996-tone MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |
| 17191 | 36.3.2.2.3.1 | 713.01 | """The 3x996+484-tone MRU is allowed when a 40 MHz subchannel is punctured in a non-OFDMA 320 MHz EHT PPDU."".  To be clear: this means both preamble and EHT-portion will have the 40 MHz channel punctured and that it's not allowed to have a PPDU where the preamble is not punctured and the EHT-portion uses a 3x996+484-tone MRU.  If this is the intention, let's make it unambiguous. Currently it does not say that the latter is disallowed.  Do we want to go as far as saying that the MRU has to match the puncturing pattern exactly (no more, no less)?" | See comment | **Rejected.**  This sentence is clear. For a non-OFDMA transmission, preamble is punctured in the punctured subchannel.  Preamble can be not punctured in OFDMA transmissions. |