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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following 14 CIDs received for TGbe LB271:

15034, 15176, 15218, 15219, 15257, 15275, 15276, 15277, 15283, 17192, 17193, 17238, 17921, 17922

**Revisions:**

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

**TGbe editor: The baseline for this document is 11be D3.0. In the resolution, the page and line in D3.2 are also added as a note to the editor.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 15034 | 36.3.2.2.2 | 703.42 | Could the term "Not defined" be changed? | Change it to "Reserved". | **Rejected**  Those MRUs are indeed “not defined.” Changing then to “reserved” means they are reserved for some RU combinations. But in 11be, those MRU indexes are not meant for any MRUs. |
| 15176 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 720.42 | This table should only concern 320 MHz channel other than 160/320Mhz PPDU | is to help indicate the frequency order of the MRU in a 320 MHz PPDU. | **Rejected**  The comment is not clear.  For each MRU, the frequency or the position of each RU is indicated by the RU index already. |
| 15218 | 36.3.2.2.2 | 700.51 | In Figure 36-6, either delete the text "52+26-tone MRU" inserted above every 52+26 tone MRU block or add an MRU index similar to Figure 36-5. | As in comment | **Revised.**  Agree with the commentor. The text above each 52+26 tone MRU is redundant.  11be Editor Please delete “52+26-tone MRU” text on each 52+26 tone MRU in Figure 36-5, Figure 36-6, and Figure 36-7. |
| 15219 | 36.3.2.2.2 | 701.10 | In Figure 36-7, delete the text "52+26-tone MRU" inserted above every 52+26 tone MRU block. In Figure 36-10, delete the text "106+26-tone MRU" inserted above every 106+26 tone MRU block. They are redundant. | As in comment | **Revised.**  Agree with the commentor. The text above each 106+26 tone MRU is redundant.  11be Editor: Please delete “106+26-tone MRU” text on each 106+26 tone MRU in Figure 36-8, Figure 36-9, and Figure 36-10. |
| 15257 | 36.3.2.2.3.2 | 714.12 | "A OFDMA" should be "an OFDMA" | Change "a OFDMA" into "an OFDMA" | **Accepted** |
| 15275 | 36.3.2.2.3.2 | 715.24 | "a MRU" should be "an MRU" | Change "a MRU" into "an MRU" | **Accepted** |
| 15276 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 717.30 | "a MRU" should be "an MRU" | Change "a MRU" into "an MRU" | **Accepted** |
| 15277 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 720.38 | "a MRU" should be "an MRU" | Change "a MRU" into "an MRU" | **Accepted** |
| 15283 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 715.24 | Note 1 says that the gap-242-tone RU is describes the gap between RUs that form the MRU. What about the case [(gap-242-tone RU) - 242-tone RU - 484-tone RU]? This gap is not between two RUs but on the left side. Thus the note is unclear to me. | As in the comment | **Revised**  Change the text in the Note 1 to make it accurate.  11be Editor: please make the changes according to “Text modification of CID 15283:” in doc IEEE 802.11-23/1026r0. |
| 17192 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 717.30 | "Gap-242/484-tone RU" should have lowercase "g" for consistency with rest of the table. | Change "Gap-242/484-tone RU" to "gap-242/484-tone RU" | **Accepted.** |
| 17193 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 720.38 | "Gap-242/484/996-tone RU" should have lowercase "g" for consistency with rest of the table. | Change "Gap-242/484/996-tone RU" to "gap-242/484/996-tone RU" | **Accepted.** |
| 17238 | 36.3.2.2.1 | 699.43 | "The EHT PHY supports the usage of MRU in an EHT PPDU". Change "usage" to "use". "usage" has slightly different meaning. | See comment | **Accepted.** |
| 17921 | 36.3.2.2.2 | 627.18 | "The location" should be revised to "The locations". | As in the comment. | **Revised.**  Wrong page number. Should be in page 702 in D3.0.  11be Editor: Please change the sentence in page/line 722.18 as follows:  “The locations of the small size MRUs are fixed as defined in Table 36-8…” |
| 17922 | 36.3.2.2.3.3 | 714.56 | "The location" should be revised to "The locations". | As in the comment. | **Accepted.** |

**Text modification of CID 15283: please changed the text in the following page/line (changed text is in red).**

**In D3.2 (Page/Line) P735/L24**

NOTE 1—“gap-242-tone RU” is not part of a MRU and is used to indicate the size of a gap between or beside RUs that form the MRU.

**In D3.2 (Page/Line) P737/L29**

NOTE 1—“gap-242/484-tone RU” is not part of a MRU and is used to indicate the size of a gap between or beside RUs that form the MRU.

**In D3.2 (Page/Line) P740/L38**

NOTE 1—“gap-242/484/996-tone RU” is not part of a MRU and is used to indicate the size of a gap between or beside RUs that form the MRU.