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Abstract

This document resolves comments in LB272 with CIDs 1068, 1966, 1969, 1970

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1068 | Claudio da Silva | 11.55.1.1 | 167.56 | To allow for interoperability, the values defined in Table 11-29a \*shall\* (as opposed to \*may\*) be used. | Replace sentence with "In a WLAN sensing procedure, the timeout values defined in Table 11-29a shall be used." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1966 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 167.56 | If the timeouts are recommended values (which seems more appropriate than completely optional) then use the verb "should" | Change to "A sensing STA should used the timeouts defined in Table 11-29a." (In another comment I suggested we adopt the term "sensing STA" for the generic sensing participant. If we don't do this substitute the appropriate generic term) |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion**: Since the first two rows of 11-29a are removed, other timeout values are not constants, but are carried in the Sensing Measurement Setup Request frame. Hence, “shall” should be used, which is based on the resolution to CID 1068.

**Modification**: Tgbf editor, this reloution is take care of when you apply suggested resolution for CID 1068.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1969 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 168.16 | The normative requirement for each of these timeout values has already been established with the statement at 167.56 so the descriptions in the table should be exactly that; just a description of what the timeout value represents. | Change to "For an unassociated non-AP STA, the minimum time between the reception of a Sensing Measurement Setup Request frame with Comeback subfield equal to 1and the transmission of the corresponding Sensing Measurement Setup Query frame." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1970 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 168.25 | The normative requirement for each of these timeout values has already been established with the statement at 167.56 so the descriptions in the table should be exactly that; just a description of what the timeout value represents. | Change to "For an unassocaited non-AP STA, the maximum time between the receiption of a Sensing Measurement Request frame with the Sensing Comback Info field equal to 1 and the sending of the correspondiong Sensing Measurement Setup Query frame." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

**References:**

1. Draft P802.11bf\_D1.0

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank the *OST* TTT members for their feedback in resolving these CIDs.

**SP:**

Do you support the resolution to CIDs 1068, 1966, 1969, 1970 proposed in 11-23/0719r0 and incorporate the changes into TGbf draft D1.0

Y/N/A