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Abstract

This submission proposes resolution for CID 10921 related to TGbe D2.0

Revisions:

* Rev 0: CR for 10921
* Rev 1: simply the wording by removing the redundant parts

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the subsequent TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Subclause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10921 | Wookbong Lee | 35.1 | 399.10 | Are there any new features that require dot11EHTBaseLineFeaturesImplementedOnly equals false? If not, then please delete all related text with the variable. If yes, then add a capability bit to map the variable, e.g. EHT\_Base\_Line\_Feature\_Only in EHT capability. | As in the comment. | Revised.  Agree with the comment in princilple.  Tgbe editor please implement changes as shown in doc 11-22/2018r1 tagged as #10921. |

1. **Discussion**

The CID requests changes in order to update the text to reflect the deletion of the MIB variable, but a recently agreed change to the definition of NSTR mobile AP MLD only indicates a limit of the NSTR mobile AP MLD to one NSTR link pair. So the proposed text in this document agrees with that updated definition.

1. **Proposed spec text**

**35.3.19 NSTR mobile AP MLD operation**

**35.3.19.1 General**

***TGbe editor: Please modify the following subclause 35.3.19.1 as follows:***

…

An NSTR mobile AP MLD shall have one NSTR link pair (#10921).

—(#14015)The NSTR mobile AP MLD shall operate at least on the primary link of the NSTR link pair as defined in this subclause (#10921).