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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions to several comments submitted in CC40 under Instance topic. The CIDs are referring to D0.1. The text used as reference is D0.4.

CIDs: 593 594

Revision history:

R0: Original version

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** |
| 593 | 9.6.7.51 | 59.4 | When should Action be used and when should Action No Ack be used? | Add the detailed description in clause 11.21.18.6.4 and 11.21.18.7, and add a reference here. |

**Proposed resolution**: Revised.

**Discussion**: The Sensing Measurement Report frame is only sent during TB and non-TB sensing measurement instances, so similar to SBP Report frame which is defined to be an Action No Ack frame, the Sensing Measurement Report frame should also be an Action No Ack frame.

***TGbf editor, make the following change in D0.4:***

**9.6.7.51 Sensing Measurement Report frame format**

The Sensing Measurement Report frame is an an Action No Ack of category Public transmitted to
provide sensing measurement result(s)(#707).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** |
| 594 | 9.6.7.51 | 59.23 | Dialog Token is not needed in report frame. And there is no such a sensing measurement request for report frame. | Remove the Dialog Token field. |

**Proposed resolution**: Accepted.

**Discussion**: Agree with the commenter that there is no need to include a Dialog Token field in the Sensing Measurement Report frame. Moreover, we have agreed in DCN1579r3 (ready for motion) that there is a Measurement Setup ID subfield and Measurement Instance ID subfield within the Sensing Measurement Report frame to uniquely identify which measurement report the content belongs to.

## SP

Do you support the proposed resolutions to the following CIDs and incorporate the text changes into the latest TGbf draft: 593 594?

Y/N/A