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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following CIDs:

10124

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1/2: Make the text of the AID field clearer
* Rev 3: reject the CID

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe D2.0 Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe D2.0 Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 10124 | Xiangxin Gu | 35.3.7.1.3 | 428.25 | From AP MLD point of view, ReNegothiation of TID-to-link mapping with a non-AP MLD may cause overload of Multi-Link Traffic Inidcation element. It's better to assign a new AID to the non-AP MLD (if needed) along with the ReNegotiation of TID-to-link mapping. | Define a mechanism to a assign a new AID to the non-AP MLD along with the ReNegotiation of TID-to-link. | **Rejected:**  After online and offline discussion, the group can’t reach consensus to go this direction. |
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