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**Discussion:**

1. **Introduction**

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. The introduction and the explanation of the proposed changes are not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

1. **Proposed spec text**

The baseline for this text is TGbe D2.0

***TGbe editor: Modify the paragraphs in 35.3.16.7 (Error recovery on a NSTR link pair within PIFS) as follows:***

If the MLD ensures that the difference between the end times of the two PPDUs carrying the expected response frames is less than or equal to 4 μs, the MLD may use either SIFS or PIFS between the end time of the PPDU carrying the response frame and the next PPDU sent in the same TXOP on the link where the response frame is received correctly, regardless of the PPDU receive status of the other link of the NSTR link pair.

NOTE 1—The value of 4 μs is derived from aRxTxTurnaroundTime used in 35.3.16.5 (PPDU end time alignment).

NOTE 2—It is stricter to maintain the difference between the end times of the two PPDUs carrying the expected response frame be less than or equal to 4 μs, when compared with the requirement of PPDU end time alignment in 35.3.16.5 (PPDU end time alignment).

NOTE 3—If SIFS is used between the end time of the PPDU carrying the response frame and the next PPDU sent in the same TXOP on the link where the response frame is received correctly, the PIFS recovery on the other link of the NSTR link pair might fails due to the cross link interference.（#10421）

If the MLD ensures that the difference between the end times of the two PPDUs carrying the expected response frames is less than or equal to 8 μs (see 35.3.16.5 (PPDU end time alignment)), after two PPDUs with end time alignment (and the PPDUs carrying the expected response frames also have end time alignment) are transmitted by STAs affiliated with the MLD on two links that belongs to a NSTR link pair of the MLD, if PHY-RXSTART.indications are received on both links, but the response frames contained in the corresponding PPDUs are not successfully received in at least one of the links of the NSTR link pair, then:

—On the link on which the response frame ends last, if the response frame is successfully received, the time from the end of the PPDU carrying the response frame to the next PPDU sent in the same TXOP should be larger than or equal to SIFS and smaller than or equal to PIFS;

—On the link on which the response frame ends last, if the response frame is not successfully received (i.e., FCS fails), the time from the end of the PPDU carrying the response frame to the next PPDU sent in the same TXOP should be larger than or equal to PIFS - 4 μs and smaller than or equal to PIFS;

—On the link on which the response frame ends first, the time from the end of the PPDU carrying the response frame to the next PPDU sent in the same TXOP should be PIFS.

***End of change***