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Abstract

This document contains the meeting minutes for the TGbe MAC ad hoc teleconferences held in Sept 2020.

Revisions:

* Rev0:
  + Adding the minutes from the telephone conference held on May 09, 2022.

**Monday, 09 May 2022, 19:00 –21:00 EDT (TGbe MAC ad hoc conference call)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a webex session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 19:02pm EDT. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen Chu (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the registration information, the meeting protocol, the attendance reminder, the copyright policy, the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim@lge.com](mailto:jeongki.kim@lge.com))

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |

1. The Chair reminds that the agenda can be found in 11-22/595r3. The Chair asks for the comments about the agenda. There are no further comments. The agenda is approved.

* Technical Submissions: **Proposed Draft Text (PDTs) [Discussions and SPs]**
  1. [**200r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0200-01-00be-cc36-cr-for-qos-characteristics-element.docx) **CC36 CR for QoS Characteristics element Duncan Ho [1C 10’ C.]**

Discussion:

C: medium time allocation should be clarified when multiple links are used.

A: some text in annex may be added.

C: 8 bits may not be enough for 1s duration.

A: will check

C: why medium time field is not used for DL/UL link?

A: for DL, the AP MLD can figure out by itself. For UL case, the QOS parameters can be used by to figure out the medium time.

C: medium time may not be accurate, e.g. when medium time is announced per wide BW but the real frame exchanges use 20MHz BW.

SP deferred

* 1. [**186r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0186-01-00be-cc36-cr-txop-return-in-mu-rts-txs.docx) **cr-txop-return-in-mu-rts-txs Yunbo Li [6C 10’]**

Discussion:

C: what happens if the transmission of the frame with the sginalling fails?

A: follow the procedure of RDG.

C: Please add the capability indication at the recipient side.

A: ok.

SP deferred

* 1. [**1280r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1280-00-00be-cc36-cr-on-multi-link-element.doc) **CR on Multi-link element Guogang Huang [5C 10’]**

Discussion:

C: please add the reference where the discussion is done in the reject reason. I will put the reference in the chat window.

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-21/1280r1 for the following CIDs?

5289, 5332, 5335, 5338, 5341

No Objection

* 1. [**1111r11**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1111-11-00be-mld-architecture-part-2.docx) **MLD Architecture Part 2 Mark Hamilton [5C 25’]**

Presentation was deferred

* 1. [**564r1**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0564-00-00be-cc36-cr-for-35-2-1-1.docx) **CC36 CR for 35.2.1.1 Kaiying Lu [4C 15’]**

Discussion:

C: P4 only mentions STA with 320MHz support, For other EHT STA, they will also transmit according to the table.

A: for other EHT STAs, the baseline rules will be followed.

C: please add the note to clarify.

C: the STA without 320MHz support will receive control frame with 320MHz indication also.

A: the text is based on current subclaue 9.

C: please defer the CIDs for further review.

A: ok.

C: please add the technical reason for rjecting 6224.

C: add the reject reason that the commenter withdraw the comment.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/564r1 for the following CID?

~~4180, 5698, 6224,~~ 6225

No Objection

* 1. [**631r2**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0631-00-00be-cc36-comment-resolution-for-miscellaneous-comments-part-3.docx) **CR for miscellaneous comments part 3 Liwen Chu [4C 15’]**

Discussion:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/631r2 for the following CIDs?

~~4286,~~ 6075, 6076, 7001, ~~5159~~

No Objection

* 1. [**599r3**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0599-03-00be-cr-for-miscellaneous-cids-part-ii.docx) **CR for Miscellaneous CIDs part II Po-Kai Huang [4C 15’]**

Discussion:

C: has some concern of ”shall” statement.

A: will defer 6642

C: ”shall” statement is the guideline for setting this reaon code.

C: want to more time to go through subclause 12.

SP deferred

* 1. [**196r2**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0196-02-00be-cc36-cr-ml-traffic-indication.docx) **CR ML Traffic Indication Minyoung Park [2C 10’]**

Discussion:

C: TIM is common to all links. Multi-Link Traffic element shouldn’t be in nontransmitted BSSID profile. I can withdraw the comment.

The chair asks whether there are any other business. No response is received. The meeting is recessed at 21:00pm