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Abstract

This document contains the meeting minutes for the TGbe MAC ad hoc teleconferences in March 2022 Plenary.

Revisions:

* Rev0: Added the minute from the telephone conference held on March 07.

**Monday 7 March 2022, 19:00 – 21:00pm ET (TGbe MAC ad hoc conference call)**

Chairman: Liwen Chu (NXP)

Secretary: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

This meeting took place using a webex session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Liwen, NXP) calls the meeting to order at 19:02 ET. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary.
2. The Chair reminded the members that they need to register for the plenary in order to attend the call
3. The Chair goes through the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
   1. Nobody responds.
4. The Chair goes through the IEEE copyright policy.
5. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
   * Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
     + 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
   * If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu ([liwen.chu@nxp.com](mailto:liwen.chu@nxp.com)) and Jeongki Kim ([jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com](mailto:jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com))
6. The Chair asked whether there is comment about agenda in 11-22/0271r2. No discussion. The agenda was approved.

**Submissions**

1. 1681r14 SP

Discussion:

C: There are still TBDs. We should not have TBDs.

C: use CFSTAofsoftAP instead of CFEHTSTAofsoftAP

C: 9.8, what M for MLD AP? You can add it in 9.8.1 instead of 9.8.

C: FR5 9.6 is missing M.

C: 9.7.3 WNM is optional

**SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-21/1681r15 for the following CIDs?**

* **4281, 5533, 6672, 6748, 7287**

No objection

1. [0239r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0239-01-00be-cc36-cr-for-remaining-cids-on-aar.docx) CC36 CR for Remaining CIDs on AAR Ming Gan [10C]

Discussion: None

**SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-21/0239r1 for the following CIDs?**

* **4136 8153 4140 4141 4805 4142 7341 7554 7680 8065**

No objection

1. [1877r3](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1877-01-00be-cr-for-mld-individually-addressed-management-frame-delivery.docx) CR for MLD individually addressed MGMT frame delivery Po-Kai Huang [14C]

Discussion:

C: Name of Figure is wrong. Multi-link Link Information.

C: Link info can be included in TWT element.

C: Option 1, all link level managements should include link information? Perlink management or MLD level management? Not cross link shall include?

C: Option 2, last note, is that common for everything?

SP1: which way do you support to indicate the link where a link level management frame is applied

Option 1: link ID in frame payload

Option2: Address 3 in frame header

Abstain

58 option 1, 21 option 2, 21 abstain

1. 442r1

Discussion:

C: There is a huge harm of this for AAD or protection.

C: Last paragraph, bullet, what does it mean? Is this frame body? Is it element?

A: Link ID is ESPC or TWT setup frame? Option 1 provide only 1 ID.

C: Only TWT setup frame.

C: we haven’t discussed for group addressed frame. Suggesting taking out all group frames stuffs.

A: The first is general. But I can remove it. Are you ok with A3?

C: I’m fine with IG bit. But third bullet needs to be discussed.

A: Got it.

1. [0075r2](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0075-01-00be-cr-for-cids-on-sta-id.docx) CR for CIDs on STA ID Yongho Seok [10C]

C: No Beam change and no STBC in EHT MU PPDU

C: We have other rules for inactive-subchannels in subclause 35.

A: Let me check them

C: 5344, the comment is not for EMLSR?

A: I added the new text regarding that. This is natural way.

C: Why adding single radio there?

The chair asked whether there are any other businesses before recessing the meeting. No response was received.

The teleconference was recessed at 21:00 ET