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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments related to TGbe D1.0 with the following CIDs (2 CIDs):

* 6120, 6121

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the subsequent TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 6120 | Mark RISON | 0.00 | Something that is not subject to a letter ballot and is known to be incomplete should not be called D1.0 | Renumber to D0.5 | Rejected –  The comment fails to identify a technical issue. Draft numbering is independent of going to letter ballot or not. Current draft numbering is aligned with approved TGbe timeline. |
| 6121 | Mark RISON | 0.00 | Something that is a comment collection should not be called D1.0, since in a comment collection there is no requirement for all comments to be resolved, which strongly disincentivises thorough review | Rerun as a letter ballot | Rejected –  The comment fails to identify a technical issue. The group had discussed this item at length and decided to have a WG comment collection for D1.0. |

**Discussion:**

**Propose:**