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**Discussion:**

This topic was discussed in doc 11-21/0552, several potential solutions of the signaling were proposed. Technically, each solution could work, but the group can not reach consensus due to different preference and concerns of each individual member.

* Command and Status (CAS) A-control
* A new A-control type
* More Data subfield in Frame Control field

Among all potential solutions, CAS control looks like a relative better place to carry the signalling. It just resue the current signalling in RDG procedure, which is a minor change for the spec. So the proposed resolution is based on CAS control.

During the offline discussion, some members mentioned that whether a STA sending the TXOP return signalling should be under AP’s control. This could be a separate open question that the group can discuss. It can be added on top of the TXOP return procedure later after the group reach consensus on this point. So it is not included in the resolution here.

1. **Introduction**

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. The introduction and the explanation of the proposed changes are not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

1. **Proposed spec text**

The baseline for this text is TGbe D1.5 and REVme\_D1.0

***TGbe editor: Modify the paragraphs in 9.2.4.6.1(General) as follows:***

**9.2.4.6.1 General**

The RDG/More PPDU subfield of the HT Control field is interpreted differently depending on whether it is transmitted by an RD initiator, an RD responder, or the target STA in a MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame as defined in Table 9-15 (RDG/More PPDU subfield values). (#5027)

**Table 9-17—RDG/More PPDU subfield values** (#5027)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Value | **Role of transmitting STA** | **Interpretation of value** |
| 0 |  Neither an RD responder nor a target STA in a MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame | No reserve grant |
| RD responder | The PPDU carrying the frame is the last transmission by the RD responder |
| Target STA in a MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame  | The PPDU carrying the frame is the last transmission by the target STA in a MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame |
| 1 | RD initiator | An RDG is present |
| RD responder or the target STA in a MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame | The PPDU carrying the frame is followed by another PPDU |

***TGbe editor: Change following paragraph in 35.2.1.3.2 (AP behavior) as follows:***

**35.2.1.2.2 AP behavior**

If the EHT AP receives a CTS frame in response to its transmitted MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame to a non-AP EHT STA(#8315) with the TXOP Sharing Mode subfield equal to 2, then the AP shall not transmit any PPDU(#7328) within the allocated time specified in the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame unless:

* The PPDU carries an immediate response that is solicited by the non-AP STA(#4190)(#5152).The AP received a frame from the non-AP STA, if the RDG/More PPDU subfield in the CAS Control subfield of the HE variant HT Control field is set to 0. (#5027)

***TGbe editor: add following paragraphs at the end of 35.2.1.3.3 (Non-AP STA behavior) as follows:***

**35.2.1.2.3 Non-AP STA behavior**

During the time allocated by an associated AP, the non-AP EHT STA may transmit non-TB PPDUs to the AP or another STA if the TXOP Sharing Mode subfield value is 2(#6530)(#7331). The non-AP EHT STA may transmit a QoS Data or QoS Null frame to an associated AP to terminate the allocated time, if the RDG/More PPDU subfield in CAS Control subfield of the HE variant HT Control field is equal to 0. (#5027)

***End of change***