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Abstract

This document contains discussion and a proposed resolution for CID 116 from TGme CC35 on IEEE P802.11-REVme/D0.0. Proposed changes are relative to REV me/D0.3.

**Revision Notes**

R0 – initial version

R1 – incorporate feedback from Dan
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause/Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| **166** | 12.4.8.6.5p2554.00 | SAE: "<verify X>. If not, <do Y>. If so, <do Z>" construction can be ambiguous since it is not always clear what "if so" is referring to (something in "verify X" vs. "do Y"). | Replace"If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as the previously received SAE Commit message. If not, the frame shall be silently discarded. If so, the protocol instance shall increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value."with"If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as the previously received SAE Commit message. If not, the frame shall be silently discarded. Otherwise, the protocol instance shall increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value." | Resolution: ReviseAgree in principle with the commentor. A further simplification and clarification is suggested.TGm editor: Please make changes as described in [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1648-00-000m- cc35-nb-crs-116.docx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1648-00-000m-%20cc35-nb-crs-116.docx) |

**CID 166 - Discussion**

There was a fair amount of discussion on the reflector and prior conference calls on the way forward with this comment, which I will not repeat here. The proposed change to resolve this comment is captured here with standard change notation that should help review.

The change also addresses a seeming contradiction in the original text canceling the timer for the case where the frame is to be silently discarded

R1 notes

-

[Summarizing Dan’s email and my reply] There was some concern that there would be two t0 timers pending in the success case or a t0 timer pending on a Del event to the parent process. My interpretation is that there is only one timer for the protocol instance and setting the timer does not create another one and that Del event will destroy the protocol instance and thus the timer associated with it (including cancelation).

There is also an implicit assumption that processing/handling of events is serialized.

Will take another stab at this.

**Proposed Changes**

TGaz Editor Change the paragraph at p3066.9 (11me D0.3) as follows

Upon receipt of a Com event, ~~the t0 (retransmission) timer shall be canceled. If~~ if the Status is nonzero, the frame shall be silently discarded, ~~the t0 (retransmission) timer set,~~ and the protocol instance shall remain in the Confirmed state. Otherwise, I~~f~~  if Sync is greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall cancel the t0 (retransmission) timer and send the parent process a Del event and transitions back to Nothing state. If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as the previously received SAE Commit message. If ~~not~~ the verification fails, the frame shall be silently discarded. ~~If so~~ Otherwise, the protocol instance shall cancel the t0 (retransmission) timer, increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value, and . ~~It~~ then ~~shall~~ set the t0 (retransmission) timer.