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Abstract

Editor instruction based on D3.1

CIDs resolved: 5101, 5438, 5439, 5110, 5269, 5181, 5446, 5187

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5101 | 40.00 | 8.3.5.20.1 | "This primitive is a request by the MAC sublayer to the local PHY entity to provide the Secure TRN 17 bit sequences for the receipt of the EDMG secure ranging PPDU." - Language is opaque. To what entity does the PHY entity proivde the bit sequene? | replace offending text with "This primitve is a request by the MAC sublayer to the local PHY entity to generate the secure TRN bit seqeunces for the receipt of the EDMG secure ranging PPDU based on the information provided in the TRNVECTOR." | **Accept** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5438 | 57.00 | 9.4.2.21.10 | Figure 9-256d, the format of B20 and B29 indication is wrong. Please clarify whether this is the only change of this figure from 11az\_D2.0 | As in comment. | Revise |

***TGaz Editor: Modify Table 9-256d as follows (making sure B20 and B29 are on the same line)***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | B0 B9 | B10 B19 | B20 B29 | B30 B39 | B40 B47 | |  | |
|  | X Coordinate (for antenna 1) | Y Coordinate (for antenna 1) | Z Coordinate (for antenna 1) | Common Phase Adjustment  (for antenna 1) | Delay  (for antenna 1) |  | … |
| Bits: | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | |  | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |
|  | B( NTx\_sel-1)x48 | |  |  | B NTx\_sel x48-1 | | | |
|  | X Coordinate (for antenna NTx\_sel) | Y Coordinate (for antenna NTx\_sel) | Z Coordinate (for antenna NTx\_sel) | Common Phase Adjustment (for antenna NTx\_sel) | Delay (for antenna NTx\_sel) | |  | |
| Bits: | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5439 | 59.00 | 9.4.2.26 | "Otherwise the STA sets the ISTA2RSTA LMR Feedback Policy field to 0. " An inconsistent name is used for this field of the Extended Capabilities element. | Modify the text to "Otherwise the STA sets the I2R LMR Feedback Policy field to 0. " | **Accept** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5110 | 61.00 | 22 | 9.4.2.127.10 | "A DMG STA ..." - this is specific to ISTA | replace with "A DMG ISTA" | **Accept** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5269 | 63.00 |  | 9.4.2.167 | Loss of sync with baseline. P802.11REVmd D5.0 describes the Status Indication field in the following paragraphs: "(#2115)The Status Indication subfield indicates the responding STA's response to the initial Fine Timing Measurement Request frame(#4370). The encoding of the Status Indication subfield is shown in Table 9- 278 (Status Indication subfield(#2115) values). The Status Indication (#2115)subfield and Value (#2115)subfield are reserved in the initial Fine Timing Measurement Request frame. When the Status Indication (#2115)subfield is set to 3 by the responding STA, the Value (#2115)subfield contains a duration in units of seconds; otherwise the Value (#2115)subfield is reserved." | The base line text designates the Status Indication and Value as subfields and does not use the acronym for initial Fine Timing Measurement Frame.  In addition in the description of Ranging Parameters element (9.4.2.298 in p802.11az D3.0) the Status Indication and Value are referred to as fields (not subfields). Why the inconsistency. If initial Fine Timing Measurement Request is replaced by IFTMR then why is this not reflected as changes to the baseline for Fine Timing Measurement Parameters element? |  |

***TGaz Editor: replace the paragram in P63L5-7 as follows***

The Status Indication subfield and Value subfield are reserved in the ~~initial Fine Timing Measurement~~

~~Request~~ IFTMR frame. When the Status Indication subfield is set to 3 by the responding STA, the Value subfield contains a duration in units of seconds; otherwise the Value subfield is reserved.

*TGaz Editor: Modify the text in P74L5-9 as follows:*

The Status Indication subfield indicates the RSTA’s response to the Fine Timing Request. The encoding of the Status Indication field is shown in Table 9-278 (Status Indication field values).

The Status Indication subfield and Value subfield are reserved in the IFTMR frame. When the Status Indication subfield is set to 3 by the RSTA, the Value subfield contains a duration in units of seconds; otherwise the Value subfield is reserved.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5181 | 81.00 | 1 | 9.4.2.299 | "The Secure LTF Counter (#2289) field (#1129) is present in the ..." the verb present is usually used for optional elements, here it is a question of the value being meaningful or reserved | Change to "The Secure LTF Counter (#2289) field (#1129) is used in the initial protected Fine Timing Measurement frame and the R2I (#1664) protected Location Measurement Report frame; it is reserved otherwise." Change also two similar statements in the next two paragraphs | Revise: |

***TGaz Editor: Change the text in P82L11-13 as follows:***

The Secure LTF Counter **(#2289**) field (#**1129**) is used in the initial protected Fine Timing Measurement frame, the R2I (#**1664**) protected Location Measurement Report frame and is reserved otherwise. (#**3901**)

***TGaz Editor: Change the text in P82L18-19 as follows:***

measurement exchange). This field is used in the initial protected Fine Timing Measurement frame, the R2I protected Location Measurement Report frame and is reserved otherwise. (#**3627,**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5446 | 92.00 | 8 | 9.6.6.6 | "The element indicates a request for  Neighbor Report elements containing information about neighboring DMG (#3533, #3535) APs supporting location services. " Why is the report only for DMG AP but not also for EDMG AP? | Please clarify and modify the relevant text accordingly. | **Reject:**  **DMG covers both DMG and EDMG** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5187 | 106.00 | 24 | 10.23.2.8 | "- a Ranging NDP Announcement frame followed after SIFS by an HE NDP followed after SIFS by an HE NDP followed after SIFS by an LMR frame. (#1953, #4008)" - it should be HE Ranging NDP | Change to "- a Ranging NDP Announcement frame followed after SIFS by an HE Ranging NDP followed after SIFS by an HE Ranging NDP followed after SIFS by an LMR frame. (#1953, #4008)" | **Accept** |
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