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Abstract

This document includes minutes of all IEEE 802.11bd March Plenary teleconferences meetings from March 9th to March 12th.

Version Tracking:

R0: March 9th – 12th teleconference sessions

# Tuesday 9 March 2021 @ 9:00-11:00 am ET

## Opening (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r3)

* 1. Call to order 9:02 am ET
  2. Chair instructed members to record attendance in IMAT.
  3. Chair introduced the patent policy and meeting rules (slides 2-6).
  4. No response to the call for patents.
  5. Chair introduced IEEE-SA COPYRIGHT POLICY (slides 7-9)
  6. Chair reviewed other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings (slides 10-13)
  7. Chair reviewed current Teleconference plan, TGbd Documents Update, and current TGbd Timeline (slides 14-19)
  8. Chair introduced the task group leadership (slide 24)

## Agenda (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r3)

* 1. Chair presented the agenda: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0207-03-00bd-tgbd-teleconference-agenda-for-mar-2021.pptx (slide 26):
     + Call meeting to order and remind the group to record attendance on imat.ieee.org
     + IEEE-SA IPR policies and meeting rules
     + Approval of agenda (slide 26)
     + Approval of TG minutes
     + Tech Editor report
       - 11-2019/2045r9, TGbd Editor’s Report, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
     + Presentations and discussion (Call for submission)
       - SP for 11-21/0097r0, D1.0 title comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
       - SP for 11-21/0107r1, general comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
       - SP for 11-21/0108r0, Clause 31.1 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
       - SP for 11-21/0109r1, Clause 32.1 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
       - SP for 11-21/0110r0, Annex C3 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)
       - Complete submission list as many as possible
     + Next teleconference on March 10th
     + Recess
  2. Agenda was approved without objection

## Approval of TG minutes

* 1. Move to approve the following minutes for TGbd teleconferences during IEEE 802.11 Jan interim week and following teleconferences.
     + <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0068-00-00bd-ieee-802-11bd-january-2021-interim-meeting-minutes.docx>
     + <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0117-00-00bd-ieee-802-11bd-january-2021-tc-meeting-minutes.docx>
     + <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0185-00-00bd-ieee-802-11bd-january-2021-tc-meeting-minutes.docx>
     + <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0327-00-00bd-ieee-802-11bd-february-2021-meeting-minutes.docx>

Moved: Yan Zhang Seconded: Bahar Sadeghi

## 11-19/2045r9, TGbd Editor’s Report, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. So far 347 editorial comments, 465 technical comments and 34 general comments are resolved. There are 30 editorial comments, 265 technical comments and 22 general comments remaining.

## SP for 11-21/0097r0, D1.0 title comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 6 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0097r0?
     + - CID 1346, 1691, 1541, 1251, 1782 and 1515
     + 17Y/0N/7A

## SP for 11-21/0107r1, general comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 14 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0107r1?
     + CID 1136, 1137, 1237, 1236, 1602, 1601, 1283, 1008, 1358, 1165, 1362, 1661, 1070, and 1455
     + 13Y/0N/8A

## SP for 11-21/0108r0, Clause 31.1 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 2 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0108r1?
     + - CID 1019 and 1845
     + 10Y/0N/10A

## SP for 11-21/0109r1, Clause 32.1 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 22 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0109r1?
     + CID 1363, 1157, 1076, 1764, 1471, 1524, 1077, 1078, 1026, 1085, 1028, 1523, 1783, 1249, 1474, 1473, 1525,1526, 1793, 1792, 1802 and 1805
     + 14Y/0N/8A

## SP for 11-21/0110r0, Annex C3 comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 7 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0110r1?
     + CID 1453, 1452, 1450 1449, 1134, 1459 and 1451
     + 14Y/0N/7A

## 11-21/0083r2, LB251 Comment Resolution for 11bd D1.0 Clause 4 General descriptions, Stephan Sand (DLR)

* 1. CID 1269: A comment was made that the context for E.2.3 is accurate for now, but it may need to be changed due to FCC rules related to 5.9GHz band change in US. Another comment was made that we cannot make any change even though FCC rules change is imminent since the change has not been taken into effect. We will react it when actual changes happen. A commenter suggested that we need an editor’s note that we may need to come back and revise E.2.3 when FCC rules take into effect. A comment was asked if we need to modify the sentences related to NGV Ranging if more features of NGV Ranging are introduced to 11bd which are beyond 5.9GHz band, or FTM procedures outside 5.9 GHz band. The presenter answered that we will modify this part once other NGV Ranging features are introduced. A question was asked if the text can be generalized so that we don’t have to come back to modify text related to 11.21.6. The editor answered that it is a practice in spec writing that we always come back for corrections if some features changed. A comment was made that the writing is strange and it reads like that FTM is only supported outside 5.9GHz band. The Editor replied that she has a contribution related to FTM in the 5.9GHz band, and it will answer the commentor’s question. The presenter commented that 5.9GHz is OCB, NGV Ranging in the 5.9GHz is more complicated than the normal FTM. The Editor answered that extra processes are needed for FTM in the 5.9GHz, and we need to highlight it.
  2. CID 1001: A question was asked if all the texts are sufficient for all the features in PICS. A question was asked if the last sentence implies STA does not have to support 10MHz primary or 10MHz secondary channel when operating inside BSS. A comment was made that 5.9GHz OCB emphasize STA has to 10MHz primary and 10MHz secondary channel to support coexistence support. A follow-up comment was made that supporting both 10MHz channels is a mandatory feature for NGV STA regardless OCB or inside BSS. A comment suggested to add Editor’s note that frame aggregation need to be revisited later on.
  3. CID 1609: A question was asked why we need PASN since NGV MAC does not have encryption and decryption. The editor answered PASN is for outside 5.9GHz band ranging. A followed up question was asked if outside 5.9GHz band is still NGV. The editor answered that she has a contribution related to this, and negotiation happens in 5.9GHz band, but measurement is done outside 5.9GHz band. A question was asked why 5.9GHz NGV Ranging is different than general FTM. The presenter answered that 5.9GHz NGV Ranging need to be differentiated from FTM due to OCB operation, and some small changes are needed for FTM for NGV.

## Closing

* 1. Any other business
     + None
  2. Chair announced the next TGbd teleconference will be on Mar. 10 at 11:15 am ET
  3. Chair adjourned the teleconference at 11:00 am ET

# Wednesday 10 March 2021 @ 11:15am - 13:15pm ET

## Opening (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r4)

* 1. Call to order 11:17 am ET
  2. Chair instructed members to record attendance in IMAT.
  3. Chair introduced the patent policy and meeting rules (slides 2-6).
  4. No response to the call for patents.
  5. Chair introduced IEEE-SA COPYRIGHT POLICY (slides 7-9)
  6. Chair reviewed other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings (slides 10-13)
  7. Chair reviewed current Teleconference plan, TGbd Documents Update, and current TGbd Timeline (slides 14-19)
  8. Chair introduced the task group leadership (slide 33)

## Agenda (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r4)

* 1. Chair presented the agenda: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0207-04-00bd-tgbd-teleconference-agenda-for-mar-2021.pptx (slide 35):
     + Call meeting to order and remind the group to record attendance on imat.ieee.org
     + IEEE-SA IPR policies and meeting rules
     + Approval of agenda (slide 35)
     + Presentations and discussion (Call for submission)
       - Continue submissions in submission list
     + Next teleconference on March 11th
     + Recess
  2. Agenda was approved without objection

## 11-21/0343r1, Resolutions to 32.3.13 NGV receiver procedure, Yujin Noh (Newracom)

* 1. CID 1000 and 1171: No discussions.

## 11-21/0383r0, Comment Resolution for CID 1161 DMG Beamforming**,** Hiroyuki Motozuka (Panasonic)

* 1. CID 1161: A comment was made that specific conditions are needed in the sentence to set OCB Mode subfield to 1. The presenter added “in the SSW frames” in the sentence as the condition to be satisfied to set OCB Mode subfield to 1. A editorial change was suggested to remove Mode in the subfield name.

## 11-21/0393r0, cr-d1-0-CID1093-CID1571, Bo Sun (ZTE)

* 1. CID 1093 and 1571: no discussion.

## 11-21/0429r2, 11bd D1.0 comment resolution subclause 10, Liwen Chu (NXP)

* 1. CID 1406: A editorial change was suggested to add dash between A and MPDU instead of AMPDU.
  2. CID 1492, 1557, 1753: No discussion.
  3. CID 1229: A question was asked if the comment is correct or not. The presenter answered that the comment is not correct. A further comment was made that TXOP limits can be 5484us in clause 31.2.3. The presenter commented that if the group think that 11bd allows STAs to transmit multiple A-MPDUs transmission in OCB operation, then the commentor’s suggested changes will be accepted. Otherwise text related to TXOP limit in clause 31.2.3 needs to be changed. The group can take a look and make decisions later.
  4. CID 1149, 1252, 1281, 1481: no discussion.

## 11-21/0431r1, 11bd D1.0 comment resolution 31.2.5, Liwen Chu (NXP)

* 1. CID 1067, 1068, 1152 and 1153: No discussion.
  2. CID 1234: A question was asked what is the meaning of “in the channel width … ” since we already know it is 20MHz channel width, and whether the value of CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NOV\_NGV can be either 10 MHz or 20 MHz channel. The presenter confirmed that the value of CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NOV\_NGV can be either CBW10 or CBW20. A further comment was made that we need to reword the sentence to make it clear that the value of CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NOV\_NGV shall be CBW20 to satisfy the condition since there will be no secondary 10MHz channel when CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NOV\_NGV value is CBW10. The commentor agreed to modify the text to reflect the discussions.
  3. CID 1235, 1441, 1343, 1438, 1567, 1568, and 1791: no discussion.

## Closing

* 1. Any other business
     + None
  2. Chair announced the next TGbd teleconference will be on Mar. 11 at 7pm ET
  3. Chair adjourned the teleconference at 1:10 pm ET

# Thursday 11 March 2021 @ 7:00-9:00 pm ET

## Opening (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r4)

* 1. Call to order 7:00 pm ET
  2. Chair instructed members to record attendance in IMAT.
  3. Chair introduced the patent policy and meeting rules (slides 2-6).
  4. No response to the call for patents.
  5. Chair introduced IEEE-SA COPYRIGHT POLICY (slides 7-9)
  6. Chair reviewed other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings (slides 10-13)
  7. Chair reviewed current Teleconference plan, TGbd Documents Update, and current TGbd Timeline (slides 14-19)
  8. Chair introduced the task group leadership (slide 36)

## Agenda (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r4)

* 1. Chair presented the agenda: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0207-04-00bd-tgbd-teleconference-agenda-for-mar-2021. (slide 38):
     + Call meeting to order and remind the group to record attendance on imat.ieee.org
     + IEEE-SA IPR policies and meeting rules
     + Approval of agenda (slide 38)
     + Presentations and discussion (Call for submission)
       - SP for 11-21/0343r1, Resolutions to 32.3.13 NGV receive procedure, Yujin Noh (Newracom)
       - SP for 11-21/0383r2, Comment Resolution for CID 1161 DMG Beamforming, Hiroyuki Motozuka (Panasonic)
       - SP for 11-21/0393r0, cd d1.0 CID 1093 and CID 1571, Bo Sun (ZTE)
       - SP for 11-21/0429r2, 11bd-d1-0-comment-resolution-subclause-10, Liwen Chu (NXP)
       - SP for 11-21/0431r1, 11bd-d1-0-comment-resolution-31-2-5, Liwen Chu (NXP)
       - Complete submissions in submission list
     + Next teleconference on March 12th.
     + Recess
  2. Agenda was approved without objection

## SP for 11-21/0343r1, Resolutions to 32.3.13 NGV receive procedure, Yujin Noh (Newracom)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 2 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0343r1?
     + CID 1000 and 1171
     + 15Y/0N/10A

## SP for 11-21/0383r2, Comment Resolution for CID 1161 DMG Beamforming, Hiroyuki Motozuka (Panasonic)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to CID 1161 and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0383r1?
     + 16Y/0N/7A

## SP for 11-21/0393r0, cd d1.0 CID 1093 and CID 1571, Bo Sun (ZTE)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 2 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0393r0?
     + CID 1093 and 1571
     + 16Y/0N/15A

## SP for 11-21/0429r2, 11bd-d1-0-comment-resolution-subclause-10, Liwen Chu (NXP)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 10 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0429r2?
     + CID 1406, 1492, 1557, 1753, 1149, 1252, 1517, 1844, 1281, and 1481
     + 18Y/0N/9A

## SP for 11-21/0431r1, 11bd-d1-0-comment-resolution-31-2-5, Liwen Chu (NXP)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 11 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0431r1?
     + CID 1067, 1068, 1152, 1153, 1235, 1343, 1438, 1441, 1567, 1568, and 1791
     + 17Y/0N/12A

## 11-21/0398r1, TGbd LB251 Resolutions for Few PHY Comments, Qinghua Li (Intel)

* 1. CID 1648: A comment was made that the presenter need to give more details on the resolution as CR best practice. Same comment applies to the rest of the CRs as well.
  2. CID 1649, 1650, 1651, 1652, 1731, 1768, 1787, 1803: No discussion.
  3. CID 1804: A comment was made that DCM is indicated by MCS15 instead of MCS10. The presenter agreed and changed the resolutions accordingly.

## 11-21/0171r0, Resolutions Clause 31.2.3 comments for LB-251, Joseph Levy (InterDigital)

* 1. CID 1565, 1495, 1496, 1497, 1426, 1133: No discussion.
  2. CID 1752: A comment was made “The maximum number of octets that may be in a NGV MPDU” is not accurate. Another comment was made that the TXOP duration will be doubled since symbol duration is 8µs other than 4µs.
  3. CID 1429: A comment was made that “there is no intended receiver in NGV” gives the impression that NGV only works for broadcast. The presenter answered that in clause 10.12.13, there is no intended NGV receiver. Since all receiver set minimum spacing to the fixed value 2µs, there is no need to negotiate with an individual NGV STA.
  4. CID 1231: A comment suggested to add word “the” after “between” in the resolution, and the presenter modified resolution accordingly.
  5. CID 1282, 1430: A comment was suggested for some grammar changes in the accepted comments. A comment was made to change “when BA buffer is full” to “when BA buffer holds 32 MPDUs”, and change “clear the buffer” to “clear those 32 MPDUs”. A comment was made that the reason set to 32 is due to AMPDU size is restricted to 32. This buffer is transmit buffer, and if the transmitter keeps sending MPDUs with the changes indicated by the previous comment, the receiver will keep remapping the BA bitmap. A comment suggests to remove “when BA buffer holds 32 MPDUs” since it is not correct and confusing.
  6. CID 1626, 1499, 1427, 1603: No discussion.
  7. CID 1760: A comment was made to change the reason of rejection. Will check with the member who proposed this feature.

## Closing

* 1. Any other business
     + None
  2. Chair announced the next TGbd teleconference will be on Mar. 12 at 9:00 am ET
  3. Chair adjourned the teleconference at 8:59 pm ET

# Friday 12 March 2021 @ 9:00-11:00 am ET

## Opening (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r6)

* 1. Call to order 9:00 am ET
  2. Chair instructed members to record attendance in IMAT.
  3. Chair introduced the patent policy and meeting rules (slides 2-6).
  4. No response to the call for patents.
  5. Chair introduced IEEE-SA COPYRIGHT POLICY (slides 7-9)
  6. Chair reviewed other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings (slides 10-13)
  7. Chair reviewed current Teleconference plan, TGbd Documents Update, and current TGbd Timeline (slides 14-19)
  8. Chair introduced the task group leadership (slide 44)

## Agenda (IEEE 802.11-21/0207r6)

* 1. Chair presented the agenda: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0207-06-00bd-tgbd-teleconference-agenda-for-mar-2021. (slide 46):
     + Call meeting to order and remind the group to record attendance on imat.ieee.org
     + IEEE-SA IPR policies and meeting rules
     + Approval of agenda (slide 46)
     + Presentations and discussion (Call for submission)
       - SP for 11-21/0398r2, LB251 TGbd resolutions for few PHY comments, Qinghua Li (Intel)
       - Complete submissions in submission list
     + Tech motions
     + Revisit timeline
     + Future teleconference plan
     + Adjourn
  2. Agenda was approved without objection

## SP for 11-21/0398r2, TGbd LB251 Resolutions for Few PHY Comments, Qinghua Li (Intel)

* 1. Do you agree on the comment resolution to following 10 CIDs and proposed spec text modification to IEEE P802.11bd D1.0 as in 11-21/0398r2?
     + CID 1648, 1649, 1650, 1651, 1652, 1731, 1768, 1787, 1803 and 1804
     + 14Y/0N/7A

## 11-21/0317r0, LB251 ranging comments resolution, Bahar Sadeghi(Intel)

* 1. CID 1072, 1166, 1248 and 1071: A question was asked how to use the PASN. The presenter answered that after association, PASN is set when NGV STA gets information from higher layer. A follow-up question why all the negotiations are not done outside the 5.9GHz band since MAC address may change when NGV STA operates in different band. The commenter answered that NGV STA only does the measurements in the other band. A comment was made that it is simpler to do the negotiations in the other band as well. The presenter answered that you can discover if other STA are available in 5.9GHz band, only capability discovery part is done in 5.9GHz, and ranging negotiations are done in other band. The commentor commented that if authentication is done in other band, changes in clause 11 are not needed, and suggested to have offline discussions to remove the changes related to PASN. The commentor further commented that 11bd should not include operations in other band. A typo in page 5 is mentioned by a commentor.
  2. A comment was made that the wording introduced here should be done as narrowly as possible, not opening the door what can happen to NGV, e.g., the texts of “except for PASN …, unless dot11PASNActivated is true”. The presenter agreed to check the text and see if she can further improve the text.
  3. A comment was made if the STA is still regarded as NGV-STA when it is operated in other band. The presenter agreed that we need be careful about use of NGV STA when talking about other band.
  4. A comment was made that the description of cell discovery in 11be tries to have some general scope of NGV ranging. If we relies everything on other band, then it is better to do NGV ranging using 11az.

## 11-21/0321r4, proposed text for NGV Ranging NDP, Qinghua Li (Intel)

* 1. A comment was made to change NGV data PPDU to NGV PPDU on page 2. A question was asked about definitions of symbol A1, A2, B1 and B2 in Example of NGV LTF figure. The presenter said that it is copied from 11az. A question was asked why VHT is in the title 9.3.1.19 since NDP announcement only applies to HE. The presenter agreed that VHT should be removed, but the title is inherited from 11az and the change should be done in 11az. A editorial change was suggested to change Otherwise to present in TXVECTOR LTF\_PEP.

## Tech motions

* 1. Motion (approval of Comment Resolutions)
     + **Move to approve the comment resolutions as in following CR documents:**
     + Resolutions of 3 CIDs (1527, 1800, and 1801) as in 11-21/0020r2
     + Resolutions of CID 1772 as in 11-21/0021r0
     + Resolutions of 10 CIDs (1080, 1113, 1114, 1115, 1538, 1578, 1774, 1776, 1083, and 1817) as in 11-21/0022r4
     + Resolutions of 3 CIDs (1081, 1775, and, 1777) as in 11-21/0023r2
     + Resolutions of 6 CIDs (1027, 1082, 1317, 1539, 1540, and 1778) as in 11-21/0024r2
     + Resolutions of 3 CIDs (1116, 1664, and 1773) as in 11-21/0025r2
     + Resolutions of 4 CIDs (1818, 1541, 1668, and 1819) as in 11-21/0026r2
     + Resolutions of 3 CIDs (1154, 1158, and 1344) as in 11-21/0045r2
     + Resolutions of 15 CIDs (1086, 1195, 1542, 1543, 1544, 1545, 1546, 1821, 1822, 1823, 1824, 1825, 1826, 1827, and 1828) as in 11-21/0028r3
     + Resolutions of 2 CIDs (1084 and 1820) as in 11-21/0027r2
     + Resolutions of 4 CIDs (1216, 1444, 1445, and 1025) as in 11-21/0044r3
     + Resolutions of 3 CIDs (1504, 1505 and 1599) as in 11-21/0126r1
     + Resolutions of 13 CIDs (CID 1021, 1022, 1224, 1225, 1227, 1422, 1423, 1486, 1487, 1494, 1754, 1789, and 1790) as in 11-21/0070r5
     + Resolutions of 28 CIDs (1009, 1010, 1073, 1074, 1011, 1138, 1139, 1197, 1240, 1250, 1255, 1258, 1378, 1380, 1381, 1382, 1383, 1384, 1385, 1439, 1507, 1508, 1516, 1689, 1732, 1733, 1734, and 1735) as in 11-20/1939r3
     + Resolutions of 6 CIDs (1346, 1691, 1514, 1251, 1782, and 1515) as in 11-21/0097r0
     + Resolutions of 14 CIDs (1136, 1137, 1237, 1236, 1602, 1601, 1283, 1008, 1358, 1165, 1362, 1661, 1070, and 1455) as in 11-21/0107r1
     + Resolutions of 2 CIDs (1019 and 1845) as in 11-21/0108r0
     + Resolutions of 22 CIDs (1363, 1157, 1076, 1764, 1471, 1524, 1077, 1078, 1026, 1085, 1028, 1523, 1783, 1249, 1474, 1473, 1525,1526, 1793, 1792, 1802 and 1805) as in 11-21/0109r1
     + Resolutions of 7 CIDs (1453, 1452, 1450 1449, 1134, 1459, and 1451) as in 11-21/0110r0
     + Resolutions of 2 CIDs (1000 and 1171) as in 11-21/0343r1
     + Resolutions of CID 1161 as in 11-21/0383r2
     + Resolutions of 2 CIDs (1093 and 1571) as in 11-21/0393r0
     + Resolutions of 10 CIDs (1406, 1492, 1557, 1753, 1149, 1252, 1517, 1844, 1281, and 1481) as in 11-21/0429r2
     + Resolutions of 11 CIDs (1067, 1068, 1152, 1153, 1235, 1343, 1438, 1441, 1567, 1568, and 1791) as in 11-21/0431r1
     + Moved: Bahar Sadeghi Seconded: Stephan Sand
     + Motion passed with unanimous consensus

## Revisit Timeline

* 1. D2.0 LB recirculation timeline Mar 2021 may need to be revised to May 2021 based on current CR progress

## 11-21/0083r3, LB251 Comment Resolution for 11bd D1.0 Clause 4 General descriptions, Stephan Sand (DLR)

* 1. CID 1509, 1014, 1015, 1347, 1200, 1606, 1607, 1609: no discussions.

## Closing

* 1. Any other business
     + None
  2. Chair announced the next TGbd teleconference will be on Mar. 23 at 10:00 am EDT
  3. Chair adjourned the teleconference at 11:00 am ET