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Abstract

This submission addresses comments related to the title of draft amendement based on the SP in 11-21/0054r1

The following 6 CIDs are addressed in this contribution:

* 1346, 1691, 1514, 1251, 1782, 1515

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page.line** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1346 | **1** | C.3 | As per the approved PAR, the title of the amendment is Enhancements for Next Generation V2X (See https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/public/view.html#pardetail/6721). In page 1, however, the title of the enhancement is Enhancements for Next Generation Vehicular Communication, which is inconsistent with the approved PAR. | Change the title of the enhancement to Enhancements for Next Generation V2X at both 1.30 and 3.7 | Accept |
| 1691 |  |  | The PAR title is "Enhancements for Next Generation V2X" and the title of this draft is "Enhancements for Next Generation Vehicular Communication". They should be the same. | Harmonize the PAR and draft titles | Accept  Duplicate of CID 1346 |
| 1514 | 12 |  | It seems that the name of the amendment on the draft doesn't match the name of the amendment on the PAR. | use the correct name in the PAR | Revised  Agree with the commentor that the names should be harmonized, but the draft title needs to be harmonized with the PAR.  Editor: make changes according to resolution for CID 1346 |
| 1251 |  |  | Many names and description defined as "next generation". Does this means no future generation for exists ever? Some examples: next generation V2X PPDU, next generation V2X STA, aside from being mouthful it also limits/confusing for any future project. what will it be the next gen? | Give a distinct name call it V2X PPDU, VTX STA etc. next generation is non-descriptive | Reject.  The group discussed pros and cons of changing the name and removing “next generation” and decided to keep the name as-is. Please see <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0054-01-00bd-renaming-ngv.ppt> and the minutes of the call on 1/13/2021 for the discussions and straw poll results. |
| 1782 |  |  | "next generation" will be good for the name of study group but, is not appropriate for standard. Will it be "next generation" forever? I do not think so. | There will be more appropriate name to tell the feature specified in the 802.11bd amendment. | Reject.  The group discussed pros and cons of changing the name and removing “next generation” and decided to keep the name as-is. Please see <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0054-01-00bd-renaming-ngv.ppt> and the minutes of the call on 1/13/2021 for the discussions and straw poll results. |
| 1515 | 15 | 3.1 | The term "Next Generation V2X (NGV)". The amendment name is Next Generation Vehicular Communication" The use of the term V2X is not justified here and need to use the name of the amendment which can also be abbreviated as NGV | As in comment. | Reject  The comment is not applicable given that the name of the amendment is going to change to match the PAR according to the resolution to CID 1346 and <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0054-01-00bd-renaming-ngv.ppt> |