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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following comments from the SA2 on P802.11ax D7.0:

25104, 25117, 25101, 25073, 25105

NOTE – Set the Track Changes Viewing Option in the MS Word to “All Markup” to clearly see the proposed text edits.

**Revision History:**

R0: Initial version.

# CID 25104

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 25104 | 27.3.1.1 | 530.44 | "The transmission within an RU in a PPDU may be a single stream to one user, multiple streams spatially multiplexed to one user (SU- MIMO), or multiple streams spatially multiplexed to multiple users (MU-MIMO)."  is missing a distinguishing abbreviation for the first case | Change to  "The transmission within an RU in a PPDU may be a single stream to one user (SISO), multiple streams spatially multiplexed to one user (SU- MIMO), or multiple streams spatially multiplexed to multiple users (MU-MIMO).".  Add a definition in 3.1: "single input, single output (SISO): A technique by which a station (STA) transmits to or receives from a single STA a single space-time stream.(#4777)" |

**Background**

D7.0 P530

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Proposed Resolution: CIDs 25104**

**Rejected**

This reviewer is not aware of any requirement on adding a dintinguishing abbreviation. The cited sentence does not have a technical issue, and its readability is not improved by adding “SISO” to it. Lastly, adding a definition for “SISO” just for this one instance within the ~5,500 pages long standard (REVmd 4,688 pages + 11ax 822 pages) is unnecessary.

# CID 25117

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 25117 | 27.3.2.8 | 542.18 | There is a description of RU restrictions for 20 MHz operating non-AP HE STAs, but not for 40 MHz operating non-AP HE STA | Add a subclause describing the RU restrictions that pertain to 40 MHz operating non-AP HE STAs |

**Background**

D7.0 P542

|  |
| --- |
| … |

P7.0 P498-499

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Proposed Resolution: CIDs 25117**

**Rejected**

D7.0 P499L13-21 and 27.3.2.8 requires that a 20 MHz operating non-AP HE STA needs to be able to receive/transmit some RUs in DL/UL OFDMA (using HE MU/TB PPDU) with bandwidth greater than 20 MHz. For this to work, there are certain RU restrictions that must be put in place, hence 27.3.2.8 is written.

There is, however, no requirement that a 40 MHz operating non-AP HE STA needs to receive/transmit some RUs in DL/UL OFDMA with bandwith greater than 40 MHz. This is because first, all non-AP STAs in 5 or 6 GHz must be at least 80 MHz capable (except for the 20 MHz-only STAs). And if those 80 MHz (or 160 MHz) capable non-AP STAs want to reduce operating bandwidth to reduce power consumption, then they are expected to reduce to 20 MHz bandwidth. Hence, TGax did not see a need to burden the 11ax standard by requiring and/or defining how a 40 MHz operating STA would participate in wider bandwidth OFDMA, and thus there is no need to write a section on RU restrictions for 40 MHz operating STAs.

# CID 25101

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 25101 | 27.3.15.2 | 666.29 | It may be necessary to have a different uplink power headroom for responses to triggering frames in punctured PPDUs than for those in non-punctured ones (because meeting spectral emissions limits may require power back-off). This should be NOTEd, i.e. the AP should not assume that the power headroom advertised in a response to a punctured PPDU is applicable to a non-punctured one, or vice-versa | At the end of 27.3.15.2 Power pre-correction add a para "NOTE---The UPH advertised in response to a punctured PPDU might not be applicable to the subsequent transmission of an HE TB PPDU in response to a PPDU that is not punctured, and vice-versa." |

**Background**

D7.0 P666

|  |
| --- |
| … |

**Proposed Resolution: CIDs 25101**

**Rejected**

Preamble puncturing is defined only for HE MU PPDUs. HE TB PPDU does not have ‘puncturing’. Yes, some spectrum may be left unoccupied, either by the AP not scheduling users, or some STAs failing to transmit (e.g. did not receive the Trigger frame). However, from each non-AP STA transmission point of view, any HE TB PPDU transmission has only one contiguous segment of spectrum used (except for 80+80, but which is not ‘puncturing’ anyway), and does not have any ‘puncturing’ in the spectrum.

# CID 25073

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 25073 | 27.3.15.2 | 666.48 | The units for TargetRx\_pwr are not given, unlike those for Tx^AP\_pwr and Rx\_pwr below | Change  "is the expected receive signal power indicated in"  to  "is the expected receive signal power, in units of dBm, as indicated by" |

**Background**

D7.0 P666

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Proposed Resolution: CIDs 25073**

**Accepted**

# CID 25105

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 25105 | 27.3.15.3 | 667.33 | "A STA transmitting at and above the minimum power, but below Pmax,MCS7, shall support the EVM requirements for HE-MCS 7 even if the HE-MCS used for the transmission is lower than HE-MCS 7, where Pmax,MCS7 is the maximum transmit power supported by the STA for HE-MCS 7 in an HE TB PPDU."  is confusing.  For example, it suggests that only the HE-MCS 7 EVM requirements have to be met, even if the actual HE-MCS is higher. And "shall support" is vague. | Change to  "A STA transmitting using HE-MCS 7 or lower, at or above the minimum power, but below Pmax,MCS7, shall meet the EVM requirements for HE-MCS 7 even if the HE-MCS used for the transmission is lower than HE-MCS 7, where Pmax,MCS7 is the maximum transmit power supported by the STA for HE-MCS 7 in an HE TB PPDU." |

**Background**

D7.0 P667

Redline version of the proposed text change by the commenter:

|  |
| --- |
| **27.3.15.3 Pre-correction accuracy requirements**  A STA that transmits an HE TB PPDU shall support per chain max(*P*–32, –10) dBm as the minimum transmit power, where *P* is the maximum power, in dBm, that the STA can transmit at the antenna connector of that chain using HE-MCS 0 while meeting the transmit EVM and spectral mask requirements. A STA transmitting using HE-MCS 7 or lower, at or above the minimum power, but below *P*max,MCS7, shall meet the EVM requirements for HE-MCS 7 even if the HE-MCS used for the transmission is lower than HE-MCS 7, where *P*max,MCS7 is the maximum transmit power supported by the STA for HE-MCS 7 in an HE TB PPDU. |

**Proposed Resolution: CIDs 25105**

**Accepted**
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