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Abstract

This document proposes resolutions to TGaz LB249 comments related to the LMR timestamps.

The TGaz LB249 CIDs addressed in this document are CIDs:

3274, 3047, 3275, and

3234.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Proposed resolution** |
| 3274 | 95.21 | 9.6.7.48 | The definition of the Time-Stamp Error subfield does not seem very efficient or appropriate. We should consider imprioving on this. | Revisit the definition of the Time-Stamp Error subfield and improve on it by making it use less bits. | Reject.The group considered the balance between the size and the medium overhead and decided it was appropriate. |
| 3047 | 95.21 | 9.6.7.48 | Table in figure 9-9818 has Reserved bits in the middle, without any reason. Pack the used bits and have ALL reserved bits at the end. | Pack the used bits and have ALL reserved bits at the end. | Reject.There is no need to place the reserved bits at the end. |
| 3275 | 96.05 | 9.6.7.48 | The definition of the Time-Stamp Error subfield does not seem very efficient or appropriate. We should consider improving on this. | Revisit the definition of the Time-Stamp Error subfield and improve on it by making it use less bits | Reject.The group considered the balance between the size and the medium overhead and decided it was appropriate. |

**----------------------------------------------------------------- X -----------------------------------------------------------**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Proposed resolution** |
| 3234 | 95.01 | 9.4.6.7.48 | Move the ToA/ToD and associated Error fields into an optional subelement. This will make various privacy concerns easier as the element needs not to be included in the ISTA2RSTA LMR | As per comment | Reject. The commenter withdrew the comment. |

**----------------------------------------------------------------- X -----------------------------------------------------------**
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