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Abstract

Proposed language to address TGmd D3.0 SA1

Changes are referenced to TGmd D3.3.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGmd Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGmd Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGmd Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGmd Editor” are instructions to the TGmd editor to modify existing material in the TGmd draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGmd editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGmd Draft.***

**Proposed Changes to TGmd D3.3:**

***TGmd editor: within TGmd D3.3, in 10.23.2.2 EDCA backoff procedure, change the text as shown:***

**10.23.2.2** **EDCA backoff procedure**

The backoff procedure shall be invoked by an EDCAF when any of the following events occurs:

* An MA-UNITDATA.request primitive is received that causes a frame with that AC to be queued for transmission such that one of the transmit queues associated with that AC has now become non-empty and any other transmit queues associated with that AC are empty; the medium is busy on the primary channel as indicated by any of the following:
* physical CS;
* virtual CS;
* a nonzero TXNAV timer value;
* a mesh STA that has dot11MCCAActivated true and a nonzero RAV timer value, (#1501)and the backoff counter has a value of 0 for that AC.
* The transmission of the MPDU in the final PPDU transmitted by the TXOP holder during the TXOP for that AC has completed and/or the TXNAV timer has expired, whichever is shorter, and the AC was a primary AC. (See 10.23.2.7 (Sharing an EDCA TXOP)).

 NOTE: The transmission of the MPDU in the final PPDU during the TXOP is subject to the TXOP limit (see

 10.23.2.9 TXOP limits)

* The transmission of an MPDU in the initial PPDU of a TXOP fails, as defined in this subclause, and the AC was a primary AC.
* The transmission attempt collides internally with another EDCAF of an AC that has higher priority, that is, two or more EDCAFs in the same STA are granted a TXOP at the same time.(#1507)

***TGmd editor: within TGmd D3.3, in 10.23.2.9 TXOP limits, change the text as shown:***

* + - 1. **TXOP limits**

A TXOP limit of 0 indicates that the TXOP holder shall not transmit or cause to be transmitted (as responses) more than one of the following within the current TXOP:

* One of the following at any rate, subject to the rules in 10.6 (Multirate support)
* One or more SU PPDUs carrying fragments of a single MSDU or MMPDU
* An SU PPDU or a VHT MU PPDU carrying a single MSDU, a single MMPDU, a single A‑MSDU, or a single A-MPDU; an SU PPDU or a VHT MU PPDU shall not contain an aggregation of PPDUs that are separated by SIFS
* A VHT MU PPDU carrying A-MPDUs to different users (a single A-MPDU to each user)
* A QoS Null frame or PS-Poll frame (11ah)that is not an PS-Poll+BDT frame

The TXOP holder shall not exceed the TXOP limit if any of the following situations apply:

* Transmission of more than one Data or Management frame in the TXOP
* Transmission of a DL-MU-MIMO(M101) PPDU in the TXOP,(#163)

The TXOP holder may exceed the TXOP limit for the following situations:

* Retransmission of an MPDU, not in an A-MPDU consisting of more than one MPDU
* Transmission of an MSDU or MMPDU less than 600 octets by an S1G non-sensor STA(M72)
* Transmission of a fragment of an MSDU or MMPDU, the fragment being less than 256 octets, by an S1G non-sensor STA(M72)
* Initial transmission of an MSDU under a block ack agreement, where the MSDU is not in an A-MPDU consisting of more than one MPDU and the MSDU is not in an A‑MSDU
* Transmission of a Control frame or a QoS Null frame(#1444) , not in an A-MPDU consisting of more than one MPDU
* Initial transmission of a fragment of an MSDU or MMPDU, if a previous fragment of that MSDU or MMPDU was retransmitted
* Transmission of a fragment of an MSDU or MMPDU fragmented into 16 fragments
* Transmission of an A-MPDU consisting of the initial transmission of a single MPDU not containing an MSDU and that is not an individually addressed Management frame
* Transmission of a group addressed MPDU, not in an A-MPDU consisting of more than one MPDU
* Transmission of a null dataPPDU(#1379) (NDP)
* Transmission of a VHT NDP Announcement frame and NDP or transmission of a Beamforming Report Poll frame, where these fit within the TXOP limit and it is only the response and the immediately preceding SIFS that cause the TXOP limit to be exceeded.

**End of proposed changes.**

**Discussion**

**Straw Poll 1:**

**Do you support the proposed changes in SC 10.23.2.9 for TXOP limit of 0?**

**Straw Poll 2:**

**Do you support the proposed changes in SCs 10.23.2.2 and 10.23.2.9 for TXOP limit of non-zero value?**