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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for CIDs 4222,4223, 4225, 4235, 4237, 4559, 4693, 4714

Green indicates material agreed to in the group,

yellow material to be discussed, red material rejected by the group and

cyan material not to be overlooked.

The “Final” view should be selected in Word.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4222 | 3524.00 | 25.5.7.1.3 |  |  | "106" should be "10<sup>6</sup>", and the size of "440x10<sup>6</sup>" on the previous line should be made consistent with surrounding text | As it says in the comment  L9  ACCEPTED |
| 4223 | 3544.00 | 25.6.9.2.3 |  |  | "1320" is off by 6 orders of magnitude | Add " <mult> 10<sup>6</sup>" after the cited text.  Also fix the size of "660x10<sup>6</sup>" on the same line to be consistent with surrounding text  L29  ACCEPTED |
| 4225 | 3566.00 | 25.15.3 |  |  | "540 " should be "540 MHz" | As it says in the comment  L29  ACCEPTED |
| 4235 | 3528.00 | 25.6.5.5 |  |  | "transmitted using duplication style" -- not defined | Change to "duplicated"  L41? “Duplicated style” is also not defined or used anywhere. “duplication transmission” is correct but after “transmitted” is awkward  REVISED: at P3528L41 delete “using duplication style” |
| 4237 | 3513.00 | 25.5.1 |  |  | " transmitted by CMMG SC MIMO" -- huh? | Change to " with MIMO"  L38, check CID 2375  Asked Sigurd. “This is about the Chinese mmWave apparently. The proposed change looks fine to me. I suppose the comment has to do with the fact that MIMO is a generic concept and shouldn’t be prefaced with “CMMG SC”. It’s more editorial than anything else.”  ACCEPTED |
| 4559 | 3545.00 | 25.7.2.3 |  |  | "A value of N in the Training Length field indicates that the AGC has 4N subfields and that the TRN- R/T field has 5N subfields." but 20.9.2.2.3 (referred to from Table 25-7--Fields in the CMMG SIG field) says "A value of N in the Training Length field indicates 4xN AGC subfields and that the TRN-R/T field has N TRN Units." so there is duplication and possibly also contradiction | Delete the xref to 20.9.2.2.3 in Table 25-7  P3132L34 not a Table  REVISED  At P3132L34 delete the “x” |
| 4693 |  | 24 |  |  | CID 2036 follow-up, are the 128s in Figures 24-2/5 correct? | As it says in the comment  This is 3462 L47  Table 24 - 128 \* 1.14=146ns  Table says 14.6ns  Should be 146ns, next 2 lines show correct.  So answer is NO the 128s is not correct  REVISED  At P3462L47 replace 14.6 with 146. |
| 4714 | 3504.00 | 25.3.9.1 |  |  | Table 25-7---Fields in the CMMG SIG field needs the same changes as made under CID 1351.  However Assaf reports that it "requires (a lot of) more work because the scrambling is not mentioned in the encoding process." | Ask Assaf to kindly to the more work  Assign to ASSAF |