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**Abstract**

This submission proposes resolutions of comments received from LB240.

* CIDs: 1325, 1461

The comments are based on TGaz Draft 1.0 and the proposed changes are relative to TGaz Draft 1.4

Revision 0: initial draft

Revision 1: remove CID which are already addressed in 11-19-1608

Reference

[1] Draft P802.11REVmd\_D2.2

[2] Draft P802.11az\_D1.4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1325 | 6.3.56.1 | 12 | In figure 16-7c, after the Trigger frame from MLME of the RSTA, there is no response to the the trigger frame from ISTA. | as in the comment | Rejected,  Response to trigger frame is NDP. This figure is at (MLME) MAC layer level. NDP frames are PHY layer frames and transperant to MAC |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1461 | 12.13.3 | 109.16 | how are ECC private keys generated? | randomness recommendations are needed | Rejected:  Spec already has J.5 having suggestions for random number generation |